



**Champaign County Community Justice Task Force
Monday, August 6, 2012 – 6:00pm
Shields Meeting Room – Brookens Administrative Center
1776 E. Washington St., Urbana**

Chair: Michael Richards
Members: Scott Bennett, Lynn Branham, Mark Driscoll, Sheila Ferguson, James Kilgore, Julian Rappaport, Benita Rollins-Gay, William Sullivan

Agenda Item

- I. **Call to Order**
- II. **Roll Call**
- III. **Approval of Agenda**
- IV. **Public Participation**
- V. **Approval of Minutes – July 2, 2012**
- VI. **Committee Discussion with State’s Attorney, Public Defender and Director of Court Services**
- VII. **Discussion – Next Steps**
- VIII. **Other Business**
- IX. **Next Meeting Date – To Be Determined – Normal Date is Labor Day**
- X. **Adjourn**

1 **COMMUNITY JUSTICE TASK FORCE MINUTES**

2 **Monday, July 2, 2012 – 6pm**

3 **Shields Meeting Room, Brookens Admin. Center**

4 **1776 E. Washington, Urbana, IL**

5
6
7 **MEMBERS PRESENT:** Bennett, Branham, Driscoll, Ferguson, Rappaport, Rollins-Gay, Sullivan,
8 Richards

9
10 **MEMBERS ABSENT:** Kilgore

11
12 **OTHERS PRESENT:** Pattsie Petrie, Ralph Langenheim, Pius Weibel (County Board members),
13 Deb Busey (County Administrator), Sheriff Walsh, Allen Jones, Nancy Griffin, Sue Swain & Harmony
14 Goorley (Sheriff's office), Chris Evans, other members of the public, Julia Reitz (States Attorney)

15
16 **Call to Order**

17
18 Chair Richards called the meeting to order at 6:09pm.

19
20 **Roll Call**

21
22 A roll call was taken and one member was absent.

23
24 **Approval of Agenda**

25
26 **Motion** by Sullivan to approve the agenda for the meeting; seconded by Ferguson. **Motion carried.**

27
28 **Public Participation**

29
30 Pattsie Petrie thanked members of task force who were able to come on June 26 to hear presentations
31 from the four firms. She also wanted to let everyone know that Channel 5 will be showing that meeting at
32 7pm on July 7th, but warned that it is a 4 hour 23 minute meeting.

33
34 Chris Evans presented statistics regarding Champaign County's success with alternatives to incarceration rates
35 measured against the total number of arrests & prosecutions. He said he is hoping that this group, in asking
36 the right questions as to how we can expand alternatives to incarceration, can get going on how we can better
37 use our public dollar to more effectively reduce crime.

38
39 Sullivan asked that Mr. Evans's information be made part of the public record. Mr. Evans agreed to
40 supply websites and the numbers that he recited.

41
42 **Approval of Minutes**

43
44 **Motion** by Rappaport to approve the minutes of June 4, 2012, as presented; seconded by Driscoll.
45 Bennet noted that on line 186 under the State's Attorney adult diversion program, he wasn't speaking about
46 the adult diversion program which already existed, he was speaking about the new program called the second
47 chance program and the difference isn't so much that its geared toward people 18 or older, but geared toward
48 felony offences not misdemeanor offenses. Felt line 184 should read State's Attorney Second Chance
49 Program, and on line 187 should read 17 or older. **Motion** by Bennett to amend minutes. Seconded by
50 Ferguson. **Amended motion carried.**

51
52

53 **Presentation: McLean County Pre-Trial Services Program**
54

55 Sargeel Risbee stated that he was hired in 2007 as McLean County's Pre-Trial Coordinator. The pre-
56 trial team was formulated by key stakeholders including the Chief Judge, State's Attorney, Public Defender
57 and others with the main issue of addressing jail overcrowding. The County currently has an 85% success
58 rate of individuals released on bond who are supervised as an alternative to incarceration.
59

60 Pre-Trial Services is a program that provides the court with verified criminal and social history of
61 individuals who've been charged with an offense, with the goal of shortening the length of time between the
62 charges and the bond hearing. Pre-Trial Services assists those that can't afford bail with the assertion that an
63 individual shouldn't be incarcerated because they don't have enough money to post bond and also provides
64 supervision of those individuals until the trial. Individuals who are a flight risk or pose a danger to the
65 community or themselves should be incarcerated. Mr. Risbee noted that Pre-Trial Services has not solved
66 the jail overcrowding issue completely.
67

68 Benefits to judges and the State's Attorney are they are provided with information about the
69 individual that may have been previously overlooked. Pre-Trial Services helps the Public Defender with
70 expediting the cases thru the courts. The question was posed as to how flight risk or threat is determined.
71 Mr. Risbee answered that a validated risk assessment that has been approved by the IL Supervisory Court,
72 the Bar Association, National Pre-Trial Services Association, the Pre-Trial Justice Institute and the National
73 Institute of Correction is used. He stated that about 60% of the cases screened are recommended for non-
74 release.
75

76 The question was asked about how many cases are handled, how many staff, what training is like and
77 the approximate cost to the County. Mr. Risbee said they currently have 2 offices, one who mainly does
78 screening and one who mainly does supervision. Some supervision duties of lower risk individuals are also
79 handled by the person doing the screening. The question was asked if bracelet monitoring was used and who
80 paid for it. Mr. Risbee answered that yes, it is used but sparingly, and it is an offender based pay program.
81

82 Mr. Risbee noted that there are benefits to Probation as well. Once an individual is sentenced to
83 probation, the officer will already have the information on the individual from Pre-Trial Services. Discussion
84 continued.
85

86 Question was asked how many IL counties have Pre-Trial Services. Mr. Risbee responded that they
87 did a survey a while back that was sent to every county and they got 35 responses back. He noted that some
88 counties don't have Pre-Trial Services per se but do perform some of the same tasks. He also noted that Pre-
89 Trial Services is growing and other counties have expressed an interest.
90

91 Discussion continued about Pre-Trial Services duties, the courts, the department of corrections,
92 mentally ill inmates, cost benefits and more. Mr. Risbee stated that Pre-Trial Services isn't just about getting
93 the individual thru the court system faster, but to make them a productive member of society.
94

95 **Review of Task Force Purpose:**

96 Committee is to do a report, which can be fairly narrow or fairly broad, to give to the County Board
97 for guidance and suggestions on how to improve things and directions to take.
98

99 **Discussion – Next Steps**

100 Chairman Richard asked the committee if there is anyone they would like to talk to about the
101 services currently provided. Ms. Ferguson mentioned that a tour of the Satellite Jail had been requested. Ms.
102 Busey stated that the committee had decided against a tour in conjunction with a meeting, noting that the
103 Sheriff would be happy to schedule a tour individually, or a time could be set to tour as a group. If tour is set

104 up as a group it needs to be published. One member stated that a group tour would allow each member to
105 hear others questions and discussion during the tour. It was suggested a tour be set for July 16 at 6:00pm.
106

107 Member suggested talking to State's Attorney as a future agenda item. Another member suggested
108 talking to Joe Gordon in Probation as well as about substance abuse. Member stated would like to have more
109 concrete information about what Champaign County currently does and to look at what gaps may exist. One
110 member suggested looking at what jobs programs are currently in place
111

112 The entire process from beginning to end was explained by Julia Reitz. One member asked for
113 clarification that Champaign County is already doing a sort of pre-trial service and that the system is working
114 well to keep jail population lower. Ms. Reitz said that yes, they do everything they can to make sure that the
115 right people are in custody and to move cases.
116

117 Mr. Driscoll stated the committee has the October meeting to finalize report for County Board,
118 stating that leaves August and September for meetings. Richards says that is the current operation of
119 authority. There have talks and with needs assessment the Board will want to talk to task force and that none
120 of the RFP's will be done by the time this committee is supposed to be wrapping up. Chairman Richards
121 noted there is the possibility to extend this committee and feels it makes sense to extend at least one more
122 month. Mr. Weibel stated he put the deadline at October because the last meeting for this County Board is in
123 November and the issue could get shelved until the new Board comes in.
124

125 Member stated use August meeting to get input from Court Services, State's attorney, etc, and the
126 September meeting to start looking at community resources regarding employment, domestic violence,
127 housing, substance abuse, etc. From there start identifying gaps and then report. Discussion continued.
128

129 Chairman Richards felt schedule Mr. Driscoll suggested makes the most sense. Member asked if
130 Public Defender could come as well. Member suggested getting more information on vendors before making
131 decision. Discussion continued.
132

133 **Other Business**
134

135 Update was given on Mental Health Board and Sheriff's office partnering for MRT and other
136 training. Three Mental Health Board members, two mental health staff at the jail and a number of officers
137 were trained.
138

139 Richards noted that next meeting is Monday, August 6 at 6:00 pm and the visit to the Satellite Jail is
140 July 16 at 6:00 pm with everyone meeting in the lobby of the jail.
141

142 **Adjournment**
143

144 Richards declared the meeting adjourned at 8:26pm.
145

146
147 Respectfully submitted,
148

149 Ranae Wolken
150 Recording Secretary
151