CHAMPAIGN COUN 1776 E. Washington S Urbana, IL 61802		ARD OF APPEALS	
DATE: April 28		PLACE:	Shields-Carter Meeting Room 1776 East Washington Street
TIME: 6:30 p. MEMBERS PRESENT			Urbana, IL 61802 andol, Tom Anderson, Nolan Herbert
MEMBERS ABSENT	Larry Wood		
STAFF PRESENT:	John Hall, Sı	usan Burgstrom, Steph	anie Berry
OTHERS PRESENT:	Donald Whit Bridgette Mo		nthia Paceley, Timothy Chavez,
1. Call to Order			
The meeting was called	to order at 6:30 p.i	n.	
_	-		
2. Roll Call and D	eclaration of Quo	rum	
The roll was called, and	a quorum declared	l present.	
Mr. Elwell informed the the Witness Register.	audience that any	one wishing to testify	for any public hearing tonight must sign
3. Correspondence	e - None		
4. Minutes- March	31, 2022 Minutes.		
Mr. Elwell asked if ther	e was any discussion	on on the March 31, 20	022 minutes.
Mr. Randol moved, se carried by voice vote.	onded by Mr. Ro	berts, to approve the	March 31, 2022 minutes. The motion
5. Continued Pub	ic Hearings - Non	e	
6. New Public Hea	rings		
Cases 051-S-22 and 05	1-V-22		
		reserve District, via a	gent Bridgette Moen, CCFPC Distric
unincorpo	ated area only, a	nd that shall connect	nil Trail that are proposed in the to that portion of the Kickapoo Rai "public park or recreational facility"

**AS APPROVED 05/26/22** 

authorized by-right in the R-1 Single Family Residence Zoning District and as a Special Use Permit in the AG-1 Agriculture Zoning District and subject to the variance fully described in the legal advertisement, on property that is commonly known as the inactive CSX railroad line located along U.S. Route 150 that is described fully in the legal advertisement (see Attachment A).

Location:

Generally, fourteen different tracts of land totaling 52.7 acres and commonly known as the inactive CSX railroad line between the Village of St. Joseph and the Vermilion County line and that shall connect to those portions of the Kickapoo Rail Trail that are proposed to be located inside the Village of St. Joseph and the Village of Ogden and more specifically described in the legal advertisement.

Mr. Elwell informed the audience that anyone wishing to testify for any public hearing tonight must sign the witness register for that public hearing. He reminded the audience that when they sign the witness register, they are signing an oath.

Mr. Elwell informed the audience that this Case is an Administrative Case, and as such, the County allows anyone the opportunity to cross-examine any witness. He said that at the proper time, he will ask for a show of hands from those who would like to cross-examine, and each person will be called upon. He said that those who desire to cross-examine do not have to sign the Witness Register, but will be asked to clearly state their name before asking any questions. He noted that no new testimony is to be given during the cross-examination. He said that attorneys who have complied with Article 7.6 of the ZBA By-Laws are exempt from cross-examination. He asked if the petitioner would like to outline the nature of their request prior to introducing evidence.

Bridgette Moen stated she works for the Champaign County Forest Preserve District and their address is P.O. 1040, Mahomet. She said the Kickapoo Rail Trail stretches about 24.5 miles from the City of Urbana to just west of the City of Danville, so tonight they are here requesting a Special Use Permit for the area between the Village of St. Joseph and Village of Ogden. She said just a brief overview of the trail and its development for anyone who might not be familiar, this 24.5 mile recreational trail links both Champaign County, Vermilion County, and Kickapoo State Park. She said it was originally spearheaded under the leadership of Heartland Pathways and the Champaign County Design & Conservation Foundation. She said there are several direct landowners that work together as partners, including the Champaign County Forest Preserve District, Vermilion County Conservation District, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, and the Urbana Park District. She said they also work very closely in a steering committee with agencies including the Illinois Department of Transportation, Champaign County Regional Planning Commission, Danville Sanitary District, and Champaign County Housing Authority. She said they work very closely with the municipalities that are along the line including: Urbana, Champaign, St. Joseph, Ogden, Fithian, Muncie, Oakwood, and Danville. She said in addition to their nonprofit and governmental organizations they work with, there is a lot of community and business support for the Kickapoo Rail Trail including the Friends of the KRT, Champaign County Bikes, Prairie Cycle Club, and several businesses such as Busey Bank and The Andersons. She said understandably so, the cost of constructing 24.5 miles of trail is guite a task for small special districts, so it has been kind of broken up into phases since the land was acquired. She said in Champaign County about 6.7 miles have been constructed and that is from Urbana just west of High Cross Road to downtown St. Joseph. She said in Vermilion County about three miles have been constructed and that stretches from Oakwood to the southern entrance of Kickapoo State Park, and that also includes the new trestle bridge they may have seen in recent press releases.

Ms. Moen said the Champaign County Forest Preserve District is hoping to complete the remaining 5.1

miles of trail in Champaign County in the coming months and years. She said the Champaign County side of the trail is shovel ready with construction documents that have already been completed by their engineer. She said they have secured funds through the Illinois Transportation Enhancement Program administered by the Illinois Department of Transportation to construct one and one-half miles in and near the Village of Ogden. She said approximately half of the trail to be constructed in Ogden will be asphalt surfaced and the remainder will be fresh gravel similar to earlier phases of the trail. She referred to her PowerPoint of the conceptual rendering shown on the projector screen of the improvements looking from the Champaign County and Vermilion County line, or County Road 2800 East. She said the CCFPD is only ready to start construction in and near Ogden this year; they are working with the State on funding the remainder of the trail in Champaign County and Vermilion County to complete the final 3.6 miles in Champaign County and 8.4 miles in Vermilion County in addition to a trailhead in Weaver Park in Urbana. She said the CCFPD is requesting the Special Use Permit for the remainder of the Champaign County trail with the assumption that funding will be secured in the relatively near future, and they don't have to come back to this Zoning Board to request permission a second time. She said like any public project they have always occasionally received calls about the trail, but in general they have not faced any major push back from residents or neighbors since the trail was constructed. She said since the packet was issued for this public hearing and the public notice went out, they have applied for the Illinois National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit or the Illinois NPDES Permit and that is currently under review. She said Champaign County Soil & Water Conservation District has already reviewed the project and determined that a full natural resources information report will not be necessary.

Ms. Moen said they have received one phone call from an adjacent landowner since the public notice for the public hearing, who had some general questions about the scope of construction and sort of where people will access the trail, but was generally in support of the project. She said the CCFPD sent letters to landowners adjacent to the trail in the one and one-half mile stretch in and near Ogden, and they received one call from a landowner, but only to request access to his farmland from their property. She said they do acknowledge the future phase between St. Joseph and Ogden will include the challenge of the Union Pacific Railroad at-grade crossing east of St. Joseph, because there is inadequate clearance below the existing U.S. Route 150 overpass. She said an at-grade crossing is necessary so that the proposed crossing will adhere to the safety regulations outlined by Union Pacific Railroad and include crossing gates, pavement markings, fencing, concrete crossing panels, and signage. She said the Champaign County Forest Preserve District currently owns this crossing acquired from CSX Transportation. She said the proposed improvements will greatly improve safety conditions in this area. She said in summary, she would like to thank them all for their time this evening in reviewing the CCFPD request and she would also like to thank Ms. Burgstrom for her assistance with their application and walking her through this process, and she welcomes any questions.

Mr. Elwell asked if there were any questions from the Board.

Mr. Anderson asked Mr. Hall and Ms. Burgstrom how the variances are looked for on a case like this since this was his first case for the Kickapoo Rail Trail.

 Mr. Hall said the variances are mentioned in the legal advertisement and are essentially boiled down to the fact that this was constructed a long time ago as a railroad bed that needs to be preserved, so that if in the future they ever need to resurrect the railroad bed, that could be done. He said the Champaign County Forest Preserve District is not proposing to change where the railroad bed is and in some cases, that railroad bed is closer to the adjacent street than what their Zoning Ordinance would like to see. He said those are the primary variances, well he thinks that is the only variance and asked Ms. Burgstrom if it was.

Ms. Burgstrom referred to the map on Attachment C of the Preliminary Memorandum that she had provided in the Board's packet, showing that CCFPD intended to provide parking at the trailheads or on adjacent streets, but not on the actual subject property where the rail trail would be. She said that it was the fact that CCFPD was not putting parking within the subject property that would need a variance request for Part A through Part F. She said there was just one little location over by the Village of Ogden that had a rear yard that was just two feet shy of what the Zoning Ordinance required between the Kickapoo Rail Trail and the property line.

8 9

Mr. Anderson said that answered his question.

10

11 Mr. Randol asked Ms. Burgstrom if she was making reference to the Kickapoo Rail Trail in the Village 12 of Ogden being 12 feet away from the property line of an adjacent house.

13

Ms. Burgstrom said that she would have to look back at the plans, but it sounded familiar, and it was really
 close to a property line.

16

Mr. Randol said that was mentioned in their packet handouts and asked if CCFPD had that issue resolved,and was there a problem there.

19

20 Ms. Burgstrom said there was not a problem there.

21

22 Mr. Randol said okay.

23

24 Mr. Anderson asked if there was any water problems.

25

Ms. Burgstrom asked him what kind of water problems, and was he talking about flood potential.

27

28 Mr. Anderson said dams or rushing water.

29 30

31

32

33

Mr. Hall said the railroad embankment is as it has always been and there are always drainage problems around railroad embankments, but the proposed construction isn't going to make it any worse and he doubts it is going to make it any better, because changes like that to a railroad embankment are pretty difficult. He said they are not aware of any drainage problems, and it would be a truly unusual circumstance to not have some problems like that along a railroad.

34 35 36

Mr. Anderson asked whose responsibility it was if the embankment was serving as a dam and water piles up behind it.

37 38

Mr. Hall said that was a good question, he doesn't know if the CCFPD has considered that, but maybe they have.

- Ms. Moen said yes, more specifically in the Village of Ogden is where they're very concerned about drainage, because it is a very flat town and there is not a lot of natural ways for water to move from point
- 44 A to point B. She said in both the unincorporated area and the incorporated area, they have already allowed
- 45 the Village of Ogden to build swales on their property, which they are preserving as a part of this
- construction. She said in this current phase they are planning on installing culverts for the Village of Ogden to help that flow of water across the railroad embankment so they can work on a future drainage
- 48 improvement in the Village of Ogden and still have a good flow of water. She said between the Village
- 49 of St. Joseph and Village of Ogden, this is one of the cases where the trail is pretty flat, and they are not

doing a lot of earth work compared to some of the more western edges of that terminus near the City of Urbana. She said there is not a lot of earthwork happening; that is always something that they keep an eye on, because they don't want to make water issues worse for anyone downstream from them, but they try not to disturb the railroad embankment as much as they can, especially since that soil is considered special waste that they have to cap.

Mr. Anderson asked what they do when the crossroad comes up to the railroad embankment and the road has ditches.

10 Ms. Moen asked for example, if there was a road crossing for where that would be.

12 Mr. Anderson said yes.

Ms. Moen said they typically try to not disturb the grades as much as they can, because in many cases vehicles still need to get in and out, so they don't want to create any issues. She said if there are existing culverts, they either leave them and make sure they are in good shape and reinforce them as necessary or sometimes they end up replacing the culverts. She said the Illinois Department of Transportation is also working on a pretty substantial drainage project just west of the Village of Ogden, which is going to be starting this summer and part of that project will be occurring on the CCFPD property and IDOT hopes to alleviate some of the drainage problems west of the Village of Ogden.

Mr. Herbert asked her if the CCFPD was going to try and alleviate any drainage issues that were currently existing, because he knows east of the City of Urbana there are some wet holes around there where the water gets stuck between U.S. Route 150 and the railroad bed.

Ms. Moen asked him if he meant east of the City of Urbana where the Kickapoo Rail Trail already exists.

28 Mr. Herbert said right.

Ms. Moen said CCFPD doesn't have current plans to do anything with that right now, but if they receive complaints or they have common issues with that, then that is certainly something they always look at and they don't want to cause any problems.

Mr. Herbert said he thinks it has been a long time problem and asked if there were any issues like that currently where they are talking about.

Ms. Moen said there are issues just west of the Village of Ogden where just about every big rain they are dealing with standing water and that is why they are working with both Village of Ogden and IDOT to help get that water moving and get it out of people's backyards and the stormwater drainage infrastructure. She said if there is a specific location that he knows of, even if it is outside of this specific public hearing, she would be happy to take that down and have CCFPD look at that for drainage.

Mr. Herbert said okay, he does know that railroad beds in general have a pretty big problem with drainage.

Ms. Moen said they didn't exactly install a lot of those railroad beds with culverts back when they ruled the eastern United States.

48 Mr. Herbert said yes.

Mr. Elwell asked if there were any other questions from the Board.

Mr. Randol asked if the funds were either appropriated or are they in the process of working to get grants to take care of this now, so there would be no taxpayer expense at this point.

 Ms. Moen said there is a little bit of both. She said CCFPD has secured funds for the one and one-half mile stretch near the Village of Ogden; that is 80% federal funding that is being passed down through the State and 50% of CCFPD match is coming directly from the State of Illinois. She said the match that the CCFPD is paying on for this over one million dollar project is only ten percent of the whole project and their foundation, that is one of their most successful fundraising programs.

Mr. Randol said so CCFPD is not going to be coming to the public asking them for an increase.

Ms. Moen said CCFPD is not going to referendum to cover this. She said the Kickapoo Rail Trail, compared to most of their capital improvements, the CCFPD has contributed fairly limited capital dollars. She said they work very aggressively to find grants and direct State funds. She said between the Village of Ogden and the Village of St. Joseph, they are working with the State on a potential direct funding source for that. She said that CCFPD doesn't have the capital budget to cover a project of this size at the CCFPD.

Mr. Randol said alright, thank you.

Mr. Elwell asked if there were any other questions from the Board or staff. Seeing none, he asked if anyone would like to cross-examine this witness. Seeing one person from the audience, he asked for them to proceed to the cross-examination microphone.

Timothy Chavez said he lives adjacent to the current plans of the Kickapoo Rail Trail at 2501 County Road 1600 North, Ogden; it is the crossroad of County Road 2500 East and U.S. Route 150. He said that one of his biggest concerns that he has seen with the previous construction of the Kickapoo Rail Trail as it sits was that there was a lot of clear cutting of land to build it.

Mr. Elwell said right now they need to ask Ms. Moen a question and he would have a time to testify later.

Mr. Chavez said sure, and asked Ms. Moen what the CCFPD plans were for the construction as far as clear cutting the land.

 Ms. Moen said sure, so CCFPD as a forest preserve district very much doesn't like to cut down trees either because it sort of pains them. She said there are spots along the Kickapoo Rial Trail where especially before they took acquisition of the property where they deal with a lot of invasive species and trees that have kind of grown up over the years. She said specifically in this one and one-half mile stretch, the limits of construction are pretty tight because they don't have to do a lot of grading as they had to do in earlier phases of the Kickapoo Rail Trail, so there is tree removal directly on that railroad bed, but there is much less of a wider impact off of the trail. She said farther west there are pockets where they will need to cut down trees on the railroad bed or anywhere they need to do grading. She said they always have their natural resources team go out before they are getting ready to gear up for construction to identify areas where the higher quality trees are that provide better habitat value and that sort of thing. She said the CCFPD has cases in and near the Village of Ogden where homeowners have planted trees over the years that are on the CCFPD property as the railroad has been there for so long they probably didn't even know where the property lines were, and they very rarely ask those homeowners to remove those trees and respect their distance unless it is either becoming a public nuisance or is in the direct line of their

construction.

Mr. Chavez said the only other question he had, which might be a question for the Board, was about the parking exemption and if the Board could help him understand if that means it is being zoned as a recreational area it would not be able to have a parking area. He asked if he had that correct or are they asking to put a parking area at the crossroads in Section 17 specifically, which would directly involve his adjacent property.

 Ms. Burgstrom said the variance for parking is because the Zoning Ordinance requires a certain amount of parking spaces to be on a subject property and not offsite; the variance is to allow them to not have any parking on the subject property, so they would have their own plans for where or if they are putting trailheads or whatever they are going to do along the way.

Mr. Chavez said that answered his questions, thank you.

Ms. Moen asked if she was allowed to follow up on the parking just to clarify the CCFPD intent.

Mr. Elwell said yes.

Ms. Moen said the CCFPD just finished a parking lot in the Village of St. Joseph that is dedicated only for Kickapoo Rail Trail users, but she is sure other people use it as well. She said that they do not have any immediate plans to put parking along the trail especially in the rural areas, because people typically want to get on the trail from a municipality. She said the CCFPD doesn't have funding right now for a parking lot in the Village of Ogden, but it is something they are keeping an eye on. She said if nuisance parking from trail usage starts to increase, then that is something they would have to investigate for building parking, but it would more likely be in downtown Village of Ogden where people could find the parking if they typed in the address.

Mr. Elwell said thank you.

Mr. Herbert asked Ms. Moen if she had seen many people join the trail in rural areas.

Ms. Moen said it depends, and every once and while they get that, especially near Fulls Siding if he is familiar with that area, which is just east of Urbana, because it just sort of looks like a big accessible parking lot and every once in a while they get calls from Fulls Siding about people parking there. She said every once in a while they get people parking on the crossroads, but they haven't had recurrent nuisance parking. She said they have had that issue a little bit more on the Vermilion County side and that is partially because there are just more destinations along the trail like the trestle bridge and access to the Kickapoo State Park. She said they don't quite have that problem in Champaign County where there is a huge attraction right next to the trail that people are trying to get to.

Mr. Herbert said that would be a concern for him that on completion of the entire trail the parking may increase in use.

 Ms. Moen said yes, that is an important thing to think about if the CCFPD would suffer from their own success further down the line and have to deal with nuisance parking. She said part of their rationale for building the parking in the Village of St. Joseph was they were getting calls from the Village of St. Joseph about their parking getting a little congested in the downtown area with trail users, so they turned around and looked for funding to help deal with that right away, but in rural areas it just hasn't been problematic

1 at specific areas for them yet.

2 3

Mr. Herbert said it is harder to get someone to move a car when they are three miles away on their bicycle when a farmer is trying to get around with a piece of farm equipment.

4 5 6

Ms. Moen said for sure and there have been one or two properties kind of west St. Joseph that had justifiable concerns when the trail was going in close to their house, but they keep in regular contact with those homeowners.

8 9

7

10 Mr. Herbert said thank you.

11

12 Mr. Elwell asked if anyone else would like to cross-examine this witness. Seeing no one, he asked if 13 anyone would like to testify in Cases 050-S-22 or 051-V-22. Seeing no one, he asked Mr. Hall if there 14 were any special conditions.

15

16 Mr. Hall referred to two special conditions in the Preliminary Memorandum on page three.

17

18 Mr. Randol asked if the special condition deals with the fences that they have listed in the Preliminary 19 Draft and there are no other special conditions.

20

21 Mr. Hall said there is another special condition just requiring compliance with the Storm Water 22 Management and Erosion Control Ordinance.

23

24 Mr. Randol said yes. 25

26 Mr. Elwell asked Ms. Moen to answer in the affirmative if she agrees to the special conditions.

27

28 Ms. Moen asked if he could repeat that.

29

30 Mr. Elwell said he would be reading from page three of three in the Preliminary Memorandum, and it is 31 going to be the special conditions for both cases and if she is in agreement to just say so.

32

33 Ms. Moen said okay.

34

35 Mr. Elwell said that he would be reading the Special Conditions for Cases 050-S-22 and 051-V-22 from 36 page 3 of 3 in the Preliminary Memorandum, as follows:

37

# SPECIAL CONDITIONS FOR CASES 050-S-22 AND 051-V-22

38 39 40

**Proposed condition for Special Use Case 050-S-22:** 

41 42

The Petitioners must comply with the Champaign County Storm Water Management A. and Erosion Control Ordinance.

43 44

45

The special condition stated above is necessary to ensure the following: That the proposed use provides for adequate drainage of the development site before, during, and after construction.

46 47

Ms. Moen said she was in agreement.

**Proposed condition for Variance Case 051-V-22:** 

A. All fences constructed on the subject properties will comply with the visibility requirements established in Section 4.3.3 F. of the Zoning Ordinance.

The special condition stated above is necessary to ensure the following:

That the proposed use complies with the Zoning Ordinance.

Ms. Moen said she is in agreement with that and their fencing design is exactly the same from the earlier Kickapoo Rail Trail phase, which followed the Special Use Permit.

Mr. Elwell said thank you and asked how the Board would like to proceed.

Mr. Randol moved, seconded by Mr. Roberts, to accept the Preliminary Draft, Documents of Record, and move to the Findings of Fact for Cases 050-S-22 and 051-V-22. The motion carried by voice vote.

Mr. Elwell said that he would be reading the Findings of Fact for Cases 050-S-22 and 051-V-22 from Attachment K, page 27 of 34 in the Preliminary Memorandum, as follows:

## FINDINGS OF FACT FOR CASES 050-S-22 AND 051-V-22

From the documents of record and the testimony and exhibits received at the public hearing for zoning cases 050-S-22 and 051-V-22 held on April 28, 2022, the Zoning Board of Appeals of Champaign County finds that:

1. The requested Special Use Permit {IS / IS NOT} necessary for the public convenience at this location because:

Mr. Randol said the requested Special Use Permit IS necessary for the public convenience at this location because: the proposed trail sections will link with the existing trail section constructed and previously approved between the City of Urbana and the Village of St. Joseph. He said once this 24.5 mile Kickapoo Trail is completed it will connect the City of Urbana with Kickapoo State Park near Danville.

2. The requested Special Use Permit {SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS IMPOSED HEREIN} is so designed, located, and proposed to be operated so that it {WILL NOT / WILL} be injurious to the district in which it shall be located or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare because:

a. The street has {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} traffic capacity and the entrance location has {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} visibility because:

Mr. Randol said the street has ADEQUATE traffic capacity and the entrance location has ADEQUATE visibility because: the proposed trail is designed for pedestrian and bicycle safety with IDOT regulations.

b. Emergency services availability is {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} because:

Mr. Randol said the emergency services availability is ADEQUATE because: the St. Joseph-Stanton Fire Department is located approximately four tenths of a mile away from the trail and the Ogden-Royal Fire Station is located approximately 500 feet from the trail, and neither of these departments have expressed any objections.

5 6 7

8

9 10 11

12 13

14

15 16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24 25

26

27 28 29

30

31 32 33

34

35

36

37 38 39

40 41

42

43 44

45 46

48 49

47

The Special Use {WILL / WILL NOT} be compatible with adjacent uses because: c.

Mr. Randol said the Special Use WILL be compatible with adjacent uses because: the proposed trail sections will connect with the existing trail between Urbana and St. Joseph.

d. Surface and subsurface drainage will be {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} because:

Mr. Randol said the surface and subsurface drainage will be ADEQUATE because: there is a special condition to ensure compliance with the Storm Water Management and Erosion Control Ordinance.

e. Public safety will be {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} because:

Mr. Randol said the public safety will be ADEQUATE because: the proposed trail will be built in accordance with IDOT "Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction" and the "Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways." He said the signage and markings will be installed along the trail to control both vehicular and pedestrian traffic at crosswalks.

f. The provisions for parking will be {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} because:

Mr. Randol said the provisions for parking will be ADEQUATE because: the Champaign County Forest Preserve District has parking areas at the trailheads.

The property is BEST PRIME FARMLAND and the property with the proposed g. improvements {IS / IS NOT} WELL SUITED OVERALL because:

Mr. Randol said the property is Best Prime Farmland and the property with the proposed improvements IS well suited overall because: the subject property has been a rail line for many years and there is no agricultural production on this rail line, so it is a good fit.

h. The existing public services  $\{ARE \mid ARE \mid NOT\}$  available to support the proposed special use effectively and safely without undue public expense because:

Mr. Randol said the existing public services ARE available to support the proposed special use effectively and safely without undue public expense because: there are no additional costs and no objections from any of the affected parties.

i. The existing public infrastructure together with proposed improvements {ARE / ARE NOT? adequate to support the proposed development effectively and safely without undue public expense because:

Mr. Randol said the existing public infrastructure together with proposed improvements ARE adequate to support the proposed development effectively and safely without undue public expense because: the Champaign County Forest Preserve District is responsible for maintenance of the trails and it was stated earlier that there would be no additional taxpayer expense at this time.

Mr. Randol said the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the Special Conditions imposed herein, is so designed, located, and proposed to be operated so that it WILL NOT be injurious to the district in which it shall be located or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

1 2 3 4	3a.	The requested Special Use Permit {SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS IMPOSED HEREIN} {DOES / DOES NOT} conform to the applicable regulations and standards of the DISTRICT in which it is located.			
5 6 7	Mr. Randol said the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the Special Conditions imposed herein, DOES conform to the applicable regulations and standards of the district in which it is located.				
8 9 10 11	3b.	The requested Special Use Permit {SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS IMPOSED HEREIN} {DOES / DOES NOT} preserve the essential character of the DISTRICT in which it is located because:			
12 13 14		a.	The Special Use will be designed to {CONFORM / NOT CONFORM} to all relevant County ordinances and codes.		
15 16 17	Mr. R codes.		said the Special Use will be designed to CONFORM to all relevant County ordinances and		
18		b.	The Special Use {WILL / WILL NOT} be compatible with adjacent uses.		
19 20 21	Mr. Randol said the Special Use WILL be compatible with adjacent uses.				
22		c.	Public safety will be {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE}.		
23 24 25	Mr. R	andol s	aid the public safety will be ADEQUATE.		
26 27 28			said the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the Special Conditions imposed herein, we the essential character of the district in which it is located.		
29 30 31 32	4. The requested Special Use Permit {SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS IMPOSEIN HEREIN} {IS / IS NOT} in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinanc because:				
33 34		a.	The Special Use is authorized in the District.		
35 36 37		b.	The requested Special Use Permit {IS/IS NOT} necessary for the public convenience at this location.		
38 39	Mr. Ra	andol s	aid the requested Special Use Permit IS necessary for the public convenience at this location.		
3.5			THE STATE OF THE CONTRACT TO THE CONTRACT CONTRACTOR		

41

42

The requested Special Use Permit (SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS c. IMPOSED HEREIN is so designed, located, and proposed to be operated so that it {WILL / WILL NOT} be injurious to the district in which it shall be located or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

43 44 45

Mr. Randol said the requested Special Use Permit subject to the Special Conditions imposed herein is so designed, located, and proposed to be operated so that it WILL NOT be injurious to the district in which it shall be located or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

47 48

d. The requested Special Use Permit {SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS IMPOSED HEREIN} {DOES / DOES NOT} preserve the essential character of the DISTRICT in which it is located.

Mr. Randol said the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the Special Conditions imposed herein, DOES preserve the essential character of the district in which it is located.

Mr. Randol said the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the Special Conditions imposed herein, IS in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance.

5. The requested Special Use IS NOT an existing nonconforming use.

6. Regarding the variance:

 a. Special conditions and circumstances {DO/DO NOT} exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because:

Mr. Randol said the special conditions and circumstances DO exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: moving the proposed trail to meet the setback requirement would result in higher construction costs and unnecessary land disturbance to drainage ditches, natural habitat, and tile districts — it would be disastrous. He said regarding the parking, the narrow subject properties do not have sufficient area for parking space, although parking will be provided at the trailheads.

b. Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied {WILL / WILL NOT} prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because:

Mr. Randol said the practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied WILL prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because: without the variance for setback and rear yard, the petitioner would have to either not develop the proposed path or they would have to purchase additional land to meet those required setbacks, which in turn could go back to negative construction costs and land disturbance. He said without the variance, the minimum parking requirements near the access points for parking areas would need to be cut at crossroads, which would increase traffic safety concerns.

c. The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties  $\{DO / DO NOT\}$  result from actions of the applicant because:

Mr. Randol said the special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties DO NOT result from actions of the applicant because: the petitioners purchased the former CSX rail line, and the railroad bed has been there for years.

d. The requested variance {SUBJECT TO THE PROPOSED CONDITION} {IS/IS NOT} in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance because:

 Mr. Randol said the requested variance subject to the proposed condition IS in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance because: putting the rail bed to use as a rail trail adds an important recreational feature for all of Champaign County.

The requested variance {SUBJECT TO THE PROPOSED CONDITION} {WILL / 1 e. 2 WILL NOT} be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public 3 health, safety, or welfare because: 4

5

6

7

Mr. Randol said the requested variance subject to the proposed condition WILL NOT be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare because: the proposed trail will promote outdoor exercise that can be used by everyone. He said relevant jurisdictions have been notified of the proposed project, and no negative comments have been received from anyone.

8 9 10

The requested variance {SUBJECT TO THE PROPOSED CONDITION} {IS/IS NOT} f. the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure because:

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

11

Mr. Randol said the requested variance, subject to the proposed condition, IS the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure because: the National Trails System Act (16 USC 1247) would make moving the rail bed to meet the regulations for setback and yards unwise and economically impractical because reestablishing rail service could be necessary, so that railroad bed will still be in place.

19 20

21

7. THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS IMPOSED HEREIN ARE REQUIRED TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE CRITERIA FOR SPECIAL USE PERMITS AND FOR THE PARTICULAR PURPOSES.

22 23 24

Mr. Elwell entertained a motion to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of Record, and the Findings of Fact for Cases 050-S-22 and 051-V-22, as amended.

25 26 27

28

Mr. Roberts moved, seconded by Mr. Randol, to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of Record, and the Findings of Fact for Cases 050-S-22 and 051-V-22. The motion carried by voice vote.

29 30

31 Mr. Elwell entertained a motion to move to the Final Determination for Case 050-S-22.

32

33 Mr. Randol moved, seconded by Mr. Roberts, to move to the Final Determination for Cases 050-S-34 22. The motion carried by voice vote.

35 36

Mr. Elwell said that he would be reading the Final Determination for Case 050-S-22 from Attachment K, page 31 of 34 in the Preliminary Memorandum, as follows:

37 38 39

FINAL DETERMINATION FOR CASE 050-S-22

40 Mr. Randol moved, seconded by Mr. Roberts, that the Champaign County Zoning Board of Appeals 41 finds that, based upon the application, testimony, and other evidence received in this case, the 42 requirements of Section 9.1.11B. for approval HAVE been met, and pursuant to the authority granted by Section 9.1.6 B. of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance, determines that: 43

44 The Special Use requested in Case 050-S-22 is hereby GRANTED WITH SPECIAL CONDTIONS to the applicant, Champaign County Forest Preserve District, to authorize the 45 46 following:

Authorize those portions of the Kickapoo Rail Trail that are proposed in the unincorporated area only, and that shall connect to those portions of the Kickapoo Rail Trail that are existing or proposed inside the Village of St. Joseph and the Village of Ogden, as a "public park or recreational facility" authorized by-right in the R-1 Single Family Residence and by Special Use Permit in the AG-1 Agriculture Zoning District, subject to the variance detailed in the Final Determination for Case 051-V-22.

Mr. Elwell requested a roll call vote.

The vote was called as follows:

Randol- yes Roberts- yes Anderson- yes Herbert- yes Elwell- yes Wood – absent **Bates- yes** 

The motion carried.

Mr. Elwell congratulated Ms. Moen on Case 050-S-22 being approved. He entertained a motion to move to the Final Determination for Case 051-V-22.

Mr. Randol moved, seconded by Mr. Roberts, to move to the Final Determination for Case 051-V-22. The motion carried by voice vote.

Mr. Elwell said that he would be reading the Final Determination for Case 051-V-22 from Attachment K, page 32 of 34 in the Preliminary Memorandum, as follows:

### FINAL DETERMINATION FOR CASE 051-V-22

Mr. Roberts moved, seconded by Mr. Randol, that the Champaign County Zoning Board of Appeals finds that, based upon the application, testimony, and other evidence received in this case, the requirements of Section 9.1.11B. for approval HAVE been met, and pursuant to the authority granted by Section 9.1.6 B. of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance, determines that:

The Variance requested in Case 051-V-22 is hereby GRANTED WITH SPECIAL CONDITIONS to the applicant, Champaign County Forest Preserve District, to authorize the following:

Authorize those portions of the Kickapoo Rail Trail that are proposed in the unincorporated area only, and that shall connect to those portions of the Kickapoo Rail Trail that are existing or proposed inside the Village of St. Joseph and the Village of Ogden, as a "public park or recreational facility" authorized by-right in the R-1 Single Family Residence and as a Special Use Permit in the AG-1 Agriculture Zoning District and subject to the described variance, on the Subject Property described below in Parts and in general:

#### **Subject Property Part A:**

43 44 45

46

A 2.86 acre tract in the R-1 Single Family Residence District in the North Half of the North Half of Section 14 and the South Half of the South Half of Section 11, Township 19N Range 10E of the Third Principal Meridian in St. Joseph Township, commonly known as the inactive CSX railroad line located on the south side of U.S. Route 150 and subject to the following variance:

47 48 49

Variance Part A:

<u>Part A1</u>: A variance from Section 5.3 of the Zoning Ordinance for a front setback of 70 feet in lieu of the minimum required 85 feet from the centerline of a Federal or State Highway in the R-1 Single Family Residence Zoning District;

AS APPROVED 05/26/22

<u>Part A2</u>: A variance from the parking requirements of Section 7.4 of the Zoning Ordinance.

### **Subject Property Part B:**

A 12.8 acre tract in the AG-1 District in the North Half of the North Half of Section 13 and the South Half of the South Half of Section 12, Township 19N Range 10E of the Third Principal Meridian in St. Joseph Township, commonly known as the inactive CSX railroad line located along U.S. Route 150 and subject to the following variance:

#### **Variance Part B:**

<u>Part B1</u>: A variance from Section 5.3 of the Zoning Ordinance for a front setback of 73 feet in lieu of the minimum required 85 feet from the centerline of a Federal or State Highway in the AG-1 District;

<u>Part B2</u>: A variance from the parking requirements of Section 7.4 of the Zoning Ordinance.

### **Subject Property Part C:**

A 9.1 acre tract in the AG-1 District in the North Half of the North Half of Section 18 and the South Half of the South Half of Section 7, Township 19N Range 11E of the Third Principal Meridian in Ogden Township, commonly known as the inactive CSX railroad line located on the north side of U.S. Route 150 and subject to the following variance:

Variance Part C: A variance from the parking requirements of Section 7.4 of the Zoning Ordinance.

#### **Subject Property Part D:**

A 10.9 acre tract in the AG-1 District in the North Half of the North Half of Section 18 and the South Half of the South Half of Section 7, Township 19N Range 14W of the Second Principal Meridian in Ogden Township, commonly known as the inactive CSX railroad line located on the north side of U.S. Route 150 and subject to the following variance:

Variance Part D: A variance from the parking requirements of Section 7.4 of the Zoning Ordinance.

#### **Subject Property Part E:**

A 10.8 acre tract in the AG-1 District in the North Half of the North Half of Section 17 and the South Half of the South Half of Section 8, Township 19N Range 14W of the Second Principal Meridian in Ogden Township, commonly known as the inactive CSX railroad line located on the north side of U.S. Route 150 and subject to the following variance:

1 2 3 4	Variance Part E: <u>Part E1</u> : A variance from Section 5.3 of the Zoning Ordinance for a rear yard of 23 feet in lieu of the minimum required 25 feet in the AG-1 Agriculture District; and					
4 5 6 7		<u>Part E2</u> : A variance from the parking requirements of Section 7.4 of the <b>Zoning Ordinance</b> .				
8 9	Subject Property	Dart F.				
10	0 1 0	Subject Property Part F:  A 6.3 acre tract in the AG-1 District in the North Half of the North Half of				
11				Section 9, Township 19N		
12						
13		Range 14W of the Second Principal Meridian in Ogden Township, commonly known as the inactive CSX railroad line located on the north side of U.S. Route				
14	150 and subject to the following variance:					
15		•••• •• •••• •••• • • • • • • • • • •				
16	Variance Par	rt F: A variance from	the parking requiren	nents of Section 7.4 of the		
17	Zoning Ordinance.					
18	_					
19	Subject Property	in General:				
20	Fourteen dif	ferent tracts of land	totaling 52.7 acres c	omprised of the various		
21			•	active CSX railroad line		
22	between the Village of St. Joseph and the Vermilion County line and that shall					
23		_	_	that are proposed to be		
24	located insid	e the Village of St. Jo	seph and the Village	of Ogden.		
25	M. El11 4- 1 1111	4				
26 27	Mr. Elwell requested a roll call vo	ite.				
28	The vote was called as follows:					
29	Randol- yes	Roberts- yes	Anderson- yes	Herbert- yes		
30	Elwell- yes	Wood – absent	Bates- yes	nerbert- yes		
31	ziwen yes	wood absent	Dutes yes			
32	The motion carried.					
33						
34	Mr. Elwell congratulated Ms. Moen on receiving four affirmative votes on Cases 050-S-22 and 051-V-					
35	22. He said hopefully they will be able to run a marathon from Urbana Walmart to Vermilion County.					
36				•		
37	Ms. Moen said that is the hope, the	ey could run a half- m	arathon now if they sta	rted from Urbana to St.		
38	Joseph and back.					
39						

41

Mr. Elwell said that if they did it again it would be a full marathon; there is going to be an awful lot of people that are going to enjoy that area and he thanked her for what she does.

42 43

Ms. Moen thanked the Board for their review.

44 45

#### 7. **Staff Report**

46 47

48

49

Mr. Hall said there is not actually a staff report, but he wanted to make sure that Board members saw the email staff sent out about the Citizen Planner training. He said if the Board has two and one-half hours that they could take out of their day on Wednesday, May 11, 2022, he thinks it would be a good experience.

He said that this has been happening every couple of years, so if for some reason they can't make it this year then they will probably have it back in two years.

Mr. Randol asked where that will be held at.

Mr. Hall said that it will be over Zoom.

Mr. Randol said okay.

Mr. Hall said he supposes they could make arrangements for Board members to come in and do that remotely from the Brookens Administrative Center if that would help.

13 Mr. Elwell said Zoom would work for him.

Mr. Hall asked if he meant from the Brookens Administrative Center or from where he would be at otherwise.

Mr. Elwell said that he would be in Collinsville, Illinois on May 11, 2022, and May 12, 2022.

8. Other Business

Mr. Elwell asked Mr. Hall if he would like to talk a little about the proposed revisions to the ZBA Bylaws.

Mr. Hall said sure, this goes back to the ZBA public hearing on March 17, 2022, which was the first time in the 32 years he has been working with the Zoning Board, that anyone has ever asked to speak on a matter other than cases pending before the Zoning Board. He said all of a sudden it became very clear why the County Board puts public participation at the beginning of their agenda and puts a five minute time limit on it. He said that was a good lesson, so he and Ms. Burgstrom prepared a revision to the ZBA By-laws, which would change the typical meeting agenda to include public participation for matters other than cases pending to earlier in the agenda right after communications and limits audience participation to five minutes per person. He said like any other By-law, that time limit could be waived if the Board feels that there was sufficient justification to waive it. He said this sets the Zoning Board up to finally have a provision for public comments other than cases pending before the Board and he knows they have

had this on the agenda a couple of times, but his recommendation would be to not take any action on this

Mr. Randol said the next meeting would be May 12, 2022.

until the next meeting, so they are abiding by their own By-laws.

Mr. Hall said that is right and they have two relatively simple cases, so hopefully there will be plenty of time to deal with it at that meeting.

Mr. Randol asked if he would like a motion to move the ZBA By-laws to the ZBA public hearing on May 12, 2022.

Mr. Hall said yes he would – to continue this.

Mr. Randol moved, seconded by Mr. Roberts, to continue the ZBA By-laws to the ZBA public hearing on May 12, 2022. The motion carried by voice vote.

the

1	Mr. Randol asked if staff had a new Board members contact list yet with addresses and phone numbers.				
2 3 4		ry said that she would ge blic hearing on May 12, 2		mbers via email and a	paper copy to them at the
5 6	1	A. Review of Docker	t		
7 8	Mr. Elw	vell asked if there were an	y absences anticipated,	and there were none.	
9 10	<b>9.</b> A	Audience participation v	with respect to matter	s other than cases pe	nding before the Board
11					
12 13	None				
14	10.	Adjournment			
15 16	Mr. Elw	rell entertained a motion t	to adjourn the meeting.		
17					
18	Mr. Ro	berts moved, seconded l	y Mr. Randol, to adjo	ourn the meeting.	
19					
20 21	Mr. Elw	ell requested a roll call v	ote.		
22	The vote	e was called as follows:			
23		Randol- yes	Roberts- yes	Anderson- yes	Herbert- yes
24		Elwell- no	Wood – absent	Bates- yes	•
25					
26	The mo	tion carried.			
27					
28	The mee	eting adjourned at 7:37 p.	m.		
29					
30	Respect	fully submitted,			
31					
32					
33					
34	Secretar	y of Zoning Board of Ap	peals		
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40 41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46					
47					