

### CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD COMMITTEE AGENDA

ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE SUBCOMMITTEE Brookens Administrative Center, Meeting Room Two 1776 E. Washington, Urbana Monday, September 15, 2008 – 4:30 p.m.

MEM	BERS: Betz, McGinty, Moser		
	ITEM	PAGE NO.	
I.	CALL TO ORDER		
II.	ROLL CALL		
III.	APPROVAL OF AGENDA/ADDENDUM		
IV.	APPROVAL OF MINUTES		
	a. March 31, 2008	1-3	
	b. May 7, 2008	4-5	
	c. June 4, 2008	6-7	
V.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION		
VI.	DISCUSSION REGARDING CHAMPAIGN COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE		
VII.	OTHER BUSINESS		
VIII.	ADJOURNMENT		

Champaign County Administrative Services 1776 E. Washington St. Urbana, IL 61802 217-384-3776 C. Pius Weibel County Board Chair Debra Busey and Denny Inman County Administrators

CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD COMMITTEE MINUTES ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE SUBCOMMITTEE Monday, March 31, 2008 Meeting Room 2, Brookens Administrative Center 1776 E. Washington St., Urbana			
			4:30 p.m.
MEMBERS PRESENT:	Betz, McGinty, Moser		
MEMBERS ABSENT:	None		
<b>OTHERS PRESENT:</b>	Kat Bork (Administrative Secretary), C. Pius Weibel (County Board Chair)		
CALL TO ORDER			
Meeting called to order at 4:30 p.m.			
ROLL CALL			
call, establishing the presence	etary called the roll. Betz, McGinty, and Moser were present at the time of roll e of a quorum. o nominate McGinty as Chair; seconded by Moser. <b>Motion carried.</b>		
APPROVAL OF AGENDA	/ADDENDUM		
MOTION by Betz to	approve the agenda; seconded by Moser. Motion carried.		
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	<u>v</u>		
There was no public	participation.		
DISCUSSION REGARDIN	G CHAMPAIGN COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE		
McGinty distributed an organizational chart and recommended, when considering the administrative ructure, removing names and specific people in order to look at the positions only. McGinty had looked at bout a hundred different organizations and what the other organizations had as support structure to compare to Champaign County's administrative structure. He did not find any other organization that used a dua dministrator structure. In some organizations the County Board Chair had an expanded role or there were three Commissioners instead of an Administrator. McGinty wanted to look at where the County wants to be to three years in the future. He thought having director positions under a single County Administrator is ideal e'd like a County Administrator with a background in finance, human resources, and purchasing. A line of inccession would be recommended for the Administrator position, mostly likely the Administrative Services intector. He said a Facilities Director was needed. McGinty suggested having the structure in place six in the before moving into it. He emphasized looking at positions, not people.			

51 Betz asked if there would be three sub-administrators under the head Administrator. McGinty explained 52 that he categorizes those as director positions, in some entities such positions are called managers or deputies in 53 other organizations. Moser said the Republicans want to move to a single County Administrator. Betz asked for 54 the definition of media on the chart under technology. McGinty said it has to do with handling multi-media, 55 video, or motion capture. He recommended the multi-media choices should come from the technical side of the 56 IT Department, not the Administrator or the County Clerk. Moser suggested having a person who would go out 57 and communicate more in the public relations area. McGinty listed engineering and highway under Facilities 58 because these involve taking care of structure and maintenance in the County. Moser asked what kind 59 background a Facilities Director would ideally have. McGinty suggested the Facilities Director could be an 60 architect or someone with a high level skill so the County could stop having to farm out work as they are doing 61 now. Moser supported this approach and has wanted to have someone with a construction background for a 62 long time. 63

McGinty stated a department like Administrative Services could be a training ground for the next
 County Administrator so the County would not be in a pinch like what occurred with the transition of Nursing
 Home Administrators. Moser stated his preference for not looking outside the County for the next
 Administrator. Moser spoke about the history of searching for a replacement County Administrator in previous
 years following the departure of an Administrator.

The subcommittee discussed the structure regarding elected and appointed officials and how these offices report to the County. Betz requested a larger chart reflecting that the Supervisor of Assessments, Emergency Management Agency, Planning & Zoning Department, and the Nursing Home are under someone. He noted the County Board appoints the Board of Review and the Supervisor Assessments, but these entities have independent statutory authority. Discussion was held concerning the County Board Chair and whether it should be a full-time or part-time position. Moser suggested looking at how Peoria County and Sangamon County manage the County Board Chair issue.

78 McGinty suggested the need for a flow chart that reflects County operations that the public would be 79 able to understand. Moser stated the need to look at the Planning & Zoning Department and the Nursing Home 80 in a more detailed way. Discussion was held over when to move to a single County Administrator and whether a ballot question would be needed for a full-time County Board Chair. McGinty asked if agreement could be 81 82 reached to set a goal of 2010 or 2012 for single County Administrator structure. Moser voiced his support of 83 August 2009. McGinty stated sufficient time would be needed to find the right people for the Director positions. 84 The subcommittee discussed how the next election might affect the make-up of the County Board. Betz 85 suggested affirming a single County Administrator by a certain date with three Directors. Then the County 86 Board could make it part of the Administrator's job to find the three Directors. The County Board would have 87 the final decision in hiring the Directors on the recommendation of the Administrator. The subcommittee 88 further discussed developing the administrative structure. Betz warned about sticker shock in regards to salary 89 for the level of Directors the County Board would want. In reality, the County Administrators have been 90 inexpensive compared to similar positions in other organizations. The subcommittee agreed it should look at 91 structure first and make the County Board aware of the costs in employing this level of credentialed 92 professionals. Betz wanted to talk to Pat Dorsey about professionals and their cost, so Moser agreed to speak to 93 Dorsey. McGinty agreed to collect data on Peoria, Sangamon, and McLean Counties. McGinty emphasized 94 putting the long-range planning at the County Board level around this structure. McGinty and Moser agreed on 95 the importance of having a plan together by the end of the fiscal year when the County Board Chair is elected 96 and the importance of salary comparables. 97

Weibel entered the meeting at 5:12 p.m. McGinty got Weibel up to speed on the meeting's discussion.
 Moser spoke about how the County Board Chair's job has mushroomed in recent years. Weibel recommended

100 looking at succession in terms of administrative structure. The subcommittee agreed and discussed the 101 importance of having a succession in place.

#### 103 **OTHER BUSINESS**

The subcommittee discussed scheduling its next meeting in about month from now. Moser requested
 the meeting be scheduled on a rainy day due to farming commitments.

## 108ADJOURNMENT109

Meeting adjourned at 5:17 p.m.

112 Respectfully submitted,

113 114 Kat Bork

102

110

111

Secy's note: The minutes reflect the order of the agenda and may not necessarily reflect the order of business conducted at the meeting.

1 2 3	CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD COMMITTEE MINUTES ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE SUBCOMMITTEE Wednesday, May 7, 2008 Meeting Room 3, Brookens Administrative Center 1776 E. Washington St., Urbana				
4 5 6 7 8 9					
10	6:00 p.m.				
11 12	MEMBERS PRESENT:	Betz, McGinty, Moser			
13 14	MEMBERS ABSENT:	None			
15 16	<b>OTHERS PRESENT:</b>	Mark Shelden (County Clerk), Barbara Wysocki (County Board member)			
17 18	CALL TO ORDER				
19 20	Meeting called to order	r at 6:00 p.m.			
21 22	ROLL CALL				
23 24	Betz, McGinty, and Moser were present, establishing the presence of a quorum.				
25 26	APPROVAL OF AGENDA/ADDENDUM				
27 28	<b>MOTION</b> by Betz to approve the agenda; seconded by Moser. <b>Motion carried.</b>				
29 30	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION				
31 32		articipation (			
33	There was no public participation.				
34 35		CHAMPAIGN COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE			
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	recognized the County should move to a single Administrator structure. Since the last meeting, McGinty worked with the new HR Generalist, Amanda Moore, and gathered some information on counties' structur administrators. The information on Peoria, Rock Island, and McLean Counties was distributed to the committee. Peoria County was the most similar to Champaign County regarding structure. McGinty note Rock Island County does not have an Administrator; instead it goes straight to department directors. McG suggested focusing on the components of the number of Administrators, what the Administrators' roles ar County Board Chair's role, and the number of County Board members. The subcommittee discussed the information provided on the other counties. McGinty related that Moore had offered to get more information the other counties if the subcommittee had further questions. Moser wanted to find out what Sangar County does. The subcommittee planned to present a recommendation on administrative restructuring to Policy, Personnel, & Appointments Committee after the election and the seating of the new County Board structure to Shelden in answer to his questions. Shelden expressed concern about cost of implementing a structure with more management-level employees. He noted the County already he				

52 Director, He added that the County just created a new IT Director position. McGinty did not think it was 53 essential to hire an Administrative Services Director under the County Administrator within a year because the 54 position will be ideally filled be someone with the skills to eventually be the next Administrator. The 55 subcommittee discussed the Facilities Director position. Moser spoke to Pat Dorsey about the likely salary for a 56 Facilities Director, especially considering the structure of the County Board, the evening meetings, and the flack 57 the position would take. It would take a special kind of person to fill the Facilities Director position. Shelden 58 spoke about the Administrator and Director positions needing a leadership skill set in addition to an academic 59 skill set. He thinks a Facilities Director could potentially have the skills set to be a County Administrator and 60 did not what to put the County in a box. Shelden asked if the Facilities Director would be paid more and have 61 fewer responsibilities than the current County Administrator of Facilities & Procurement. McGinty disagreed 62 with that assumption. He thought the Facilities Director might do fewer things, but the person would be more 63 valuable by bringing skills that the County currently has to outsource for, such as architectural skills. There can 64 be savings be having a certain skill set in that role. Betz wondered if there will be enough work in the next ten 65 years in facilities to have a full-time Facilities Director with purchasing being eliminated from the job 66 responsibilities. McGinty said ideas on structure were good because it is being developed. Shelden stated that 67 the IT Director position was created with the direction that the position would develop and restructure the IT 68 Department with certain limitations and a budget to better serve the County's IT needs. He thought at some 69 point the single Administrator should be given some latitude to develop a structure that works for them. 70 McGinty agreed with the notion of having flexibility at every part of any long-range strategic planning. He 71 encouraged any ideas to be brought forth. The subcommittee discussed the General Corporate Fund's financial 72 position and how the Nursing Home is negatively impacting it. The County Board haa general consensus about 73 moving to a single Administrator. Further discussion was held over the skills desired in a potential Facilities 74 Director position and the County's future building needs. McGinty agreed to gather more information about the 75 role of the County Board Chair and the job descriptions for County Administrators and Facilities Director in 76 comparable counties for the next meeting. Betz suggested talking to past Champaign County Board Chairs who 77 are still alive, especially Lyle Shields. He views the Board Chair as a political role with a ceremonial function. 78 It is important to have a County Administrator who is not a partisan person. McGinty heartily agreed with 79 gleaning information from past Board Chairs. The subcommittee discussed accountability and disciplinary 80 procedures with Administrators. The subcommittee agreed to meet again in about a month. 81

#### 82 OTHER BUSINESS 83

There was no other business.

## 86 <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>87

- Meeting adjourned at 6:45 p.m.
- 90 Respectfully submitted,91
- 92 Kat Bork

84

85

88

89

93 Administrative Secretary
94
95 Secy's note: The minutes

Secy's note: The minutes reflect the order of the agenda and may not necessarily reflect the order of business conducted at the meeting.

1 2 3		CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD COMMITTEE MINUTES			
4 5 7 8 9	ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE SUBCOMMITTEE Wednesday, June 4, 2008 Meeting Room 2, Brookens Administrative Center 1776 E. Washington St., Urbana				
10 11	6:00 p.m.				
12 13	MEMBERS PRESENT:	Betz, McGinty, Moser			
14	MEMBERS ABSENT:	None			
15 16	<b>OTHERS PRESENT:</b>	Kat Bork (Administrative Secretary), C. Pius Weibel (County Board Chair)			
17 18	CALL TO ORDER				
19 20	McGinty called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.				
21 22	ROLL CALL Betz and McGinty were present, establishing the presence of a quorum. Moser had telephoned to say he was in Minnesota.				
23 24 25 26					
27	APPROVAL OF AGENDA/ADDENDUM				
28 29	MOTION by Betz to approve the agenda; seconded by McGinty. Motion carried.				
30 31	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION				
32 33	There was no public participation.				
34 35	DISCUSSION REGARDING	CHAMPAIGN COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE			
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	e materials prepared as a result of the discussion from the last meeting akeup of committees in other counties. Job descriptions for Administrators and ria, Rock Island, McLean, and Sangamon Counties were provided so the comparable counties did. McGinty reviewed what the subcommittee has other counties, the size of the County Board varies. The only full-time Board does not have a County Administrator. The subcommittee discussed a single ng administrative structure, and the role of the Board Chair. Weibel gave input d as the current County Board Chair. He noted a full-time Board Chair would retired, unemployed, or taking an extended leave of absence. This would limit pers who could be County Board Chair. Time constraints already play a part in are willing to put their names in for nominations as Board Chair. Weibel and the nuch time it takes to fulfill the Board Chair duties. Betz stated a lot of the Board Weibel agreed. McGinty confirmed the subcommittee is proposing moving to a dding a Facilities Director, and continuing to have the Board Chair as a part-time				

52 The subcommittee discussed the size of the County Board. Betz described how he was in favor of 53 shrinking the County Board when he was first elected, but he has seen situations with local City Managers and 54 City Councils that he caused him to change his mind. He felt the large size of the County Board enabled more 55 diversity and slowed things down. The subcommittee discussed single member districts and a variety of ways 56 that the County Board could potentially be restructured. Betz suggested the County Board resume holding two 57 full Board meetings a month. McGinty suggested looking at the option of having an Executive Committee of 58 the County Board instead. The Executive Committee would essentially be a collection of all the committee 59 chairs and vice-chairs so the full County Board could deal with business more aggressively at the full Board 60 meeting. McGinty agreed about the inclusiveness that results from a large County Board, but the Board needs to 61 have to a way to process business more efficiently and professionally. Often County Board meetings are long 62 and members begin to tire after 10:00 p.m. Betz did not consider efficiency as something important in 63 government and gave the pension plan as an example. The subcommittee continued to discuss the possibility of 64 having an Executive Committee as an alternative to reducing the size of the County Board. Betz suggested all 65 closed sessions for the County Board be done at a monthly study session instead of being held at the public 66 meeting. Betz described past practices of the County Board's decision-making process. 67

The subcommittee agreed the County Board size, an Executive Committee, or more study sessions would be a great conversation to have at the Policy, Personnel, & Appointments Committee. The subcommittee discussed the size and makeup of City Councils and County Boards. Betz said the County Board can change its census without voter approval. McGinty preferred an advisory referendum to know what the voters are thinking. Betz suggested holding public hearings about the size of the County Board. The subcommittee discussed public hearings.

McGinty suggested the next Policy, Personnel, & Appointments Committee meeting include a discussion. The subcommittee concurred on reviewing the materials more closely and nailing down the single County Administrator role, Facilities Director role, and the structure underneath the County Administrator. Betz said they would need a bottom line on how much it will cost because that will be the first question asked.

## 80 <u>OTHER BUSINESS</u>81

There was no other business.

# 83 84 <u>ADJOURNMENT</u> 85

- Meeting adjourned at 6:54 p.m.
- 8788 Respectfully submitted,
- 89 90 Kat Bork

82

86

- 91 Administrative Secretary 92 93 Secy's note: The minutes of
  - Secy's note: The minutes reflect the order of the agenda and may not necessarily reflect the order of business conducted at the meeting.