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The purpose of this real estate impact study is to determine whether the existing solar farm uses under study have had any measurable impact on the value of adjacent properties.

According to the Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA) 2016 report, Illinois had 81.52 Megawatts (MW) of solar panels installed, compared to Indiana which has had 265.64 MW of solar panels installed. As we are studying the impact of this use on adjacent property values, we have included several of these established solar farms in Indiana, focusing on similar rural and suburban areas, that we believe are comparable to those locations proposed in Illinois.

Our study includes research and analyses of nine existing solar panel farms and the property value trends of the adjacent land uses, including agricultural, single family and residential properties; review of published studies, and discussions with market participants, summarized as follows:

- **Solar Farm 1 (Grand Ridge Solar Farm)** is located near the City of Streator in LaSalle County, Illinois, in a primarily rural area, on two contiguous parcels totaling 160 acres. Surrounding uses consist of agricultural land, some with homesteads, and single family homes to the northwest. We found one adjoining property which qualified for a paired sales analysis.
- **Solar Farm 2 (Portage Solar Farm)** is located near the City of Portage, in Porter County, Indiana. This solar farm is situated in a residential area on a 56-acre parcel of land. The surrounding uses consist of agricultural land to the north and east, and residential uses such as single family homes to the west and northwest, and multifamily apartments to the south. We found two adjoining properties that qualified for a paired sales analysis.
- **Solar Farm 3 (IMPA Frankton Solar Farm)** is located in the Town of Frankton, in Madison County, Indiana. This solar farm is situated in a fairly rural area and is located on a 13-acre parcel. The surrounding uses consist of single family homes to the east, agricultural land to the south, west, and north, and some baseball fields as well. We found two adjoining properties which qualified for a paired sales analysis.
- **Solar Farm 4 (Dominion Indy Solar Farm III)** is located in a suburban, yet rural area outside of Indianapolis, in Marion County, Indiana, on a parcel totaling 134 acres. The surrounding uses consist of agricultural land to the east, west and south, and a single family subdivision to the north. We found six adjoining properties which qualified for a paired sales analysis.
- **Solar Farm 5 (Valparaiso Solar Farm)** is located near the City of Valparaiso, in Porter County, Indiana. This solar farm is situated in a fairly rural area on two contiguous parcels totaling 27.9 acres. The surrounding uses consist of vacant land to the north, and single family homes to the east, south and west. We considered two adjoining properties which qualified for a paired sales analysis.
- **Solar Farm 6 (Middlebury Solar Farm)** is located near the Town of Middlebury, in Elkhart County, Indiana. This solar farm is situated in a fairly rural area on a 33.86-acre parcel. The surrounding uses consist of residential uses to the east, north and west, industrial uses to the south, and a medical office use to the southwest. We considered one adjoining property which qualified for a paired sales analysis.
- **Solar Farm 7 (Rockford Solar Farm)** is located in the City of Rockford in Winnebago County, Illinois, just a little over one mile south of the Chicago-Rockford International Airport and is comprised of three parcels for a total acreage of 182.29 acres. This solar farm was announced for construction in March 2011, and completed in October 2012. The surrounding uses include agricultural and industrial land. Many of the surrounding parcels are owned by the Chicago-Rockford International Airport Authority. We found two adjoining properties which qualified for a paired sales analysis.
• Solar Farm 8 (*Lincoln Solar Farm*) is located near Merrillville, in Lake County, Indiana. This solar farm is situated in a fairly rural area located on one parcel made up of 20 acres. Surrounding uses included agricultural land directly west and north, single family uses to the east, and church use to the south. There were no adjoining properties with sales that fit the criteria to perform a paired sales analysis for Solar Farm 8.

• Solar Farm 9 (*University of Illinois Solar Farm*) is located in the City of Champaign, Champaign County, Illinois, just south of the University Illinois Urbana-Champaign Campus. This solar farm is located on 20.79 acres of land. The solar farm was announced for construction on November 12, 2012, and completed on November 2015. This solar farm is owned and operated by the University of Illinois and is considered one of the largest university solar farms in the country. Surrounding uses include a nature preserve to the east and south, commercial offices to the west, and university-occupied land to the north. There were no adjoining properties with sales that fit the criteria to perform a paired sales analysis for Solar Farm 9.

• We performed a paired sales analysis for each adjoining property that fit the criteria for analysis that were adjacent to the solar farms we studied. The sales adjacent to solar farms, or Test Areas, were compared to agricultural land sales and single family home sales not adjacent to solar farms within the same county as subject solar farms, or Control Areas. We analyzed 16 adjoining property sales in Test Areas and 72 comparable sales in Control Areas, collectively, for the Rockford Solar Farm, the Grand Ridge Solar Farm, the Portage Solar Farm, the IMPA Frankton Solar Farm, the Dominion Indy III Solar Farm, the Valparaiso LLC Solar Farm, and the Middlebury Solar Farm over the past five years. The remaining two solar farms did not have data available for analysis.

The basic premise of this comparative analysis is that if there is any impact on the property values, by virtue of their proximity to a solar farm, it would be reflected by such factors as the range of sale prices, differences in unit sale prices, conditions of sale, and overall marketability. When comparing these factors for properties near the solar farm to properties locationally removed from the solar farm, we would expect to see some emerging and consistent pattern of substantial difference in these comparative elements – if, in fact, there was an effect.

We have also reviewed published methodology for measuring impact on property values as well as published studies that specifically analyzed the impact of solar farms on nearby property values. We have also interviewed market participants, including Township Assessors, to give us additional insight as to how the market evaluates farm land and single family homes with views of the solar farm. These studies found little to no measurable and consistent difference in value between the Test Area Sales and the Control Area Sales attributed to the proximity to solar farms and are generally considered a compatible use. Considering all of this information, we can conclude that since the Adjoining Property Sales (Test Area Sales) for the existing solar farms analyzed were not adversely affected by their proximity to solar farms, that properties surrounding other solar farms operating in compliance with all regulatory standards will similarly not be adversely affected, in either the short or long term periods.

Disclaimer: This report is limited to the intended use, intended users (Cypress Creek Renewables, LLC; other intended users may include the client’s legal and accounting site development professionals), and purpose stated within. No part of this report may be reproduced or modified in any form, or by any means, without the prior written permission of CohnReznick, LLP.
March 20, 2018

Mr. Jason Carr  
Director of Community Relations  
Cypress Creek Renewables  
2660 NE Hwy 20, Suite 610 #30  
Bend, OR 97701

SUBJECT: Property Value Impact Study  
Nine Solar Farms  
Located in Champaign, LaSalle, and Winnebago Counties, Illinois; and, Lake, Porter, Madison, Marion, and Elkhart Counties, Indiana

Dear Mr. Carr:

CohnReznick is pleased to submit the accompanying adjacent property values impact study of the above referenced subject properties. Per the client’s request, we have researched three solar farms in Illinois: Grand Ridge in LaSalle County, Illinois (Solar Farm 1), Chicago Rockford International Airport in Winnebago County (Solar Farm 7), and the University of Illinois Solar Farm in Champaign County (Solar Farm 9). We have also researched six solar farms in Indiana: Portage Solar Farm in Porter County, Indiana (Solar Farm 2), IMPA Frankton Solar Farm in Madison County, Indiana (Solar Farm 3), Indy Solar III Farm in Marion County, Indiana (Solar Farm 4), Valparaiso Solar LLC Farm in Porter County, Indiana (Solar Farm 5), Middlebury Solar Farm in Elkhart County, Indiana (Solar Farm 6), and Lincoln Solar Farm in Lake County (Solar Farm 8).

In forming this report, we have researched and visited the existing solar farms in Illinois and Indiana, researched articles and other published studies, and interviewed real estate professionals and Township Assessors, active in the market where solar farms are located, to gain an understanding of market perceptions.

The purpose of the assignment is to determine whether the proximity of the subject facilities (solar farms) resulted in any significant measurable and consistent impact on adjacent property values, given the existing uses and zoning of nearby property at the time of development. The intended use of our opinions and conclusions is to assist the client in addressing local concerns regarding a solar farm’s potential impact on surrounding property values, in addition to addressing the required criteria for obtaining approvals for proposed solar energy uses, such as minimizing the impact on adjacent property values. We have not been asked to value any specific property, and we have not done so. The client for the assignment is Cypress Creek Renewables, LLC. The report may be used only for the aforementioned purpose and may not be distributed without the written consent of CohnReznick LLP (“CohnReznick”).

Disclaimer: This report is limited to the intended use, intended users (Cypress Creek Renewables, LLC; other intended users may include the client’s legal and accounting site development professionals), and purpose stated within. No part of this report may be reproduced or modified in any form, or by any means, without the prior written permission of CohnReznick, LLP.
The assignment is intended to conform to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute as well as applicable state appraisal regulations.

Based on the analysis in the accompanying report, and subject to the definitions, assumptions, and limiting conditions expressed in the report, our opinion is as follows below.

CONCLUSIONS

We analyzed 16 adjoining property sales and 72 comparable sales, collectively, for the Rockford Solar Farm, the Grand Ridge Solar Farm, the Portage Solar Farm, the IMPA Frankton Solar Farm, the Indy III Solar Farm, the Valparaiso LLC Solar Farm, and the Middlebury Solar Farm over the past five years. The remaining solar farms did not have data available for analysis. We note that proximity to the solar farms has not deterred sales of nearby agricultural land and residential single family homes.

No empirical evidence evolved that indicated a more favorable real estate impact on the Control Area Sales as compared to the adjoining, Test Area Sales with regard to such market elements as:

1. Range of sale prices
2. Differences in unit sale prices
3. Conditions of sale
4. Overall marketability

We have also reviewed published methodology for measuring impact on property values as well as published studies that specifically analyzed the impact of solar farms on nearby property values. We have also interviewed market participants, including Township Assessors, to give us additional insight as to how the market evaluates farm land and single family homes with views of the solar farm. These studies found little to no measurable and consistent difference in value between the Test Area Sales and the Control Area Sales attributed to the proximity to solar farms and are generally considered a compatible use. Considering all of this information, we can conclude that since the Adjoining Property Sales (Test Area Sales) for the existing solar farms analyzed were not adversely affected by their proximity to solar farms, that properties surrounding other solar farms operating in compliance with all regulatory standards will similarly not be adversely affected, in either the short or long term periods.
If you have any questions or comments, please contact the undersigned. Thank you for the opportunity to be of service.

Very truly yours,

CohnReznick, LLP

Patricia L. McGarr, MAI, CRE, FRICS
National Director - Valuation Advisory Services
Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
Illinois License No. #553.000621
Expires 9/30/2019
Indiana License No. #CG49600131
Expires 6/30/2018

Martin D. Broerman, MAI
Senior Manager
Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
Illinois License No. #553.002252
Expires 9/30/2019
Indiana License No. #CG41400050
Expires 6/30/2018

Andrew R. Lines, MAI
Principal
Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
Illinois License No. #553.001841
Expires 9/30/2019
Indiana License No. #CG41500037
Expires 6/30/2018

Sonia K. Singh
Manager
SCOPE OF WORK

CLIENT

Cypress Creek Renewables, LLC

INTENDED USERS

Cypress Creek Renewables; other intended users may include the client’s legal and accounting site development professionals.

INTENDED USE

The intended use of our opinions and conclusions is to assist the client in addressing local concerns regarding a solar farm’s potential impact on surrounding property values, in addition to addressing the required criteria for obtaining approvals for proposed solar energy uses, such as minimizing the impact on adjacent property values. The report may be used only for the aforementioned purpose and may not be distributed without the written consent of CohnReznick LLP (“CohnReznick”).

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to address local concerns regarding a solar farm use having a perceived impact on surrounding property values, and provide a consulting report that can be submitted to municipal planning departments for the purposes of addressing the required criteria for obtaining approvals for proposed solar energy sites.

EFFECTIVE DATE

March 1, 2018

DATE OF REPORT

March 20, 2018

PRIOR SERVICES

USPAP requires appraisers to disclose to the client any services they have provided in connection with the subject property in the prior three years, including valuation, consulting, property management, brokerage, or any other services.

This report is a compilation of the Solar Farms which we have studied over the past year, and is not evaluating a specific subject site. In this instance, there is no “subject property” to disclose.
INSPECTION

Patricia L. McGarr and Martin D. Broerman have performed an inspection of the exterior of the properties that are the subject of this impact study on various dates in October 2017. The inspections were conducted via public rights of way.

Patricia L. McGarr, Andrew R. Lines, Martin D. Broerman and Sonia K. Singh have viewed the exterior of all comparable data referenced in this report in person, via photographs, or aerial imagery.
OVERVIEW OF SOLAR DEVELOPMENT

Photovoltaic (PV) cell installations, commonly known as solar cells, increased almost exponentially over the past ten years in the United States as technology and the economic incentives (Solar Investment Tax Credits or ITC) made the installation of solar farms economically reasonable. Majority of these solar farm installations come from larger-scale solar farm developments for utility purposes. The charts below portray the increases of the solar installations in the US as a whole on an annual basis, courtesy of Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA) and GTM Research.

Additionally, nearly 250,000 Americans work in the solar industry. The cost to install solar panels has dropped nationally by 70% since 2010, which has led to the increase in installations. The map below portrays solar capacity by state.
Illinois has recently picked up investment in solar installations. According to the SEIA, to date there was $227.54 million invested in solar, however, only $13.49 million has been invested in 2016. Additionally, to date only 81.52 MW of solar panels are installed, and only 1.7 MW were installed in 2016. Illinois was ranked 33rd in the nation by the SEIA in 2017. Although, this state is relatively behind in solar production, they ranked 17th in solar jobs in 2016.
The state of Indiana has clearly seen a significant uptick in solar investments. According to the Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA), $384.70 million has been invested in solar, with $104.44 million being invested in 2016 alone. The increase in solar investments is due to the falling costs of installations. According to the SEIA, solar prices have declined by 55% over the past five years in the state. Currently, solar energy powers 31,000 Indiana homes with 265.64 MW of solar installed. Indiana ranks in the middle of the pack comparatively to other states, at 22nd.
MARKET ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT ON VALUE FROM SOLAR FARMS

METHODOLOGY

According to Randall Bell, PhD, MAI, author of *Real Estate Damages*, published by the Appraisal Institute in 2016, the paired sales analysis is an effective method of determining if there is a detrimental impact on surrounding properties.

“This type of analysis may compare the subject property or similarly impacted properties called **Test Areas** (at Points B, C, D, E, or F) with unimpaired properties called **Control Areas** (Point A). A comparison may also be made between the unimpaired value of the subject property before and after the discovery of a detrimental condition. If a legitimate detrimental condition exists, there will likely be a measurable and consistent difference between the two sets of market data; if not, there will likely be no significant difference between the two sets of data. This process involves the study of a group of sales with a detrimental condition, which are then compared to a group of otherwise similar sales without the detrimental condition.”

As an approved method, this technique can be utilized to extract the effect of a single characteristic on value. By definition, paired data analysis is “a quantitative technique used to identify and measure adjustments to the sale prices or rents of comparable properties; to apply this technique, sales or rental data on nearly identical properties is analyzed to isolate a single characteristic's effect on value or rent.” The text further describes that this method is theoretically sound when an abundance of market data is available for analysis. It may be impractical for those property types that do not frequently sell, such as commercial properties. *The Appraisal of Real Estate* states that the lack of data can reduce the strength of the analysis, and that “an adjustment derived from a single pair of sales is not necessarily indicative” of the value of the single difference.

We also utilized a Trend Analysis to adjust our comparable Control Sales to a constant valuation date, the date of the Test Area sale. According to the *Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, 6th edition*, a Trend Analysis is defined as:

“A quantitative technique used to identify and measure trends in the sale prices of comparable properties; useful when sales data on highly comparable properties is lacking but a broad database on properties with less similar characteristics is available. Market sensitivity is investigated by testing various factors that influence sale prices.”

We utilized a Trend Analysis to adjust the Control Sales for market conditions, as this is a variable that affects all properties similarly and can be adjusted for. Given the reduced amount of sale data and sales with highly similar characteristics to the Test Area sales, we concluded that adjusting only for market conditions is reasonable as this is explainable by a linear regression analysis, a form of Trend Analysis. This involved plotting our Control Sales unit sale prices against their sale dates and plotting a “Line of Best Fit” to explain market

---

1 Bell, Randall, PhD, MAI. *Real Estate Damages*. Third ed. Chicago, IL: Appraisal Institute, 2016.
condition trends. We extracted a monthly appreciation rate for each set of Control Sales and applied that to each respective grouping to normalize the sales to a common valuation date.

PUBLISHED STUDIES

We have also considered various studies that consider the impact of solar farms on surrounding property values. The studies range from survey-based formal research to less formal analyses.

The studies show that over the past decade, the solar industry has experienced unprecedented growth. Among the factors contributing to its growth were government incentives, significant capacity additions from existing and new entrants and continual innovation. The incentives made the solar photovoltaic (PV) industry economically attractive for many consumers and as a result set the conditions for the boom. A significant amount of farmland trades have been to solar developers, transaction prices for these deals were reported to be between 30 to 50 percent above normal agricultural land prices in 2016. Clean Energy Trends, a publication developed by Clean Edge, reported in 2013 that investments in new capacity of solar farms increased from approximately $3 billion USD in 2000 to approximately $91 billion USD in 2013, just short of the record of $92 billion USD in 2011. Solar PV installations increased from 31 Gigawatts (GW) in 2012 to a record of approximately 37 GW in 2013. As a result, annual solar PV installations exceed annual wind installations for the first time. Before 2011, annual wind installations were double annual solar PV installations.

Solar farms offer a wide array of economic and environmental benefits to surrounding properties. Unlike other energy sources, solar energy does not produce emissions that may cause negative health effects or environmental damage. Solar farms produce a lower electromagnetic field exposure than most household appliances, such as TV and refrigerators, and studies have confirmed there are no health issues related to solar farms. The Solar Foundation measured that the solar industry employed 22 percent more workers in the period from 2013 to 2015. Solar farm construction in rural areas has also dramatically increased the tax value of the land on which they are built, which has provided a financial boost to some counties. According to Duke University’s Center on Globalization, Governance, and Competitiveness (“DUCGCC”), study of solar projects in North Carolina indicated despite the 80% tax abatement, the taxable value of a parcel with a solar farm is significantly larger than the taxable value of that same land under agricultural zoning.

Beyond creating jobs, solar farms are also benefiting the overall long-term agricultural health of the community. As explained by ReThink Energy, a conservation foundation, a typical solar farm has more than two-thirds of the field left open and uncovered by solar panels. This unused land, and also all the land beneath the solar panels, will be left to repair naturally. In the long run this is a better use of land since the soil is allowed to recuperate instead of being ploughed and fertilized year in and year out.

A solar farm can greatly increase the value of land, offering some financial security for the property owner over 20 to 25 years. Once solar panel racking systems are removed, the land can revert to its original use.

---

Studies have also noted that the installation of utility-scale solar on a property has no negative impact on its value. According to a report titled “Mapleton Solar Impact Study” from Kirkland Appraisals, LLC, conducted in Murfreesboro, North Carolina in September 2017, the study found that the proposed solar farm had no impact to adjacent vacant residential, agricultural land, or residential homes. The adjoining land for the paired data sales analysis in the report was primarily low density residential and agricultural uses, although there was one case where the solar farm adjoined to two dense subdivisions of homes.
ADJACENT PROPERTY VALUES IMPACT STUDY

We identified nine solar farms to study with comparable sales where generally the only difference was the attribute under study: proximity to a solar farm.

Ownership and sales history for each adjoining property to an existing solar farm through the effective date of this report is maintained within our workfile. Adjoining properties with no sales data or that sold prior to the development of the solar farm were excluded from further analysis. Adjoining properties that sold during construction were not considered for a paired sales analysis because the impact of being proximate to the solar farm could not be differentiated from the impact of the construction. Adjoining properties that sold in a non-arm’s length transaction (such as a transaction between related parties, bank-owned transaction, or between adjacent owners) were excluded from analysis as these are not considered to be reflective of market price levels. The adjoining properties that remained after exclusions were considered for a paired sale analysis.

The difference in price is considered to be the impact of the proximity to the solar farm. Two types of paired sales analyses were considered based on the availability of data:

- Comparing sales of adjoining properties prior to the announcement of the solar farm to sales of adjoining properties after the completion of the solar farm.
- Comparing sales of adjoining properties after the completion of the solar farm to sales of comparable properties that are proximate to solar farms, but not adjoining to them.

We have considered only one type of paired sales analysis, which was comparing sales of properties proximate to the solar farm (Control Area) to the sales of adjoining properties after the completion of the solar farm project (Test Area). We were unable to compare any sales of adjoining properties that occurred prior to the announcement of the solar farm with the sales of the adjoining properties after the completion of the solar farm project as there were no adjoining properties that sold prior to the announcement of the solar farm, within a reasonable period of time.

We have found Control Area sales data through the Northern Illinois Multiple Listing Service (MLS), Zillow, Gateway Sales Disclosure Form website, and the Illinois Land Sales Bulletin, and verified these sales through county records, conversations with brokers, and the County Assessor’s Office. It is important to note that these Control Area Sales are not adjoining to any solar farm, nor do they have a view of one from the property. Therefore, the announcement nor the completion of the solar farm use could not have impacted the sales price of these properties.

To make direct comparisons, the sale price of the Control Area sales will need to be adjusted for market conditions to a common date. In this analysis, the common date is the date of the Adjoining Property Sale after the completion of the solar farm. After adjustment, any measurable difference between the sale prices would be indicative of a possible price impact of the solar farm, if any.

Presented on the following pages is a summary of the analyses completed for each of the existing solar farms studied. Detail of these analyses is retained within our workfile.
SOLAR FARM 1: GRAND RIDGE SOLAR FARM, STREATOR, IL

Location: Grand Ridge Solar Farm in LaSalle County, IL

Coordinates: Latitude 41.143421, Longitude -88.758340

PIN: 34-22-100-000, 34-22-101-000

Total Project Size: 160 AC

Date Project Announced: December 31, 2010

Date Project Completed: July 2012

Project Size: 11.90 AC

Output: 23 MW DC (20 MW AC)

This solar farm is located at the southeast corner at the intersection of 21st and 15th roads. The solar farm was developed by Invenergy and is considered to be one of the largest renewable energy centers in the world. It includes a 210 MW wind farm, 20 MW AC project solar and 1.5 MW advanced-energy storage project all in one location. The solar facility consists of twenty individual 1 MW solar inverters and over 155,000 photovoltaic modules supplied by General Electric. The solar farm has vacant agricultural land to the north and east, and natural vegetation to the east and south. The solar plant is located adjacent to Invenergy’s wind farm.

Real Estate Tax Info: Prior to development of the solar farm, during the period between 2009 and 2011, this 160 acre farm paid real estate taxes of about $1,500 per 80 acre parcel ($3,000 per year in total). In the 5 years since the solar farm has been operating, the real estate taxes have increased to about $1,600 per acre ($255,000 per year in total). The map on the following page displays the parcels within the solar farm is located (outlined in red). Properties adjoining this parcel are numbered for subsequent analysis.
Solar Farm 1 Adjoining Properties
Adjoining Property 12 (Test Area) was considered for a paired sales analysis, and we analyzed this property as a single-family home use. We analyzed five Control Area single family home sales on similar lot sizes that sold within a reasonable time frame from Adjoining Property 12’s sale date, and adjusted the Control Area sales for market conditions using regression analysis to identify the appropriate monthly market conditions adjustment. The result of our analysis for Solar Farm 1 is presented below.

![CohnReznick Paired Sale Analysis](image)

Noting the relatively small price differential slightly over 5%, it does not appear that Solar Farm 1 impacted the sales price of Adjoining Property 12 in either direction (positive or negative).
SOLAR FARM 2: PORTAGE SOLAR FARM, PORTAGE TOWNSHIP, IN

Location: Portage Solar Farm in Porter County, IN

Coordinates: Latitude 41.333263, Longitude -87.093015

PIN: 64-06-19-176-001.000-015

Total Project Size: 56 AC

Recorded Owner: PLH Inc

Date Project Announced: February 2012

Date Project Completed: September 2012

Project Size: 1.5 MW

Output: 1.5 MW DC (1.96 MW AC)

This solar farm is located on the south side of Robbins Road, located just outside the City of Portage. The solar farm was developed by Ecos Energy, who is a subsidiary of Allco Renewable Energy Limited. This solar farm is ground mounted has the capacity for 1.5 Megawatts (MW) of power, which is enough to power 300 homes. This solar farm consists of 7,128 solar modules which are of a fixed tilt installation, and contains three inverters. The solar farm is fenced from adjacent properties by a fence that surrounds all of the solar panels. Natural vegetation borders the western and northern sides of the solar farm.

Real Estate Tax Info: The 56 acres of farm land was paying $1,400 per year in taxes. After the solar farm was developed, only 13 acres (23% of the site) was reassessed and the remaining 43 acres continued to be farmed. The total real estate tax bill increased to $16,350 per year after the solar farm was built, including both uses on the site. This indicates that the real estate taxes for the solar farm increased from $25 per acre to $1,175 per acre after the solar farm was developed. The map on the following page displays the parcels within the solar farm is located (outlined in red). Properties adjoining this parcel are numbered for subsequent analysis.
Solar Farm 2 Adjoining Properties
Solar Farm 2 Adjoining Properties
Adjoining Properties 1 and 7 (Test Areas) were each considered for a paired sales analysis. Adjoining Property 1 was analyzed as homestead/small farm land tract since at the time of purchase the site was used as agricultural land. The buyer bought it as vacant land and subsequently built a home on site. Adjoining Property 7 was analyzed as a single-family home use.

For Adjoining Property 1, we analyzed nine Control Area homestead/small farm land tract sales that sold within a reasonable time frame from Adjoining Property 1’s sale date. For Adjoining Property 7, we analyzed seven Control Area single family home sales that sold within a reasonable time frame from Adjoining Property 7’s sale date. All Control area sales were adjusted for market conditions using regression analysis to identify the appropriate monthly market conditions adjustment.

The result of our analyses for Solar Farm 2 is presented below.

Noting the relatively small price differential, with both adjacent sales (Adjoining Property 1 or 7) having higher unit sale prices than the Control Area sales, it does not appear that Solar Farm 2 had any negative impact on adjacent property values.
SOLAR FARM 3: IMPA FRANKTON SOLAR FARM, FRANKTON, IN

Location: IMPA Frankton Solar Farm in Madison County, IN

Coordinates: Latitude 40.125701; Longitude -85.462688

PIN: 48-08-06-500-012.001-020

Total Project Size: 13 AC

Recorded Owner: IMPA

Date Project Announced: November 2013

Date Project Completed: June 2014

Project Size: 1 MW

Output: 1,426 Mwh Annually

This solar farm is located on the west side of South Lafayette Street, located in the Town of Frankton. IMPA Frankton Solar Farm was built in 2014 in joint effort by Inovateus Solar and Indian Municipal Power Agency (IMPA). This solar farm has the capacity for 1 MW and its expected annual output is 1,426 MWh (megawatt hours). The solar farm is separated off from their adjacent properties by a 6’ fence that surrounds the entirety of the solar panels. From our inspection of the site we note that the driveway to access the panels slopes downward and allows some views of the site. The map on the following page displays the parcels within the solar farm is located (outlined in red). Properties adjoining this parcel are numbered for subsequent analysis.
Adjoining Properties 2 and 7 (Test Areas) were each considered for a paired sales analysis. Adjoining Property 2 was manufactured single family home use. Adjoining Property 7 was analyzed as a single-family home use.

For Adjoining Property 2, we analyzed six Control Area sales that sold within a reasonable time frame from Adjoining Property 2’s sale date. For Adjoining Property 7, we analyzed five Control Area sales that sold within a reasonable time frame from Adjoining Property 7’s sale date. All Control area sales were adjusted for market conditions using regression analysis to identify the appropriate monthly market conditions adjustment.

The result of our analyses for Solar Farm 3 is presented below.

Noting the relatively small price differential, in which both Adjoining Property Sales 2 and 7 sold at a slightly higher unit sale price that the Control Area Sales, it does not appear that Solar Farm 3 had any negative impact on adjoining property sales.
SOLAR FARM 4: DOMINION INDY SOLAR III, INDIANAPOLIS, IN

Location: Dominion Indy Solar III, in Marion County, IN

Coordinates: Latitude 39.3914.16, Longitude -86.153485

PIN: 49-13-113-001.000-200

Total Project Size: 134 AC

Recorded Owner: PLH Inc

Date Project Announced: August 2012

Date Project Completed: December 2013

Project Size: 11.9 MW

Output: 11.9 MW DC (8.6 MW AC)

This solar farm is located on the southern side of West Southport Road, located approximately eight and a half miles from the heart of Indianapolis. The solar farm was developed by Dominion Renewable Energy. This solar farm is ground mounted has the capacity for 11.9 Megawatts (MW) of power. The panels are mounted in a fixed tilt fashion and there are 12 inverters in this solar farm. The solar farm is lined by a chain link fence that surrounds all of the solar panels. Additionally, there are some natural bushes and trees on all sides of the property; this vegetation has been in place since before development of the solar farm. The maps on the following pages display the parcels within the solar farm is located (outlined in red). Properties adjoining this parcel are numbered for subsequent analysis.
Adjoining homes in the Crossfield Subdivision

Solar Farm 4 Adjoining Properties

Disclaimer: This report is limited to the intended use, intended users (Cypress Creek Renewables, LLC; other intended users may include the client’s legal and accounting site development professionals), and purpose stated within. No part of this report may be reproduced or modified in any form, or by any means, without the prior written permission of CohnReznick, LLP.
Several Adjoining Properties (Test Areas) were considered for a paired sales analysis and were analyzed as single-family home uses. Due to the similarities of the adjoining properties that were included in our paired sales analysis, we will conduct the paired sales analysis in two groupings, based on sale dates. The adjoining properties that were considered for a paired sale analysis are indicated in the table below.

For Group 1, we analyzed eight Control Area sales that sold within a reasonable time frame from the average sale date of the Group 1 sales. For Group 2, we analyzed seven Control Area sales that sold within a reasonable time frame from the average sale date of the Group 2 sales. All Control area sales were adjusted for market conditions using regression analysis to identify the appropriate monthly market conditions adjustment.

The result of our analyses for Solar Farm 4 is presented below.

Noting the relatively small price differential, in which the Test Area Sales were slightly higher than the average for the Control Areas, it does not appear that Solar Farm 4 had any negative impact on adjoining property values.
SOLAR FARM 5: VALPARAISO SOLAR LLC, VAPARAIOSO, IN

Location: Valparaiso Solar LLC, in Porter County, IN

Coordinates: Latitude 41.301180, Longitude –87.094055

PIN: 64-09-07-152-001.000-019, 64-09-07-152-002.000-019

Total Project Size: 27.9 AC

Recorded Owner: PLH Inc

Date Project Announced: March 2012

Date Project Completed: December 20, 2012

Project Size: 1.3 MW

Output: 1.3 MW DC (1 MW AC)

This solar farm is located on the southern side of Indiana Route 130 (Railroad Ave), located approximately 35 miles southwest of the Chicago Loop. The solar farm was developed by Sustainable Power Group LLC and has ground mounted capacity for 1.3 Megawatts (MW) of power. The panels are mounted in a fixed tilt fashion and there are 2 inverters in this solar farm. The solar farm is lined by a chain link fence that surrounds all of the solar panels. Additionally, there are some natural bushes and trees to the north and west of the solar panels; this vegetation has been in place since before development of the solar farm. Other small trees were planted spaced out around the perimeter of the solar farm after development. From our inspection, the solar panels cannot be seen from Indiana State Route 130 from the north, nor on N 475 W Road to the east as this is a raised roadway. The adjacent properties to the east of the solar panels have full view of the panels from their backyards. The maps on the following pages display the parcels within the solar farm is located (outlined in red). Properties adjoining this parcel are numbered for subsequent analysis.
Solar Farm 5 Adjoining Properties
Adjoining Properties 10 and 14 (Test Areas) were each considered for a paired sales analysis. Both were analyzed as single-family home uses.

For Adjoining Property 10, we analyzed five Control Area sales that sold within a reasonable time frame from Adjoining Property 10’s sale date. For Adjoining Property 14, we analyzed five Control Area sales that sold within a reasonable time frame from Adjoining Property 14’s sale date. All Control area sales were adjusted for market conditions using regression analysis to identify the appropriate monthly market conditions adjustment.

The result of our analyses for Solar Farm 5 is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CohnReznick Paired Sale Analysis</th>
<th>Potentially Impacted by Solar Farm</th>
<th>Adjusted Median Price Per SF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Control Area Sales</td>
<td>No: Not adjoining solar farm</td>
<td>$79.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjoining Property 10 (Test Area)</td>
<td>Yes: Solar Farm was completed by the sale date</td>
<td>$82.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.09%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CohnReznick Paired Sale Analysis</th>
<th>Potentially Impacted by Solar Farm</th>
<th>Adjusted Median Price Per SF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Control Area Sales</td>
<td>No: Not adjoining solar farm</td>
<td>$64.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjoining Property 14 (Test Area)</td>
<td>Yes: Solar Farm was completed by the sale date</td>
<td>$62.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.06%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Noting the relatively small price differential, with one matched pair reflecting a unit sale price of 3% higher for the adjacent sale and the other matched pair reflecting a 3% lower unit sale price, it does not appear that Solar Farm 5 negatively impacted the sales price of Adjoining Property 10 or 14 in any consistent way.
SOLAR FARM 6: MIDDLEBURY SOLAR FARM, MIDDLEBURY, IN

Location: Middlebury Solar Farm, in Elkhart County, IN

Coordinates: Latitude 41.415202, Longitude –85.411819

PIN: 20-04-35-379-014.000-032

Total Project Size: 33.86 AC

Recorded Owner: PLH Inc/Allco

Date Project Announced: December 2011

Date Project Completed: December 2012

Project Size: 1.5 MW

Output: 1.96 MW DC (1.5 MW AC)

This solar farm is located on the eastern side of Indiana State Route 12, located approximately one and a half miles northeast of downtown Middlebury. The solar farm was developed by Ecos Energy LLC, a subsidiary of Allco Renewable Energy Limited. This solar farm is ground mounted and has the capacity for 1.96 Megawatts (MW) of power. The panels are mounted in a fixed tilt fashion and there are 3 inverters in this solar farm. The solar farm is lined by a chain link fence that surrounds all of the solar panels. Additionally, there are some natural bushes and trees on all sides of the solar panels; this vegetation has been in place since before development of the solar farm. From our inspection, the panels are only visible by the Meijer distribution facility to the south, the medical clinic access road to the southwest, and a slight view is present from the medical clinic’s parking lot looking northeast. The medical clinic was developed prior to the solar farm and developed a landscaped berm behind the improvements. This berm was in place prior to development of the solar farm. The maps on the following pages display the parcels within the solar farm is located (outlined in red). Properties adjoining this parcel are numbered for subsequent analysis.
Solar Farm 6 Adjoining Properties
Solar Farm 6 Adjoining Properties
Adjoining Property 10 (Test Area) was considered for a paired sales analysis, and we analyzed this property as a single-family home use. We analyzed eight Control Area single family home sales on similar lot sizes that sold within a reasonable time frame from Adjoining Property 10’s sale date, and adjusted the Control Area sales for market conditions using regression analysis to identify the appropriate monthly market conditions adjustment. The result of our analysis for Solar Farm 6 is presented below.

The unit sale price for Adjoining Property 10 was significantly higher than the median unadjusted and adjusted unit sale prices for the Control Area Sales. This is primarily due to the smaller size of Adjoining Property 10 and larger site area in comparison to the median statistics of the Control Area Sales.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CohnReznick Paired Sale Analysis</th>
<th>Potentially Impacted by Solar Farm</th>
<th>Adjusted Median Price Per SF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Control Area Sales</td>
<td>No: Not adjoining solar farm</td>
<td>$104.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjoining Property 10 (Test Area)</td>
<td>Yes: Solar Farm was completed by the sale date</td>
<td>$132.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The unit sale price for Adjoining Property 10 was significantly higher than the median unadjusted and adjusted unit sale prices for the Control Area Sales. This is primarily due to the smaller size of Adjoining Property 10 and larger site area in comparison to the median statistics of the Control Area Sales.
SOLAR FARM 7: ROCKFORD SOLAR FARM, ROCKFORD, IL

Location: Chicago-Rockford International Airport in Winnebago County, IL

Coordinates: Latitude 42.175278, Longitude -89.08833

PINs: 15-26-151-005, 15-26-176-003, 15-26-300-009

Total Land Size: 182.29 AC

Recorded Owner: Greater Rockford Airport Authority

Total Project Size: 70 AC (Total three phases)

Current Project size: 15 AC (Approximate)

Date Project Announced: March 30, 2011

Date Project Completed: October 2012

Current Output: 3.06 MW (Phase I)

Future Output: 62 MW (Total three phases)

This solar farm is located in the City of Rockford, near the banks of Rock River which is about 80 miles northwest of Chicago. The project was initiated as a joint venture effort between Wanxiang American Corporation (Wanxiang) and New Generation Power (NGP) under the name Rockford Solar Partners, LLC. The initial goal of the project was to create hundreds of sustainable, green-collar jobs and provide a lasting economic boost to the state of Illinois, and is the largest airport-based solar photovoltaic (PV) electricity generating facility in the US. In the past, the city of Rockford was predominately a blue-collar capital filled with machine shops and factories. However, due to modernization, many of these workplaces have closed. The city now looks to the renewable energy industry to help stimulate the local economy. The project was also part of a larger, state-wide initiative to increase solar power production and reduce dependence on fossil fuels.

The total cost of Rockford Solar Partner’s proposed three-phase, project was approximately $127 million and was financed six months prior to the date it was announced. In March 2010, the solar project received a $4 million USD grant from the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity (DCEO). The first phase of development was completed in October 2012. A railroad track runs along the solar farm to the east, and a series of natural bushes and trees line the panels to the north. There is no proximate natural vegetation to the western and southern areas near the panels; however, there is approximately 1,080 feet between most western solar panel and the western property line. Additionally, there is approximately 2,045 feet between the most southern solar panel and the southern property line. The map on the following page displays the parcels within the solar farm is located (outlined in red). Properties adjoining this parcel are numbered for subsequent analysis.
Solar Farm 7 Adjoining Properties
Adjoining Properties 1 and 2 (Test Area) were considered for a paired sales analysis, and we analyzed this property as agricultural land. Adjoining Properties 1 and 2 were sold in 2017, which is a reasonable time after completion of the solar farm. These two parcels sold with a third, contiguous parcel that measures 66.83 acres, for a total size of 214.7 acres, reflecting a unit sale price of $3,942 per acre. Therefore, Adjoining Properties 1 and 2 (Test Area) were considered for a paired sales analysis. Since these properties were sold together, along with a third contiguous parcel, we have considered it as one sale (Test Area Sale). An aerial image of all three of the parcels that sold is presented on the following page, with the parcels outlined in red. Parcel 1 is located within flood zone AE, which has a 1% annual chance of flood hazard, and Parcel 3 is located within flood zone AE and within a regulatory floodway. Parcel 3 also contains freshwater forested/shrub wetlands on site. The floodplain, floodway and wetlands maps are all presented on the following pages. Additionally, the entire site has a relatively low Productivity Index (PI) of 103. Farm land unit prices are primarily influenced by productivity.

**For soils in Illinois, optimum soil PI ranges from 47 to 147.** Soil productivity ratings under optimum management for Illinois farmland on this scale are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Rating</th>
<th>PI Range</th>
<th>Soil Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>133-147</td>
<td>Class A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>117-132</td>
<td>Class B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>100-116</td>
<td>Class C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Less than 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have presented the adjoining property’s surety map on the following pages as well.
Adjoining Properties 1 and 2 (and Contiguous Parcel) Parcel Map
Adjoining Properties 1 and 2 (and Contiguous Parcel) Floodplain Map
Adjoining Properties 1 and 2 (and Contiguous Parcel) Wetlands Map
Disclaimer: This report is limited to the intended use, intended users (Cypress Creek Renewables, LLC; other intended users may include the client’s legal and accounting site development professionals), and purpose stated within. No part of this report may be reproduced or modified in any form, or by any means, without the prior written permission of CohnReznick, LLP.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Slope%</th>
<th>FAV</th>
<th>EAF</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>EF</th>
<th>Unfav</th>
<th>FAU</th>
<th>EFU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Girwood loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierras loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinville silty loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vailoria silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flagler sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glades loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okeechobee loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okeechobee silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilb, gravel</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>CROP YIELD DATA NOT AVAILABLE</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warsaw loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biltmore sandy loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panamint, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>CROP YIELD DATA NOT AVAILABLE</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho, loamy, undulating</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>CROP YIELD DATA NOT AVAILABLE</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodeo-Warship complex, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>UNF</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Weighted Average:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103.2</td>
<td>103.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is important to note that Adjoining Property 2 and the third contiguous parcel have heavily wooded areas on their parcels. The following table outlines the characteristics of Adjoining Property 1-2 and the third contiguous parcel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>PIN</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Sale Price</th>
<th>Site Size (AC)</th>
<th>PI Index</th>
<th>Improvements</th>
<th>Wooded Area %</th>
<th>Sale Price/AC</th>
<th>Sale Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sold</td>
<td>15-26-400-003, 15-26-400-001; 15-35-200-001</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$846,555</td>
<td>214.7</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>$3,943</td>
<td>Apr-17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We analyzed seven Control Area agricultural sales on similar lot sizes that sold within a reasonable time frame from Adjoining Properties 1 and 2’s sale date, and adjusted the Control Area sales for market conditions using regression analysis to identify the appropriate monthly market conditions adjustment. We have excluded sales of strictly residential land and included sales of unimproved land that would be mainly used for agricultural purposes and had lower PIs like the Adjoining Properties. The result of our analysis for Solar Farm 7 is presented below.

### CohnReznick Paired Sale Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Impacted by Solar Farm</th>
<th>Adjusted Median Price Per Acre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Control Area Sales</td>
<td>$4,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjoining Properties 1-2 (Test Area)</td>
<td>$3,943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Difference** -3.23%

The unit sale price of Adjoining Properties 1 and 2 (Test Area) was slightly lower than the median adjusted unit sale price of Control Area Sales. Noting the relatively small price differential reflecting a 3% lower unit sale price, it does not appear that Solar Farm 7 negatively impacted the sales price of Adjoining Properties 1 and 2.
SOLAR FARM 8: LINCOLN SOLAR FARM, LAKE COUNTY, IN

Location: Lincoln Solar Farm in Lake County, IN

Coordinates: Latitude 41.274994, Longitude -87.153610

PIN: 45-13-30-200-010.000-030

Total Project Size: 20 AC

Recorded Owner: PLH Inc

Date Project Announced: January 2012

Date Project Completed: September 2012

Project Size: 1.5 MW

Output: 1.5 MW DC (1.98 MW AC)

This solar farm is located on the western side of Grand Boulevard, located approximately three miles east of the Town of Merrillville. The solar farm was developed by Ecos Energy, who is a subsidiary of Allco Renewable Energy Limited. This solar farm is ground mounted has the capacity for 1.5 Megawatts (MW) of power, which is enough to power 300 homes. This solar farm consists of 7,128 solar modules which are of a fixed tilt installation, and contains three inverters. The subject solar farm is separated from adjacent properties by a 6 foot chain link fence topped with barbed wire that surrounds all of the solar panels. There is no adjacent natural or landscaped vegetation. The panels are visible to all adjacent property owners. From our inspection, it does appear the neighbor to the south (Protection of the Virgin Mary Orthodox Church) had planted medium sized pines (6’). In their current growth, they do not block total view of the solar panels. See images on the following page.
The map below displays the parcels within the solar farm is located (shaded in blue). Properties adjoining this parcel are numbered for subsequent analysis.

Solar Farm 8 Adjoining Properties

For Solar Farm 8, there were no adjoining properties with sales that fit the criteria to perform a paired sales analysis.
SOLAR FARM 9: UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS SOLAR FARM, CHAMPAIGN, IL

**Location:** University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign in Champaign County, IL

**Coordinates:** Latitude 40.08223, Longitude -88.244399

**PIN:** 03-20-25-226-006

**Total Project Size:** 20.79 AC

**Recorded Owner:** Phoenix Solar South Farms

**Date Project Announced:** November 12, 2012

**Date Project Completed:** November 2015

**Output:** 5.87 MW

The solar farm is located south of Windsor Road and east of US Route 45, near the University of Illinois, and is considered to be one of the largest university solar arrays in the country. The university signed a 10-year power purchase agreement with Phoenix South Solar Farms, LLC in November 2012 to purchase all electricity produced by the solar farm and deliver it directly to the campus grid. In addition, the university will own/receive all current and future Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) and emission credits associated with energy from the solar farm. In addition, Phoenix South Solar Farms was hired to design, build, and operate the solar farm. The solar farm produces an estimated 7.86 million kilowatt-hours (kWh) annually or approximately two percent of the annual electrical demand for the university campus. Additional research estimates the solar farm will generate up to 91 percent of its original output even in year 20 of the project and collect energy for up to 40 years. The total cost of the project was approximately $15.5 million over 20 years, of which the Student Sustainability Committee provided $1.05 million USD and the Campus Utility Budget provided $4.25 million USD. There is natural vegetation of small trees and bushes to the east, north, and west. The map on the following page displays the parcels within the solar farm is located (outlined in pink). Properties adjoining this parcel are numbered for subsequent analysis.
For Solar Farm 9, there were no adjoining properties with sales that fit the criteria to perform a paired sales analysis.
SUMMARY OF ADJOINING USES

The table below summarizes each subject solar farm’s adjoining uses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solar Farm</th>
<th>Parcel ID</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Acreage % of Surrounding Agricultural Uses</th>
<th>Acreage % of Surrounding Residential Uses</th>
<th>Acreage % of Surrounding Industrial Uses</th>
<th>Acreage % of Surrounding Office Uses</th>
<th>Acreage % of Surrounding Other Uses</th>
<th>Average Distance from Panels to Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grand Ridge</td>
<td>34-22-100-000; 32-22-101-000</td>
<td>Missel, Eugene / Dorothy Ttee</td>
<td>97.60%</td>
<td>1.40%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portage</td>
<td>64-06-19-176-001.000-015</td>
<td>PLH LLC</td>
<td>65.50%</td>
<td>34.50%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPA Frankton</td>
<td>48-08-06-500-012.001-020</td>
<td>IMPA</td>
<td>76.30%</td>
<td>5.70%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>18.00%</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indy Solar III</td>
<td>49-13-13-113-001.000-200</td>
<td>Indy Solar Development LLC</td>
<td>97.70%</td>
<td>2.30%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valparaiso Solar LLC</td>
<td>64-09-07-152-001.000-019, 64-09-07-152-002.000-019</td>
<td>PLH LLC</td>
<td>81.60%</td>
<td>16.40%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middlebury Solar Farm</td>
<td>20-04-35-379-014.000-032</td>
<td>PLH LLC C/o Allco</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>61.50%</td>
<td>15.60%</td>
<td>2.90%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockford</td>
<td>15-26-151-003, 300-009, 176-003</td>
<td>Greater Rockford Airport Authority</td>
<td>50.30%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>49.70%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>1,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Solar</td>
<td>49-13-30-200-010.000-030</td>
<td>PLH LLC</td>
<td>76.40%</td>
<td>2.60%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>21.00%</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Illinois</td>
<td>03-20-25-266-006</td>
<td>Phoenix Solar South Farms</td>
<td>60.60%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>3.90%</td>
<td>35.50%</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, the vast majority of the surrounding acreage for each comparable solar farm, with the exception of the Middlebury Solar Farm, is made up of agricultural land, some of which have homesteads. There are also smaller single family home sites that adjoin to the solar farms we have studied. We have found that these comparable solar farms are sound comparables in terms of adjoining uses, location, and size.

Five of the seven studies with paired sale analyses reflected sales of property adjoining an existing solar farm in which the unit sale prices were effectively the same or higher (+0.10% to +27.36%) than the comparable Control Area sales that were not near any solar farms.

Considering this analysis, we conclude that there was no demonstrated impact on adjacent property values that was associated with proximity to solar farms.
MARKET COMMENTARY

We have additionally contacted market participants such as appraisers, brokers, and developers. Our conversations with these market participants are noted below.

We contacted the selling broker of the Adjoining Property 12 of the Grand Ridge Solar Farm, Tina Sergenti with Coldwell Banker, and were told that the proximity of the solar farm had no impact on the marketing time or selling price of the property.

We contacted the Lake County Indiana Assessor, Jerome Prince, to discuss the recent developments of solar farms in Indiana and how it would impact property values of adjacent properties. He directed us to his colleague, Robert Metz, who is familiar with the Lincoln Solar Farm in Merrillville. He stated that “there doesn’t seem to be a major impact in my initial investigation.” He also stated that “sales in the homes to the east of that site have sold and haven’t seen any value diminished.”

We spoke with James Allen, who is a county assessor in Elkhart County, Indiana. He stated that he conducted a study on residential properties with one acre and greater to see if there was any impact with the Middlebury Solar Farm and found no impact on land or property values.

We spoke with Ken Surface, a Senior Vice President of Nexus Group. Nexus Group is a large valuation group in Indiana and has been hired by 20 counties in Indiana regarding property assessments. Mr. Surface is familiar with the solar farm sites in Harrison County (Lanesville Solar Farm) and Monroe County (Ellettsville Solar Farm) and stated he has noticed no impact on property values from these sites.

We have spoken to Mendy Lassaline, the County Assessor for Perry County, Indiana. She stated that she has seen no impact on land or residences from the solar farm in her county (IMPA Tell City Solar Park).

We interviewed Patti St. Clair, the Chief Deputy to the St. Josephs County Assessor in Indiana. She stated that she has seen no impact from the solar farm on land or properties in her county (Olive PV Solar Farm). Additionally, she stated that no appeals have come in to her office stating that this solar farm has had any negative effect.

According to Betty Smith-Hanson, the Wayne County Assessor in Indiana, there has been no impact on land or property values from the solar farm in her county (IMPA Richmond Solar Park).

Finally, we interviewed Missy Tetrack, a Commercial Valuation Analyst for the Marion County Indiana Assessor. She mentioned the Indy Solar I, II, and III sites and stated that she saw no impact on land or property prices from these solar farms.
SOLAR FARM FACTORS ON HARMONY OF USE

The data from the solar farms included in this Property Value Impact Study, clearly indicates that solar farms are generally a compatible use with agricultural and residential uses.

The following section analyzes specific physical characteristics of solar farms and is based on research and our solar farm site visits.

Appearance: Most solar panels have a similar appearance to a greenhouse or single story residence and are usually not more than 10 feet high. As previously mentioned, developers generally surround a solar farm with a fence and often leave existing perimeter foliage, which minimizes the visibility of the farm. The physical characteristics of solar farms are compatible with adjoining agricultural and residential uses.

Noise: Solar panels in general are effectively silent and noise levels are minimal, similar to ambient noise. The only two sources of noise include the tracking motors and inverters housed in a sound-proofed container, which produce a quiet hum. However, neither source are typically heard outside the facility fence. Additionally, solar farms don’t emit sound at nighttime.

Odor: Solar panels do not produce any byproduct or odor.

Traffic: The solar farm does not require regular maintenance from on-site employees and as a result does not attract traffic during daily operation aside from the initial construction and installation of the farm.

Hazardous Material: Modern solar panel arrays are constructed to U.S. government standards, and contain only aluminum, glass, silicon and EVA (a high-grade plastic); all of these materials are recyclable.
COMPATIBILITY WITH EXISTING USES

We have examined multiple instances where adjoining property owners have developed homes next to an operational solar farm, which shows that the presence of solar farms has not deterred new development. In Solar Farm 4, the adjacent land to the west was purchased and subsequently developed with a large estate home – after the solar panels had been in operation for years. Supporting aerial imagery is presented below.

![Portage Solar Farm (Solar Farm 2) October 2015](image1)

![Portage Solar Farm (Solar Farm 2) October 2016](image2)

![Dominion INDY III Solar Farm (Solar Farm 4) September 2014](image3)

![Dominion INDY III Solar Farm (Solar Farm 4) October 2016](image4)
SUMMARY AND FINAL CONCLUSIONS

We have reviewed published methodology for measuring impact on property values as well as published studies that analyzed the impact of solar farms on property values. We have also interviewed market participants to give us additional insight as to how the market evaluates farm land and single family homes with views of the solar farm. These studies found little to no measurable and consistent difference between the Test Area Sales and the Control Area Sales attributed to the solar farms, and are generally considered a compatible use. We then can conclude that since the Adjoining Property Sales (Test Area Sales) were not adversely affected by their proximity to the solar farm, that properties surrounding other proposed solar farms operating in compliance with all regulatory standards will similarly not be adversely affected, in either the short or long term periods.

The purpose of this property value impact study is to determine whether the presence of a solar farm has caused a measurable and consistent difference in values between the Test Area Sales and the Control Area Sales. A summary of our findings for the paired sales analyses is presented below.

### CohnReznick Impact Study Analysis Conclusions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solar Farm</th>
<th>Adj. Property Number</th>
<th>Adjoining Property Sale (Test Area) Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Control Area Sales Median Price Per Unit</th>
<th>% Difference</th>
<th>Impact Found</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grand Ridge Solar</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$79.90</td>
<td>$74.35</td>
<td>+7.5%</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portage Solar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$7,674</td>
<td>+4.3%</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portage Solar</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$84.35</td>
<td>$84.27</td>
<td>+0.1%</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPA Frankton</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$25.58</td>
<td>$28.42</td>
<td>+0.6%</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPA Frankton</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$52.40</td>
<td>$51.47</td>
<td>+1.8%</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indy Solar III</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>$59.81</td>
<td>$57.84</td>
<td>+3.4%</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indy Solar III</td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>$69.14</td>
<td>$68.67</td>
<td>+0.7%</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valparaiso Solar LLC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$82.42</td>
<td>$79.95</td>
<td>+3.1%</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valparaiso Solar LLC</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$62.11</td>
<td>$64.07</td>
<td>-3.1%</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middlebury Solar</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$132.79</td>
<td>$104.23</td>
<td>+27.4%</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockford Solar</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>$3,943</td>
<td>$4,075</td>
<td>-3.2%</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average Variance in Sale Prices for Test to Control Areas**

+3.9%

Based upon our examination, research, and analyses of the existing solar farm uses, the surrounding areas, and an extensive market database, we have concluded that **no consistent negative impact has occurred to adjacent property that could be attributed to proximity to the adjacent solar farm**, with regard to unit sale prices or other influential market indicators. This conclusion has been confirmed by numerous County Assessors who have also investigated this use’s potential impact.
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CERTIFICATION

We certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief:

1. The statements of fact and data reported are true and correct.
2. The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions in this consulting report are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are our personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
3. We have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
4. We have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.
5. We have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this assignment.
6. Our engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
7. Our compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this report.
8. Our analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute, which includes the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP).
9. The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
10. Patricia L. McGarr, MAI, CRE, FRICS has made a personal inspection of the properties that is the subject of this work. Andrew R. Lines, MAI, Martin D. Broerman, MAI, and Sonia K. Singh have not made a personal inspection of the properties.
11. We have not relied on unsupported conclusions relating to characteristics such as race, color, religion, national origin, gender, marital status, familial status, age, and receipt of public assistance income, handicap, or an unsupported conclusion that homogeneity of such characteristics is necessary to maximize value.
12. Michael F. Antypas provided significant appraisal consulting assistance to the persons signing this certification.
13. We have experience in reviewing properties similar to the subject and are in compliance with the Competency Rule of USPAP.
14. As of the date of this report, Patricia L. McGarr, MAI, CRE, FRICS, Andrew R. Lines, MAI, and Martin D. Broerman, MAI have completed the continuing education program of the Appraisal Institute.
15. As of the date of this report, Sonia K. Singh has completed the Standards and Ethics Education Requirements for Candidates of the Appraisal Institute.
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ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

This report is based on the following assumptions, except as otherwise noted in the report.

1. The title is marketable and free and clear of all liens, encumbrances, encroachments, easements and restrictions. The property is under responsible ownership and competent management and is available for its highest and best use.
2. There are no existing judgments or pending or threatened litigation that could affect the value of the property.
3. There are no hidden or undisclosed conditions of the land or of the improvements that would render the property more or less valuable. Furthermore, there is no asbestos in the property.
4. The revenue stamps placed on any deed referenced herein to indicate the sale price are in correct relation to the actual dollar amount of the transaction.
5. The property is in compliance with all applicable building, environmental, zoning, and other federal, state and local laws, regulations and codes.
6. The information furnished by others is believed to be reliable, but no warranty is given for its accuracy.

This report is subject to the following limiting conditions, except as otherwise noted in the report.

1. An appraisal is inherently subjective and represents our opinion as to the value of the property appraised.
2. The conclusions stated in our appraisal apply only as of the effective date of the appraisal, and no representation is made as to the effect of subsequent events.
3. No changes in any federal, state or local laws, regulations or codes (including, without limitation, the Internal Revenue Code) are anticipated.
4. No environmental impact studies were either requested or made in conjunction with this appraisal, and we reserve the right to revise or rescind any of the value opinions based upon any subsequent environmental impact studies. If any environmental impact statement is required by law, the appraisal assumes that such statement will be favorable and will be approved by the appropriate regulatory bodies.
5. Unless otherwise agreed to in writing, we are not required to give testimony, respond to any subpoena or attend any court, governmental or other hearing with reference to the property without compensation relative to such additional employment.
6. We have made no survey of the property and assume no responsibility in connection with such matters. Any sketch or survey of the property included in this report is for illustrative purposes only and should not be considered to be scaled accurately for size. The appraisal covers the property as described in this report, and the areas and dimensions set forth are assumed to be correct.
7. No opinion is expressed as to the value of subsurface oil, gas or mineral rights, if any, and we have assumed that the property is not subject to surface entry for the exploration or removal of such materials, unless otherwise noted in our appraisal.
8. We accept no responsibility for considerations requiring expertise in other fields. Such considerations include, but are not limited to, legal descriptions and other legal matters such as legal title, geologic considerations such as soils and seismic stability, and civil, mechanical, electrical, structural and other engineering and environmental matters.
9. The distribution of the total valuation in the report between land and improvements applies only under the reported highest and best use of the property. The allocations of value for land and improvements must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if so used. The appraisal report shall be considered only in its entirety. No part of the appraisal report shall be utilized separately or out of context.

10. Neither all nor any part of the contents of this report (especially any conclusions as to value, the identity of the appraisers, or any reference to the Appraisal Institute) shall be disseminated through advertising media, public relations media, news media or any other means of communication (including without limitation prospectuses, private offering memoranda and other offering material provided to prospective investors) without the prior written consent of the person signing the report.

11. Information, estimates and opinions contained in the report, obtained from third-party sources are assumed to be reliable and have not been independently verified.

12. Any income and expense estimates contained in the appraisal report are used only for the purpose of estimating value and do not constitute predictions of future operating results.

13. If the property is subject to one or more leases, any estimate of residual value contained in the appraisal may be particularly affected by significant changes in the condition of the economy, of the real estate industry, or of the appraised property at the time these leases expire or otherwise terminate.

14. No consideration has been given to personal property located on the premises or to the cost of moving or relocating such personal property; only the real property has been considered.

15. The current purchasing power of the dollar is the basis for the value stated in our appraisal; we have assumed that no extreme fluctuations in economic cycles will occur.

16. The value found herein is subject to these and to any other assumptions or conditions set forth in the body of this report but which may have been omitted from this list of Assumptions and Limiting Conditions.

17. The analyses contained in the report necessarily incorporate numerous estimates and assumptions regarding property performance, general and local business and economic conditions, the absence of material changes in the competitive environment and other matters. Some estimates or assumptions, however, inevitably will not materialize, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur; therefore, actual results achieved during the period covered by our analysis will vary from our estimates, and the variations may be material.

18. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. We have not made a specific survey or analysis of any property to determine whether the physical aspects of the improvements meet the ADA accessibility guidelines. In as much as compliance matches each owner's financial ability with the cost to cure the non-conforming physical characteristics of a property, we cannot comment on compliance to ADA. Given that compliance can change with each owner's financial ability to cure non-accessibility, the value of the subject does not consider possible non-compliance. A specific study of both the owner's financial ability and the cost to cure any deficiencies would be needed for the Department of Justice to determine compliance.

19. The appraisal report is prepared for the exclusive benefit of the Client, its subsidiaries and/or affiliates. It may not be used or relied upon by any other party. All parties who use or rely upon any information in the report without our written consent do so at their own risk.

20. No studies have been provided to us indicating the presence or absence of hazardous materials on the subject property or in the improvements, and our valuation is predicated upon the assumption that the
subject property is free and clear of any environment hazards including, without limitation, hazardous wastes, toxic substances and mold. No representations or warranties are made regarding the environmental condition of the subject property and the person signing the report shall not be responsible for any such environmental conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because we are not experts in the field of environmental conditions, the appraisal report cannot be considered as an environmental assessment of the subject property.

21. The person signing the report may have reviewed available flood maps and may have noted in the appraisal report whether the subject property is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. We are not qualified to detect such areas and therefore do not guarantee such determinations. The presence of flood plain areas and/or wetlands may affect the value of the property, and the value conclusion is predicated on the assumption that wetlands are non-existent or minimal.

22. CohnReznick is not a building or environmental inspector. CohnReznick does not guarantee that the subject property is free of defects or environmental problems. Mold may be present in the subject property and a professional inspection is recommended.

23. The appraisal report and value conclusion for an appraisal assumes the satisfactory completion of construction, repairs or alterations in a workmanlike manner.

24. CohnReznick an independently owned and operated company, has prepared the appraisal for the specific purpose stated elsewhere in the report. The intended use of the appraisal is stated in the General Information section of the report. The use of the appraisal report by anyone other than the Client is prohibited except as otherwise provided. Accordingly, the appraisal report is addressed to and shall be solely for the Client’s use and benefit unless we provide our prior written consent. We expressly reserve the unrestricted right to withhold our consent to your disclosure of the appraisal report (or any part thereof including, without limitation, conclusions of value and our identity), to any third parties. Stated again for clarification, unless our prior written consent is obtained, no third party may rely on the appraisal report (even if their reliance was foreseeable).

25. The conclusions of this report are estimates based on known current trends and reasonably foreseeable future occurrences. These estimates are based partly on property information, data obtained in public records, interviews, existing trends, buyer-seller decision criteria in the current market, and research conducted by third parties, and such data are not always completely reliable. CohnReznick and the undersigned are not responsible for these and other future occurrences that could not have reasonably been foreseen on the effective date of this assignment. Furthermore, it is inevitable that some assumptions will not materialize and that unanticipated events may occur that will likely affect actual performance. While we are of the opinion that our findings are reasonable based on current market conditions, we do not represent that these estimates will actually be achieved, as they are subject to considerable risk and uncertainty. Moreover, we assume competent and effective management and marketing for the duration of the projected holding period of this property.

26. All prospective value estimates presented in this report are estimates and forecasts which are prospective in nature and are subject to considerable risk and uncertainty. In addition to the contingencies noted in the preceding paragraph, several events may occur that could substantially alter the outcome of our estimates such as, but not limited to changes in the economy, interest rates, and capitalization rates, behavior of consumers, investors and lenders, fire and other physical destruction, changes in title or
conveyances of easements and deed restrictions, etc. It is assumed that conditions reasonably foreseeable at the present time are consistent or similar with the future.

27. While this appraisal has been proofed for typographical errors, mathematical inaccuracies, and other discrepancies, others may be discovered in subsequent reviews performed by the client or their designated agent. We reserve the right to correct any typographical errors, mathematical inaccuracies, or other discrepancies that may affect the estimate of value contained in the report. These corrections will be corrected promptly upon the written request of the client.
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