COMMUNITY JUSTICE TASK FORCE MINUTES Wednesday, November 7 2012
Jennifer K Putman Meeting Room
Brookens Administrative Center
1776 E. Washington St., Urbana

MEMBERS PRESENT: Lynn Branham, Mark Driscoll, Sheila Ferguson, James Kilgore, Julian Rappaport, Michael Richards (Chair), William Sullivan

MEMBERS ABSENT: Scott Bennett, Benita Rollins-Gay

OTHERS PRESENT: Pattsi Petrie, County Board Member; Aaron Ammons, Jerehme Bamberger, Chris Evans

Call to Order

Richards called the meeting to order at 6:04 p.m.

Roll Call

Branham, Driscoll, Ferguson, Kilgore, Rappaport, Richards, and Sullivan were present establishing a quorum.

Public Participation

No public participation

Approval of Minutes - September 24, 2012

Motion by Rappaport to approve minutes; seconded by Kilgore. Rappaport suggested changing the word "is" to "this: on line 182. **Motion approved as amended.**

Discussion with Dr. Alan Kalmanoff, ILPP

Richards introduced Dr. Alan Kalmanoff of ILPP, who asked that everyone call him Kal. Kal asked that the time for discussion be limited to one hour. He said he has seen the report and will listen to any comments. Richards opened the floor for discussion.

Kal stated he has spoken with Sheriff Welch, Allen Jones and Lt. Cravens. He said he had also spoken to Julia Reitz about the prosecutors and the scene in general, and had also talked to Pius Weibel, John Jay and Tom Betz. He stated he had had dinner with Carol and Aaron Ammons where they talked about the history of the Community Justice Task Force and what the issues are. He went on to say that there is an impressive array of police chiefs. Kal stated that one thing that is almost as important as the discussion of criminal justice is database integration. He noted it seems that there are death grip vendors that don't talk to each other. He also said he has talked to the Champaign city manager and has received numerous blueprints and reports from Alan Reinhart. He stated he will be taking a tour of the jails tomorrow, has some meetings set up for Friday, and said he would like to try to connect with the Uofl and talk to them as if they were just another city rather than a university. He noted that what he found most useful from the report was the list of services and said they are a tool. He said that now it needs to be determined if the system operates beyond the cage in augmenting these services.

Richards stated that the community input was a very important part of the report and asked Kal if he had any plans to interact with the community. Kal answered not at this time; he wants to wait and see what the community will call for. He noted that he doesn't want to spend

time on what's already known, but to find out what's missing. Kilgore asked for a broader idea of the process. He asked how often Kal would be here and if others would also be here. Sullivan asked what product will be delivered at the end. Kal stated that delivery won't be just at the end, but in several sections along the way. He said they will look at who is currently in the system, how many arrests there have been, how many citations issued, etc. He noted they will also do a snap shot profile, or population study, of who is physically in the building at any given time along with any personal information about the population. He said they will then classify the profile. He stated that in his experience, what the Sheriff thinks is 20% max security, 30% medium security, etc., usually isn't accurate.

Sullivan stated that the committee is a little suspicious of the information given by the experts, and that those that react on gut instincts aren't usually as accurate as those who use data. Rappaport asked if Kal planned on getting a database handle on the population that has access to the programs on the list. Kilgore stated that one issue that has been in the foreground is racial disparity. He stated he would like a report to include a profile of that. Kal stated that isn't his charge but would be happy recommend ways to do that. He went on to say that race will be included in his profile though. Kilgore noted concern that it won't cross-reference to any of the causes. Kal noted that since racial disparity was brought up in the report, he can get instruments to blunt the force.

Sullivan asked if the snap shot will be just one day. Kal stated that the research isn't science and one day is more than enough. He noted that the day selected shouldn't be after a holiday or a mass demonstration, etc. He noted the first step is to study the profile, the second is to classify it, and the third is tracking the analysis to look for slowdowns in the system that cause overcrowding. He went on to say that the man and his needs have to be studies and then the programs also need to be studied.

Richards noted that they have 20 minutes left and Kal has done most of the talking. He noted that if there is anything not in the report that now is the time to let Kal know. Branham stated that she would like to know, based on risk assessments, who could be put into other programs. She asked if the risk assessment will link to other community sanctions. Kal said that it would. Kilgore stated that he can appreciate not sitting through a long community meeting but felt that it would be beneficial to have some sort of public session so the community would have some sort of buy-in. Kal stated that he, or another member of his team, would be happy to go to any meeting so long as there is reasonable notice.

Kal noted that sentencing is not an area to get into because it goes nowhere with the judges, who don't like their authority questioned. He said rather to give them the available options. Ferguson asked if he would be seeing Judge Ford who heads the drug and mental health courts. Kal said no, but possibly a member of his team would. Sullivan asked to what point the report would deal with alternatives to incarceration. Kal stated it is definitely included, but that it won't come first. Sullivan stated he is interested in taking a complete view; there is an entire ecology with dozens of ideas to prevent arrests. Kilgore wanted to know how to look at financing. He mentioned the public safety sales tax and how it is allocated 5% for programs and 95% for facilities and felt the County Board needed to look at ways of shifting those funds. Kal answered that is not a decision for him, but one the County Board will have to make. He noted that his way of looking at it is that it costs 20 times more to operate a jail than to build one

Richard asked what information might be useful. Kal answered the list of programs is useful. Ferguson noted that it isn't a complete list, but can make more information available. Kal said he will take all the information anybody can give him; he prefers it be sent to him electronically. He stated that he envisions the list of programs becoming a chart.

Rappaport wanted to know if the recommendation of a coordinating council was feasible. Kal stated that there already is one but it is informal. He noted that Difanis, Reitz and others have daily discussions. Kal said the NIC has a book that he recommended getting. Rappaport asked for Kal's reaction to the list of priority tasks for the consultant. Kal stated that his job here has a short time frame and the committee has a broader job of influencing changes. He stated

he appreciated the list and was informed by it.

Driscoll noted that there is a mental health team and a specialty court steering committee and thought it might be helpful to meet with those groups as well. Kal stated that when you create a drug court you create a bureaucracy. The dark side of it is that the net of the criminal justice system is extended. He commented that he wasn't sure he wanted to go down the road of specialty courts, thinking that too much money goes into them and there are people in it that shouldn't be. He said he would talk to the judge and the teams but will set his boundaries.

Petrie suggested Kal take a tour of the JDC when touring the jails. Kal noted that he had thought of cancelling the tours since he has seen every type of jail there is. He says he plans on looking at several buildings though.

Branham felt that it is imperative to implement the recommendation of ILPP and the CJTF. Kal noted that he doesn't share all the same ideas, such as the transparency. He said he is a big believer in transparency for government but doesn't think it has a place in a criminal policy making group. Branham stated that she felt they could build on what they have. Kal stated that he has set up criminal justice advisory boards in almost every county he has worked in. He stated he would be happy if he could set up a board of 6-8 gatekeepers here, but to be careful what you wish for. He gave his phone number and email address to everyone so they could contact him and said he will always try to be responsive.

Richards thanked Kal for being here and said that everyone is looking forward to working with him.

Discussion of Recommendations Report

Richards stated there were some items they need to discuss regarding the report. Kilgore felt the last item should be moved up. He noted he emailed a recommendation today regarding cross referencing racial disparity. Branham stated she added #4 and gave just one example. She noted that one option is to add one more background document. Kilgore thought everyone had agreed that race was not outside the charge of the task force. He felt that there couldn't be a priority list for the consultant that didn't include race when it is just as important as mental health, which is included. Ferguson stated this task force isn't set up to take on the race issue, that there needs to be a different set of people. Rappaport felt that #10 spoke directly to that. He stated that he isn't worried about asking the consultant to do what Kilgore is suggesting and that they need to let him know that the task force feels it is important.

Ferguson agreed with moving up recommendation #10 but also expanding on recommendation two. Sullivan stated that you can't understand the criminal justice system without including race. He also noted that you can't make a recommendation regarding race without data. Branham noted that #4 and #6 are not all encompassing lists of data. Kilgore is concerned they are going to get a report from the consultant that says 70% of the jail population is African American but it won't cross reference why.

Richards stated that there was a request from the public to comment and asked for a motion. **Motion** by Kilgore to suspend the rules to engage the public. Seconded by Sullivan. **Motion did not pass.**

Driscoll felt the section of the public safety sales tax needed to be added to Richards' report. He had no objection to making any of the changes suggested. There were no other objections to the changes.

Rappaport asked if they were going to re-order. Driscoll stated he thought they had the order other than to insert the philosophical statement as #1 and move #10 up. Rappaport clarified that the statement Kilgore emailed would become #1 and what is currently #1 would become #2. Kilgore read the statement he had emailed. Branham felt it could be combined with the current #1. Rappaport disagreed saying the statement is the philosophical approach and #1 is the action wanted. He also mentioned changing the word "sentencing" to "alternative" so as

not to anger the judges. Kilgore suggested "restorative options." A few other ideas were given. Richard asked if there was a consensus on the wording. There was.

Kilgore said they needed to talk about the presentation on the 13th. Richards stated they would not be the only ones making a presentation. He said to try to limit the presentation to 15 minutes. Richards said he will be facilitating and would like everyone there to be able to answer questions. Rappaport asked when the report will go out to the County Board members. Richards said Thursday. Discussion continued on how the presentation would be made and who would make it.

Petrie suggested that if someone was up to writing a cover memo to go with the report that it could be helpful. Richards suggested continuing the discussion thru email.

Other Business

 Richards stated that the next event for this committee would be the presentation on the 13th at 6:00pm. Driscoll asked if Richards was going to be responsible for getting the final document to Administrative Services for distribution. Richards stated they would have it tomorrow. Rappaport suggested an email be sent to everyone with the date and time of the meeting. Petrie stated that the meeting will be at the ILEAS building due to remodeling at the Brookens Building.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:10 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

186 Linda Lane

187 Administrative Assistant