MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING 2 3 CHAMPAIGN COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 4 1776 E. Washington Street 5 Urbana, IL 61802 6 7 **PLACE: DATE: April 13, 2023 Shields-Carter Meeting Room** 8 1776 East Washington Street **Urbana**, IL 61802 18 TIME: 6:30 p.m. **MEMBERS PRESENT:** Ryan Elwell, Larry Wood, Lee Roberts, Tom Anderson, Nolan Herbert, 11 12 Thaddeus Bates, Jim Randol 13 14 **MEMBERS ABSENT:** None 15 16 **STAFF PRESENT:** John Hall, Susan Burgstrom, Stephanie Berry 17 18 **OTHERS PRESENT:** Jeff Jenkins, Deanna Zehr, Jim Weickersheimmer, Kipp Weickersheimmer 28 21 1. Call to Order 22 23 The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. 24 25 **Roll Call and Declaration of Quorum** 2. 26 27 The roll was called, and a quorum declared present. 28 29 Mr. Elwell informed the audience that anyone wishing to testify for any public hearing tonight must sign 30 the Witness Register. 31 32 3. **Correspondence** - None 33 34 4. **Minutes** – March 16, 2023 35 Mr. Elwell asked if there was any discussion on the March 16, 2023 minutes. 36 37 38 Mr. Wood moved, seconded by Mr. Randol, to approve the March 16, 2023 minutes. The motion 39 carried by voice vote. 40 41 Audience participation with respect to matters other than cases pending before the Board -5. 42 None 43 44 6. **Continued Public Hearings** 45 Mr. Elwell asked Ms. Burgstrom if Supplemental Memo #6 is the most current memo for tonight's case. 46 47

Ms. Burgstrom said Memorandum #6 is the most current in terms of the special conditions listed. She said

that Supplemental Memorandum #5 that went out in the mail has the most current Findings of Fact.

51 <u>Case 035-AM-21</u>

48

49

50

52 Petitioner: Jeffrey Jenkins d.b.a. Walnut Grove MHC

Request: Amend the Zoning Map to change the zoning district designation from the AG-1

Agriculture Zoning District to the R-5 Manufactured Home Park Zoning District in order to operate the proposed Special Use with waivers in related Zoning Case 036-

S-21.

Case 036-S-21

Petitioner: Jeffrey Jenkins d.b.a. Walnut Grove MHC

9 Request:

Authorize the expansion and use of an existing nonconforming manufactured home park with 12 existing plus 8 proposed manufactured home sites in the R-5 Manufactured Home Park Zoning District, contingent upon the rezoning of the subject property in related case 035-AM-21 and including the waivers of standard conditions as listed on the legal advertisement (other waivers may be necessary).

Case 041-V-22

Location:

Petitioner: Jeffrey Jenkins d.b.a. Walnut Grove MHC

Request: Authorize a variance for a 9.68-acre lot in lieu of the maximum allowed 3 acres in area for a lot with soils that are best prime farmland in the AG-1 Agriculture Zoning

District, per Section 5.3 of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance.

A 9.68-acre tract in the Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 10, Township 20 North, Range 9 East of the Third Principal Meridian in Somer Township and commonly known as Walnut Grove MHC with an address of 1513 CR 2300N, Urbana.

Mr. Elwell informed the audience that anyone wishing to testify for any public hearing tonight must sign the witness register for that public hearing. He reminded the audience that when they sign the witness register, they are signing an oath.

 Mr. Elwell informed the audience that this Case is an Administrative Case, and as such, the County allows anyone the opportunity to cross-examine any witness. He said that at the proper time, he will ask for a show of hands from those who would like to cross-examine, and each person will be called upon. He said that those who desire to cross-examine do not have to sign the Witness Register but will be asked to clearly state their name before asking any questions. He noted that no new testimony is to be given during the cross-examination. He said that attorneys who have complied with Article 7.6 of the ZBA By-Laws are exempt from cross-examination. He asked if the petitioner would like to outline the nature of their request prior to introducing evidence.

Mr. Jeffrey Jenkins, 13426 Maverick Rd, Marion, Illinois, said it seems as though we've pretty much covered everything. He said that Ms. Burgstrom had highlighted page 3 of the memo and he guesses it is just basically confirming that he does deal with EPA. He said monthly prior to the 25th of the month he has to submit a DMR report to EPA. He said it is extremely technical; one little riff in that report and it won't approve, which is pretty much how EPA handles things. He said he is very used to that through the years; he has been certified with EPA since 1994 so he knows their function. He said he did send forward his IEPA permit that is on the loose paper that is not in the docket. He said as far as that goes, when he first purchased the property on June 10, 2019, he was informed by EPA how he needed to register, how he needed to handle his DMRs and they got introduced to each other very quick. He said he met the Springfield inspector thereafter and he came out to the community. He said the inspector additionally

came out to the community three years in a row; he said this is not normal, EPA just needed to confirm all the functions of the community. He said the last time the inspector came out was March 20, 2022, and Dodge, his nickname, got transferred to Springfield from Champaign. He said they went out to the drainage ditch system and dug through the hillside and found the discharge. He said he wanted to prove to the inspector that it was dry. He said he climbed halfway down that hill and pulled the weeds around and stuck his forearm up in the hole and pulled his hand out and it was dry. He said the inspector said okay, that's what he needed to know. He said that was 2022 and the inspector said their subsequent meetings were every two years from there on out. He said the inspector documented everything, and his next meeting will be early 2024 with inspection of the sewage treatment plant. He said aside from that it seems we have pretty much covered everything. He said we were close to done last meeting, but unfortunately time ran out.

Mr. Elwell asked if there were any questions from the Board.

Mr. Randol said he didn't have a question but had a comment. He told Mr. Jenkins that if he would have provided this type of information months ago, it would have helped shorten this whole issue up and been a lot speedier for him. He said definitely after the first meeting, anything that he had like this from the EPA, any of his inspections, would have been a major help rather than dragging on like it has. He said in the future, let this be a good lesson when he's dealing with this Board.

Mr. Elwell asked if there were any further questions from the Board or Staff.

23 Mr. Hall asked Mr. Jenkins when he got his IEPA permit for the septic system.

Mr. Jenkins said that would be September of 2019.

Mr. Hall said he appreciated knowing that; Staff called around to IDPH and local County Health Department to see if anyone had records of his system, and no one did. He said Staff didn't call EPA because it has been his understanding that since about 2013, the USEPA is the approving body for all surface discharge systems, so he is very surprised that Mr. Jenkins has an IEPA permit. He said he would be even more surprised if that same IEPA permit would apply when Mr. Jenkins expands from 12 units to 20 units like Mr. Jenkins has proposed. He said that is why Staff was thinking it would be a USEPA permit at that point. He said from a staff level, all we're really concerned about is that Mr. Jenkins has proper authorizations. He said it seems from what Mr. Jenkins has said tonight that he is up to date with all his EPA permits, and asked why he isn't up to date with his IDPH permit and license. He asked Mr. Jenkins if the letter Staff presented to this Board in the Preliminary Memorandum is no longer valid that says IDPH said he is not compliant.

39 Mr. Jenkins said he is registered.

41 Mr. Hall asked if he is compliant with the license; he has added units without getting IDPH license approval.

44 Mr. Jenkins said he is compliant.

Mr. Hall said let him re-ask that. He asked if it was true that Mr. Jenkins added units without adding them to his IDPH license.

49 Mr. Jenkins said the procedure as he understands it, reiterated with Jeff Heste, said once you get approval

from ZBA, then he has to go to them to get approval from them too. He said he understands that they have environmental inspections, and he understands that it was approved for the 20 homes, but it has not been approved by them.

Mr. Hall asked if he was talking about the EPA environmental inspection for the septic system permit.

Mr. Jenkins said the environmental inspection that he submits for these meetings.

9 Mr. Hall asked if he was referring to the Bacon Farmer Workman document that he had previously submitted.

12 Mr. Jenkins said yes, thank you.

Mr. Hall asked Mr. Jenkins when he does the expansion to 20 units, he will then be considered a community water system, is that correct.

17 Mr. Jenkins said absolutely.

Mr. Hall asked if the well house would have to be modified to deal with the chlorination equipment and stuff like that that will be necessary.

Mr. Jenkins said the fact that it has a well house is actually unique. He said he has never seen it, and that is another reason why he bought the community. He said Charles Hepler did too much subsurface stuff, and even had a well house. He said the well house has a two-inch riser from a five-horsepower pump that comes up two feet and it goes over six feet and down two feet into the ground to get to the pressure tank. He said that is all that is in that room along with an air pump that's in there to put more air into the system for the pressure tank – that's all that's in that whole room.

Mr. Hall asked if Mr. Jenkins thinks that well house will be adequate to house any new equipment he will need for a community water supply.

Mr. Jenkins said absolutely, yes, as you enter, you have about three feet of working area to that two-inch pipe that is right by your knees. He said across from there you have about four feet of open area in there. He said in his first mobile home community that he purchased in 1994, a few years thereafter it was on a well system and he put a chlorination pump in there. He said God bless Charles Hepler, because that's why he did that; that's why he put the building up, he ran the pipe across because you simply have to put a tap into that two-inch steel to pump chlorine into it. He said it pumps when the well goes on; they're simultaneous and then they both shut down. He said it's a great system, and it will eat up the bacteria that eats iron.

Mr. Hall asked once Mr. Jenkins has a community water supply, how often will IEPA require samples to be taken and results submitted.

Mr. Jenkins said quarterly. He said he's pretty sure it's quarterly because they're done by Michael Flanagan.

47 Mr. Hall asked Mr. Jenkins how often he is submitting the results of water samples now to IDPH.

49 Mr. Jenkins said that Michael Flanagan taps them in his routes.

1 Mr. Hall asked how often Mr. Flanagan does them now.

2

Mr. Jenkins said every quarter, every three months, no coliform.

4 5

Mr. Hall asked Mr. Jenkins if he plans to be the licensed operator for that community water supply.

6 7

Mr. Jenkins said yes.

8

9 Mr. Hall said but Mr. Jenkins lives in Marion. He asked if an operator can have an assistant that works remotely.

11

Mr. Jenkins said he is up here every weekend and he's up here if ever there's a problem, sometimes two or three times a week but that's rare. He said he's up every weekend for at least a day, whether it's lawn care or whatever, he's here. He said he's not negligent.

15

16 Mr. Hall said okay, thank you.

17

18 Mr. Jenkins said thank you.

19

20 Mr. Elwell asked if there were any other questions from the Board.

21

Mr. Herbert asked if Staff had any information on the water samplings that were done for some residents by Culligan that indicated e. coli. He asked if there was any official documentation on that.

24

25 Ms. Burgstrom said no.

26

27 Mr. Herbert asked if Mr. Jenkins was aware of that.

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 Mr. Jenkins said if he's talking about David and Jamie Burke playing around with the water system, that's probably something we shouldn't talk about because they potentially right now are in a lawsuit for turning into this Board what they turned in. He said the Burkes were told they could never come onto that property again by his attorney, Lauren McQueen of Meyer Capel, and given to their attorney. He said they're never to come onto that property again and that is a real sore spot with him because they do. He said that is who is producing this. He said there is not a problem with the water, the problem that needs to be addressed is not anything that can hurt a human being, it's just high in iron; it's well water. He said the activity that happens in the water that's high in iron is that it gets eaten by bacteria, and that bacteria can put off some odors with the water. He said the chlorine being pumped into the system kills that bacteria and very minimally cleans up the iron, but it is a better system with it. He said unfortunately for over a year now, he has been financially completely crunched with this community because he is on a cease-and-desist. He said he feels awful for this lady who has to drive past the community every day and see a mobile home that has just been brought in that he will not interfere with the County's command; he won't take the hitch off; he won't put skirting on it – it's ugly. He said she has to see it every day. He said he feels bad about that, but he is not going to violate this Board whatsoever. He said he did not even put a deck on that home to go in and out because now it's a storage place, he just shoves things in the door and steps on some blocks to get in. He said with all due respect, he would love to have had a chlorination system in there by now because they have proved out well for him in the past, but that takes money. He said when in a year he loses \$52,000 for a cease-and-desist, it's really hard to buy a chlorination system, but he'll get there in time.

1 Mr. Herbert said the roof appeared to be falling in on the well house; what about the people that live next to that. He said he guesses Mr. Jenkins is worried about a hitch.

Mr. Jenkins said this is all coming from Jamie and David Burke who were evicted from their community after terrorizing their community for a year.

Mr. Herbert asked if the picture he held up shows the well house.

Mr. Jenkins said that is his well house.

11 Mr. Herbert asked if that was the roof falling off.

13 Mr. Jenkins said that is a roof that was caved in by the tree.

15 Mr. Herbert asked if it was still caved in.

Mr. Jenkins asked if he could please finish his statement. He said that roof was caved in by a branch that fell off the neighbor's tree that right now is with State Farm and State Farm does not want to pay out of Rantoul. He said that's potentially going to have to go to a \$5,000 small claims to get that. He said he can't tamper with that when it's in negotiation on that. He said if he went and fixed it, that's his own nickel. He said again, the temperature in that well house all winter was 60 degrees, regardless of what that tree did to it, it's a rubber roof, that is a rubber membrane up there and no water ever came into that. He said insulation is stuffed around the ugly parts so he could hold the temperature inside the room. He said again, David and Jamie Burke making a say in this meeting upsets him. He said you can hear a pin drop in their community now, better than ever.

27 Mr. Elwell asked if there were any other questions from the Board.

29 Mr. Bates asked Mr. Jenkins if he owns all the trailers that are on the property.

31 Mr. Jenkins said he does not.

Mr. Bates asked if he just owns the property and others bring the trailers in, so he is not responsible formaintaining the trailers on the property.

36 Mr. Jenkins said only the ones he owns.

38 Mr. Bates asked if Mr. Jenkins owns the one on lot 11.

40 Mr. Jenkins said yes, former home of David and Jamie Burke, if that's where this is going.

Mr. Bates said he's just trying to ask questions on the pictures that were presented; he thinks it's worth asking so Mr. Jenkins has his say as well. He asked Mr. Jenkins about his thoughts on the outlet that is in the photo.

46 Mr. Jenkins said he doesn't respect what they are doing.

Mr. Bates told Mr. Jenkins that he is not trying to upset him, he is just trying to understand what is happening here.

Mr. Jenkins said you have no idea the hell they went through with those monsters in their community, doing that to the entire community and terrorizing everybody in the community. He said his son lived across the street from them; he did not sleep at night because they were up to something. He said the yard looked like Cabrini Green. He said it was nonsense; he drove his lawn tractor through everybody's back yards over and over for hours with a choo-choo train of his kids. He said then Furnace Doctor, the guy who ripped out the guts of his furnace and then claimed and told Mr. Hall that his furnace was condemned and he was negligent. He said he finally got a guy to go over there and fix it, and the guy said all the wires had been cut in there, and somebody stuck a screwdriver in the blower and ovalled it out to blow a straight stream, then took the pilot and bent the flange up so the pilot blew up. He said this was sabotage, and it was Furnace Doctor. He said the Furnace Doctor guy rides the minibike behind their tractor through everybody's yards. He said he doesn't like insurrectionists, he despises them; he said he confronts them and when you can't do anything about it you get to live like this. He said to go look at his signature over there; he can't write his name properly right now, after all this stuff that has gone on in here. He said it's not fair what's happening, but he accepts all of this because in 2020 he talked to Michael Flanagan when they walked through their inspection of the whole community and he wrote him up for this and that, and he said Michael, I have an acre over here and two empty lots here, what do you think about increasing the permit to 20. He said Mr. Flanagan said it shouldn't be a problem; Mr. Flanagan knows the water system, he knew the sewer system, he knew all about that community through the years. He said so he got a permit for 20 homes, and he thought he was done. He said he doesn't know that that point has ever been made in this meeting. He said again, he's sorry, but he's been gun-shy about this meeting and he's not in his territory. He said he's just trying to make good of a community that's been run down for a long time. He said it's been a sort of flophouse and he wants to make it better. He said it would be nice if he could make a dollar or two every month, because he hasn't in four years; it's been a total loss and now this is absolutely killing him. He said back to the question, he's sorry about the rant. He said about that receptacle, when they moved in, David Burke hung over his back the whole time while he replaced all the cover plates and tightened up all the receptacles. He said Mr. Burke told him to do this and that, and didn't he know electrical. He said the Burkes smelled something in their bedroom, and rather than call an electrician and pull the cover plate off and see the loose wire on the receptacle, Mr. Burke called the fire department, the guys he used to work with. He said he got a whole fire crew out there for that, a loose wire on a receptacle. He said he made a big extravaganza about it but that's David Burke.

30 31 32

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

Mr. Bates said that's all he was asking and appreciated his clarification.

33 34 35

Mr. Elwell asked if there were any other questions from the Board.

36 37 38 Mr. Hall asked Mr. Jenkins if there is a reason that he hasn't at least submitted an application to IDPH or does he at least have one prepared and ready to submit that he could show this Board.

39 40 Mr. Jenkins said he really doesn't understand; does he mean because Mr. Hall wasn't able to find his permit.

41 42

43

Mr. Hall said no, he means because of the letter that is in the Preliminary Memorandum from Andrew Friedrich, who asked for an application. He said he would have thought by now that Mr. Jenkins would have drafted an application or begun working on one.

44 45 46

Mr. Jenkins said all of that has concluded.

47

Mr. Hall asked Mr. Jenkins if he submitted to IDPH for 20 sites.

Mr. Jenkins said oh, for 20 sites, no. He said IEPA is the next step after ZBA. He said if he can't put 20 homes in, then why is he applying for 20 homes.

AS APPROVED 05/11/23

Mr. Hall said he just thought maybe Mr. Jenkins would have started preparing an application, because he would imagine it takes a while to get one prepared; he knows this hearing has taken much longer than it should have, and if the approval process with IDPH takes as long as this, Mr. Jenkins won't meet the conditions that Staff has proposed. He said that's why he was wondering if he had a draft application.

Mr. Jenkins said it will all get done, but he's doing it subsequent. He said he expected a few meetings with the ZBA, and here we are at four, five or six. He said we're taking baby steps, we will get there, he promises.

Mr. Hall said okay, thank you.

Mr. Elwell asked if there were any other questions from the Board or Staff. Seeing none, he asked if anyone would like to cross-examine this witness. Seeing no one, he thanked Mr. Jenkins. Mr. Elwell called Deanna Zehr to testify.

Deanna Zehr, 1532B CR 2300N, Urbana, said that this has been a very educational thing watching the workings of the zoning board through this process. She said she appreciates the Board taking the time to address the addition of the trailer park. She said she is happy to see that units 17 through 20 will be up to code. She said she dearly hopes that the additional requirements, green space, lighting, etc. are enforced. She said she is disappointed that the illegally placed trailers will get a waiver with no consequences for ignoring zoning rules. She said thank you for laying out an outline of other agencies that need to be addressed before continuing this development. She said she watched this Board deny waivers from a company that came to them before building and that did everything that was asked of them but was denied because it was too close to Homer. She said you have a contractor who stated he was unaware of zoning rules for this county, and the Board is possibly granting him waivers for illegally placing trailers. She said with that said she is pleased that the Board is asking him to follow the rules for the remaining development. She said she wanted to reiterate that she is not against the expansion of the mobile home park. She said she just wants it built to code to avoid some of the disastrous developments that this county has had in the past. She thanked them for their time and patience.

Mr. Elwell thanked Ms. Zehr and asked if there were any questions from the Board. He asked Ms. Zehr if she could provide a copy of what she read to Staff. He asked if there were any questions from Staff. Seeing none, he asked if anyone would like to cross-examine this witness. Seeing no one, he thanked Ms. Zehr.

Ms. Zehr said thank you for your time.

Mr. Elwell asked if anyone else would like to testify in this case. Seeing no one, he asked for a motion to close the Witness Register.

Mr. Roberts moved, seconded by Mr. Herbert, to close the Witness Register. The motion passed by voice vote.

Mr. Elwell asked Mr. Jenkins to step back up to the microphone. He asked the Board how they would liketo proceed.

49 Mr. Elwell asked Mr. Hall if he would be able to describe to the Board what some of those, like the IDPH,

if the Board says yes, is Mr. Jenkins going to have to have that going towards the Board.

Mr. Hall said yes, if the Board approves both of these cases, Mr. Jenkins will not get a permit for any construction until he has an IDPH permit for the expansion of the park and documents that the relevant authority, IEPA or USEPA, has approved the septic system for the expansion and that IEPA has approved the expansion of the water system. He said all that has to be done before he can get a permit; we're not going to issue a permit and then expect that to be done by the end of that permit. He said it has to be in hand before we approve the permit. He said the conditions that we've mailed out to date put a one-year deadline on that; that doesn't seem feasible to him, but if Mr. Jenkins is happy with the one-year deadline, that'd be great because that means we're going to get it done in a year and everything will be copacetic. He said but that's a lot to get done in one year.

Mr. Elwell asked if that would be a year from potentially today's date.

Ms. Burgstrom said she would say a year from approval of the Map Amendment.

 Mr. Hall said yes, we can be that flexible going to a year from the Map Amendment, which if the Board takes action today, the Map Amendment will be at the County Board on May 18th. He said so by May 18, 2024, we'd have to have those three approvals in hand.

Mr. Randol asked Mr. Hall if, at the end of a year, we know how the government works, say he gets his paperwork submitted within a month and in a year from now he does not have the results back finalized from the IEPA, which at that point wouldn't really be his fault, would he come back and ask for an extension, or how would that be handled. He said if he would show Staff that his paperwork is submitted, then we know that's been done, but it's not completed at the end of the year, how do we handle that then.

 Mr. Hall said we won't really know how well his part has been done, particularly after sitting through this public hearing. He said we had a complete application in the beginning, but it wasn't really complete as it turned out, so he is going to be hardline on that deadline; that might be a good reason to expand it to two years. He said but whatever this Board says, there's not going to be any way to determine who's at fault for the deadline not being met. He said the deadline the Board sets has to be a rigid deadline because we're never going to know who's right and who's wrong.

Mr. Randol said then the one-year deadline is set by this Board, so if the Board didn't feel confident that he could have all this done based on how we've progressed since this all started, the Board could say he has a year and a half to get this completed and that would go down as a condition then. He said if Mr. Jenkins gets it done in a year, then it's his plus.

Mr. Hall said yes.

Mr. Elwell asked Mr. Jenkins how he feels about the year, potentially looking at May of 2024.

Mr. Jenkins said when he and Jeff talked today actually, he said he had four on his table right now, and Mr. Jenkins is not going to jump in among those four. He told Jeff he wasn't asking for that. He said Jeff said they'll work this out, they'll do due process and go through it all. He said he told Jeff who the environmental engineer was, and he was aware of them, but he's by the book and he gets that.

Mr. Elwell asked again if Mr. Jenkins is okay with a year.

1 Mr. Jenkins said he is, absolutely. He said he'd be on Jeff in a heartbeat.

Mr. Bates asked Mr. Hall if he was understanding correctly that there is no additional construction, no moving of anything going in on this property until this is done, is that correct.

Mr. Hall said that's right, and the homes that have been established are not approved until we get those approvals. He said maybe it's two from IEPA and one from IDPH, but good Mr. Jenkins is happy with a year, that's great.

Mr. Jenkins said if he could bend a rule or ask for something, he does feel awful for the people that drive past that community and see that ugly home with no skirting, no deck, crappy doors with plastic hanging on them, it's ugly. He said he's not saying he wants to rent it out, he's not saying he wants to complete it and make money on it, he just wants to clean up his community. He said if the Board can allow him to put skirting on it, get the hitch off, and at least put a deck on the front door so he can go in and out storing things.

Mr. Hall said Mr. Jenkins doesn't even have a permit for a storage structure, so no, Mr, Jenkins cannot use it until he gets these three State permits and then submit an application to Staff, which we haven't received yet either, that makes perfect sense. He said Mr. Jenkins has to get his State approvals, then submit an application to Staff, Staff will review that, which won't happen in a day, which will probably take a couple of weeks, but at that point Mr. Jenkins could proceed.

Mr. Elwell asked Mr. Hall if the storage needs to be addressed.

25 Mr. Hall said he just addressed it.

27 Mr. Elwell said for the current use.

29 Mr. Hall said there should be no current use.

31 Mr. Randol said he can't use it; it's just sitting there.

33 Mr. Elwell said but haven't we heard testimony that he has been using it for storage.

Mr. Hall said what he's been doing and what we'll approve, as so often happens, are two different things.

37 Mr. Elwell asked how the Board would like to proceed.

Mr. Randol moved to proceed with the Summary of Evidence and Findings of Fact and move this along so that Mr. Jenkins can have his one year to get this finalized. He said if we don't do this, we have a mess sitting out there, and who knows how long it would sit there. He said if we do this, then he definitely has one year to get it taken care of.

Mr. Hall asked Ms. Burgstrom if the special conditions had been reviewed.

46 Ms. Burgstrom said no.

Mr. Elwell asked Ms. Burgstrom to help him with Supplemental Memorandum #5, Attachment C, is that what we're working from.

1 2 3 4 5	Ms. Burgstrom said for the special conditions, we need to refer to Supplemental Memorandum #6 because there's a little bit of change there, but for the actual Findings other than those special conditions, it's Supplemental Memorandum #5 and it's Attachment B for Case 035-AM-21 and C for Cases 036-S-23 and 041-V-22.		
6 7	Mr. Randol	asked if a second was needed on his motion before proceeding with the special conditions.	
8 9	Mr. Elwell a Findings of	sked if the Board would like to go through the special conditions first and then proceed to the Fact.	
10 11 12	Mr. Randol	said okay, just so we get moving on this.	
13 14		old Mr. Jenkins that he is going to read a set of special conditions that would begin on page 2 ental Memorandum #6 at the top of the page.	
15 16 17	Mr. Elwell s	aid the following special conditions are proposed for Map Amendment Case 035-AM-21:	
18 19 20	A.	The owners of the subject property hereby recognize and provide for the right o agricultural activities to continue on adjacent land consistent with the Right to Farn Resolution 3425.	
21 22 23 24		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: Conformance with Policy 4.2.3 of the Land Resource Management Plan.	
25 26	Mr. Elwell a	sked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.	
27 28	Mr. Jenkins	agreed.	
29 30	В.	The Map Amendment is contingent upon approval of Case 036-S-21.	
31 32 33		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: That the Special Use is consistent with the Zoning Ordinance and ZBA recommendations.	
34 35 36	Mr. Elwell a	sked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.	
37 38	Mr. Jenkins	agreed.	
39 40 41	C.	The petitioner shall achieve full compliance with the Illinois Department of Public Health within one year of approval of Map Amendment Case 035-AM-21 or the rezoning will be void.	
42 43 44 45		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: To ensure compliance with IDPH regulations and licensing that provide a greater assurance of public health and safety and ensure that County	
46		regulations and IDPH regulations are coordinated in a reasonable manner.	

47

Mr. Elwell asked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.

1	Mr. Jenkins agreed.			
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Elwell said the following special conditions are proposed for Special Use Case 036-S-21:			
	A.	The Zoning Administrator shall not authorize a Zoning Compliance Certificate until the petitioners have demonstrated that any new or proposed exterior lighting on the subject property will comply with the lighting requirements of Section 6.1.2.		
8 9 10 11		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: That any proposed exterior lighting is in compliance with the Zoning Ordinance.		
12 13	Mr. Elwell as	sked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.		
14 15	Mr. Jenkins a	agreed.		
16 17	В.	No parking signs shall be posted along all streets in the manufactured home park.		
18 19		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: That there is always adequate emergency vehicle access.		
20 21 22	Mr. Elwell as	sked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.		
23 24	3 Mr. Jenkins agreed.			
25 26 27 28	C.	Each new home on proposed sites 15 through 20 shall be established pursuant to a Zoning Use Permit that may include all homes on one combined permit or individual homes on individual permits at a fee of \$33 per each site plus a Zoning Compliance Certificate fee of \$33 per each site.		
29 30 31 32		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: To clarify the permits that are required to ensure conformance with the Zoning Ordinance.		
33 34 35	Mr. Elwell as	sked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.		
36 37	Mr. Jenkins a	agreed.		
38 39 40 41 42	D.	Certification from the County Health Department or the Illinois Department of Public Health that the septic system on the subject property has sufficient capacity for the existing and proposed homes is a requirement for approval of the Zoning Use Permit.		
43 44 45 46		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: That the solid waste system conforms to the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance and any applicable health regulations.		
47 48	Mr. Elwell as	sked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.		
4 8				

		AS APPROVED 05/11/23	ZBA 04/13/23
1	E	The manufactured home park shall be compliant at all time	s with Illinois Department
2		of Public Health and US Environmental Protection Agency	requirements. At the time
3		of application for a Zoning Use Permit, the petitioner sh	all provide a copy of the
4		following:	
5		(1) Approved IDPH construction permit for homes 15 t	through 20; and
6	((2) Approved IDPH license for 20 homes; and	
7	((3) Either documentation that the septic system and	water system have been
8		approved by USEPA or documentation that only ID	PH approval is required.
9			
10		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the fol	lowing:
11		To ensure compliance with IDPH and USEPA regu	llations and licensing that
12		provide a greater assurance of public health and safe	ty and ensure that County
13		regulations, IDPH, and USEPA regulations are co	ordinated in a reasonable
14		manner.	
15			
16	Mr. Elwell aske	ed Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.	

Mr. Jenkins agreed.

18 19 20

Mr. Hall told Mr. Elwell that based on the information received tonight, we need to modify special condition E to incorporate the possibility that only IEPA approval is necessary for the septic system. He said he would recommend the following:

22 23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

21

- E. The manufactured home park shall be compliant at all times with Illinois Department of Public Health and IEPA requirements and US Environmental Protection Agency requirements if necessary. At the time of application for a Zoning Use Permit, the petitioner shall provide a copy of the following:
 - Approved IDPH construction permit for homes 15 through 20; and **(1)**
 - Approved IDPH license for 20 homes; and **(2)**
 - Either documentation that the septic system and water system have been **(3)** approved by IEPA or USEPA if necessary.

31 32 33

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

34 To ensure compliance with IDPH, IEPA regulations and USEPA regulations 35 if necessary and licensing that provide a greater assurance of public health and safety and ensure that County regulations, IDPH, IEPA and USEPA 36 37 regulations are coordinated in a reasonable manner.

38 39

Mr. Elwell asked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.

40 41

Mr. Jenkins agreed.

42 43 44

45

F. Within two years, the petitioner shall develop the recreation area in accordance with the most recent version of the *Illinois Mobile Home Park Act (210 ILCS 115)* and the Illinois Department of Public Health Manufactured Home Community Code (77 Ill. Adm. Code 860).

46 47 48

49

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

That the manufactured home park conforms to State of Illinois requirements.

ZBA 04/13/23

1 2	Mr. Elwell asked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.		
3	Mr. Jenkins agreed.		
4 5	G.	The east read in the manufactured home nearly shall connect to the existing interior	
6	G.	The east road in the manufactured home park shall connect to the existing interior road between home sites 16 and 17.	
7			
8		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:	
9		To provide efficient and safe traffic circulation.	
10 11	Mr. Elwall a	solved Mr. Janking if he agreed with the angeled condition	
12	MII. EIWEII a	sked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.	
13	Mr. Jenkins	agreed.	
14			
15	H.	Homes on sites 17 through 20 shall meet the following requirements:	
16		(1) Homes shall be no larger than 16 feet by 68 feet.	
17			
18 19		(2) Homes shall be 15 feet from the eastern interior road.	
20		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:	
21		That new home sites meet the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance.	
22		ı	
23	Mr. Elwell a	sked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.	
24			
25 26	Mr. Jenkins	agreed.	
27	I.	Within one year of the approval of Case 036-S-21, the petitioner shall construct a	
28	1.	monolithically paved outdoor area of a minimum 160 square feet in area with a	
29		minimum dimension of 8 feet and a two-feet wide monolithically paved sidewalk to	
30		the entrance of each home.	
31			
32		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:	
33 34		That all home sites meet the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance.	
35	Mr. Elwell a	sked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.	
36	1/11/ 21// 011 0	silve in it is agreed with the special condition.	
37	Mr. Jenkins	agreed.	
38			
39	J.	The petitioner shall achieve full compliance with the Illinois Department of Public	
40		Health within one year of approval of Special Use Permit case 036-S-21 or the Special	
41 42		Use Permit will become void and the P&Z Department will begin enforcement action	
43		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:	
44		To mitigate violations on the subject property.	
45		To minguo violations on the subject property.	
46	Mr. Elwell a	sked Mr. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.	
47			
48	Mr. Jenkins	agreed.	
49			

			AS APPROVED 05/11/23	ZBA 04/13/23
1 2 3 4 5 6	K.	recor whic Perm	in 30 days of approval of Special Use Permit case 036 of a Miscellaneous Document at the Champaign Control has that approvals for the rezoning in Case 035-Anit in Case 036-S-21 will become void if IDPH approval of approval of cases 035-AM-21 and 036-S-21.	ounty Recorder of Deeds M-21 and the Special Use
7 8 9		The s	pecial condition stated above is required to ensure the fol That future potential purchasers of the subject p conditions established from the zoning cases.	_
10 11	Mr. Elwell as	ked M	r. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.	
12 13	Mr. Jenkins a	greed.		
14 15 16 17 18	L.	Profe Ordi	ew "as-built" private accessway paving must be certificated and Engineer to comply with "Section 16.3 nance and the current standard specifications of the sportation."	3.3d. of the Subdivision
19 20 21		The s	pecial condition stated above is required to ensure the fol That new roads comply with County ordinances.	lowing:
22 23 24	Mr. Elwell as	ked M	r. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.	
25 26	Mr. Jenkins a	greed.		
27 28 29	M.	Any (1)	new electrical hook-ups shall be done by a licensed ele The installing electrician shall certify in writing th for home sites 17 through 20 meet the National Elec	at the electrical hook-ups
30 31 32 33		(2)	The installing electrician shall inspect the electrical l through 16 and provide a written opinion on wheth meet the National Electrical Code requirements.	
34 35 36 37		The s	special condition stated above is required to ensure the fol That electrical hook-ups comply with Zoning Ordin	_
38	Mr. Elwell as	ked M	r. Jenkins if he agreed with the special condition.	
39 40 41	Mr. Jenkins a	greed.		

Mr. Herbert asked what happens if one of these special conditions isn't followed.

Mr. Hall said then the Special Use Permit is not consistent with the approval. He said some of these have to occur earlier on in the process, some have to occur later, but they would all have to be met or there would be no compliance certificate authorizing use so we'd be back at an enforcement action.

Mr. Herbert asked so when one home is 14 feet from the drive as opposed to the 15 feet that is stated in the condition, we're either back at enforcement or we're here for a variance.

- Mr. Hall said or it needs to be moved.
- Mr. Jenkins said he is a surveyor.
- Mr. Elwell asked Mr. Randol if he had a motion to move to the Findings of Fact for 035-AM-21.
 - Mr. Randol asked if he also wanted to include case 041-V-22 there in the same motion.
- Mr. Elwell said since 035-AM-21 is in Attachment B and 036-S-21 and 041-V-22 are in Attachment C, he was going to take Attachment B first and then C.
 - Mr. Randol moved, seconded by Mr. Wood, to move to the Findings of Fact for Case 035-AM-21. The motion passed by voice vote.

Mr. Elwell told Mr. Jenkins that he would be reading from the top of page 2 of Attachment B of Supplemental Memorandum #5.

SUMMARY FINDING OF FACT FOR CASE 035-AM-21

From the documents of record and the testimony and exhibits received at the public hearing conducted on September 15, 2022, December 1, 2022, February 16, 2023, March 16, 2023 and April 13, 2023, the Zoning Board of Appeals of Champaign County finds that:

The proposed Zoning Ordinance map amendment will HELP ACHIEVE the Land Resource 1. Management Plan because:

- Regarding Goal 3 Prosperity: A.
 - Although the proposed rezoning is **NOT DIRECTLY RELEVANT** to any of the Goal 3 objectives, the proposed rezoning will allow the petitioner to utilize the property somewhat more intensively and continue business operations in Champaign County.
 - (2) Based on achievement of the above and because it will either not impede or is not relevant to the other Objectives and Policies under this goal, the proposed map amendment will **HELP ACHIEVE** Goal 3 Prosperity.

- В. Regarding Goal 4 Agriculture:
 - It will **HELP ACHIEVE** Objective 4.1 requiring minimization of the (1) fragmentation of farmland, conservation of farmland, and stringent development standards on best prime farmland because of the following:
 - Policy 4.1.1, which states that commercial agriculture is the highest and a. best use of land in the areas of Champaign County that are by virtue of topography, soil and drainage, suited to its pursuit. The County will not accommodate other land uses except under very restricted conditions or in areas of less productive soils (see Item 13.A.(1)).

45 46

b. Policy 4.1.6 requiring that the use, design, site and location are consistent with policies regarding suitability, adequacy of infrastructure and public services, conflict with agriculture, conversion of farmland, and disturbance of natural areas (see Item 13.A.(2)).

- c. Policy 4.1.8 requiring that the County consider the LESA rating for farmland protection when making land use decisions regarding a discretionary development (see Item 13.A.(3)).
- (2) It will **NOT IMPEDE** Objective 4.2 requiring discretionary development to not interfere with agriculture because of the following:
 - a. Policy 4.2.2 requiring discretionary development in a rural area to not interfere with agriculture or negatively affect rural infrastructure (see Item 13.B.(1)).
 - c. Policy 4.2.3 requiring that each proposed *discretionary development* explicitly recognize and provide for the right of agricultural activities to continue on adjacent land (see Item 13.B.(2)).
 - d. Policy 4.2.4 requiring that all discretionary review consider whether a buffer between existing agricultural operations and the proposed development is necessary (see Item 13.B.(3)).
- (3) It will **HELP ACHIEVE** Objective 4.3 requiring any discretionary development to be on a suitable site because of the following:
 - a. Policy 4.3.2 requiring a discretionary development on best prime farmland to be well-suited overall (see Item 13.C.(1)).
 - b. Policy 4.3.3 requiring existing public services be adequate to support the proposed development effectively and safely without undue public expense (see Item 13.C.(2)).
 - c. Policy 4.3.4 requiring existing public infrastructure be adequate to support the proposed development effectively and safely without undue public expense (see Item 13.C.(3)).
- (4) It will **HELP ACHIEVE** Objective 4.7 requiring affirmation of the Champaign County Right to Farm Resolution (see Item 13.D.(1)).
- (5) Based on achievement of the above Objectives and Policies, the proposed map amendment will **HELP ACHIEVE** Goal 4 Agriculture.
- C Regarding Goal 6 Public Health and Public Safety:
 - (1) It will **HELP ACHIEVE** Objective 6.1 requiring that development in unincorporated areas of the County will not endanger public health or safety because of the following:
 - a. Policy 6.1.2 requiring sufficient and safe wastewater disposal (see Item 15.A.(1)).
- D. Regarding Goal 7 Transportation:
 - (1) The proposed amendment will **HELP ACHIEVE** Goal 7 Transportation because it will **HELP ACHIEVE** the following:
 - a. Policy 7.1.1 requiring traffic impact analyses for projects with significant

traffic generation. (see Item 16.A.(1)).

Goal 1 Planning and Public Involvement

Goal 2 Governmental Coordination

Goal 5 Urban Land Use

Goal 8 Natural Resources

Goal 9 Energy Conservation Goal 10 Cultural Amenities

The proposed amendment will **NOT IMPEDE** the following LRMP goal(s):

Overall, the proposed map amendment will **HELP ACHIEVE** the Land Resource

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

E.

F.

Management Plan.

13			
14 15	2.		roposed Zoning Ordinance map amendment IS CONSISTENT with the <i>LaSalle</i> and <i>vir</i> factors because of the following:
16 17 18 19		A.	It is impossible to establish property values without a formal real estate appraisal, which has not been requested nor provided, and so any discussion of values is necessarily general.
20 21 22 23		В.	There has been no evidence submitted regarding property values. This area is primarily agricultural and residential in use, and the subject property has been a manufactured home park since 1972.
24 25 26		C.	The gain to the public of the proposed rezoning would be allow the petitioner to provide lower cost housing for the community.
27 28		D.	The subject property is occupied and in use as a manufactured home park.
29 30 31		Е.	The ZBA has recommended that the proposed rezoning will HELP ACHIEVE the Champaign County Land Resource Management Plan.
32 33	3.	-	roposed Zoning Ordinance map amendment will HELP ACHIEVE the purpose of the g Ordinance because:
34 35 36 37		A.	Establishing the special use as proposed by the Petitioner, which requires rezoning to R-5, WILL lessen and avoid congestion in the public streets (Purpose 2.0 (c) see Item 21.C.).
38 39 40		B.	Establishing the R-5 District at this location WILL help classify, regulate, and restrict the location of the uses authorized in the R-5 District (Purpose 2.0 (i) see Item 21.G.).
41 42 43		C.	The proposed rezoning and proposed Special Use WILL NOT hinder the development of renewable energy sources (Purpose 2.0(r) Item 21.M).
44 45 46 47 48	4.	The pi	roposed Zoning Ordinance map amendment is subject to the following special conditions: The owners of the subject property hereby recognize and provide for the right of agricultural activities to continue on adjacent land consistent with the Right to Farm Resolution 3425.
			18

1 2		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: Conformance with Policy 4.2.3 of the Land Resource Management Plan.
3 4	B.	The Map Amendment is contingent upon approval of Case 036-S-21.
5 6 7 8		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: That the Special Use is consistent with the Zoning Ordinance and ZBA recommendations.
9 10 11 12	C.	The petitioner shall achieve full compliance with the Illinois Department of Public Health within one year of approval of Map Amendment Case 035-AM-21 or the rezoning will be void.
13 14 15 16 17		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: To ensure compliance with IDPH regulations and licensing that provide a greater assurance of public health and safety and ensure that County regulations and IDPH regulations are coordinated in a reasonable manner.
18 19 20		noved, seconded by Mr. Randol, to accept the Summary Findings of Fact as presented 5-AM-21. The motion passed by voice vote.
21 22 23 24 25	three cases. S Memorandur	om said there are some documents of record that need to be added that are applicable to all the said the first one is the emails from the Burkes with the photos, the second is Supplementa in #6 with attachments dated April 11, 2023, and the third is the handout from Mr. Jenkins ght regarding the IEPA permit number.
262728		sked if there was a motion to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of Record, and the Fact as amended.
29 30 31		noved, seconded by Mr. Roberts, to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of the Findings of Fact as amended. The motion passed by voice vote.
32	Mr. Elwell as	sked if there was a motion to move to Final Determination for Case 035-AM-21.
34 35 36 37	Mr. Wood n voice vote.	noved, seconded by Mr. Roberts, to move to Final Determination. The motion passed by
38 39	Mr. Elwell to	old Mr. Jenkins he would be reading from Attachment B page 26 of 26 as follows:
40	FINAL DET	TERMINATION FOR CASE 035-AM-21
41		noved, seconded by Mr. Randol, that pursuant to the authority granted by Section 9.2
42		paign County Zoning Ordinance, the Zoning Board of Appeals of Champaign County
43	determines t	that:
44 4 F	an	Zaning Oudingnes Amendment requested in Co. 2025 AM 21 decold DE ENACTED L
45 46		Zoning Ordinance Amendment requested in Case 035-AM-21 should BE ENACTED by Sounty Board in the form attached hereto.
40 47	the C	bunty Doard in the form attached hereto.
48	SUB.	JECT TO THE FOLLOWING SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

1 A. The owners of the subject property hereby recognize and provide for the right of agricultural activities to continue on adjacent land consistent with the Right to Farm Resolution 3425.

B. The Map Amendment is contingent upon approval of Case 036-S-21.

C. The petitioner shall achieve full compliance with the Illinois Department of Public Health within one year of approval of Map Amendment Case 035-AM-21 or the rezoning will be void.

Mr. Elwell requested a roll call vote.

The vote was called as follows:

Randol- Yes	Roberts-Yes	Anderson-Yes	Herbert-Yes
Elwell- Yes	Wood - Yes	Bates-Yes	

Mr. Elwell told Mr. Jenkins that he received seven affirmative votes. He asked if there was a motion to move to the Summary of Evidence for Cases 036-S-21 and 041-V-22.

Mr. Randol moved, seconded by Mr. Roberts, to move to the Summary of Evidence for Cases 036-S-21 and 041-V-22. The motion carried by voice vote.

Mr. Elwell said he would be reading from page 43 of 65, draft Summary Findings of Fact as follows:

FINDINGS OF FACT FOR CASE 036-S-21

From the documents of record and the testimony and exhibits received at the public hearing for zoning cases 036-S-21 and 041-V-22 held on September 15, 2022, December 1, 2022, February 16, 2023, March 16, 2023 and April 13, 2023, the Zoning Board of Appeals of Champaign County finds that:

1. The requested Special Use Permit {IS / IS NOT} necessary for the public convenience at this location because:

Mr. Wood said the requested Special Use Permit IS necessary for the public convenience at this location because: the manufactured home has been on the site since the 1970s.

2. The requested Special Use Permit {SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS IMPOSED HEREIN} is so designed, located, and proposed to be operated so that it {WILL NOT / WILL} be injurious to the district in which it shall be located or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare because:

a. The street has {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} traffic capacity and the entrance location has {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} visibility because:

Mr. Randol said the street has ADEQUATE traffic capacity and the entrance location has ADEQUATE visibility because: the traffic generated by the proposed use will not significantly increase with the addition of four home sites.

b. Emergency services availability is {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} because:

Mr. Randol said that emergency services availability is ADEQUATE because: the subject property is located approximately 1.9 road miles from the Thomasboro Fire Protection District station, and no comments have been received from the Fire Protection District.

c. The Special Use {WILL / WILL NOT} be compatible with adjacent uses because:

Mr. Randol said that the Special Use WILL be compatible with adjacent uses.

d. Surface and subsurface drainage will be {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} because:

Mr. Randol said surface and subsurface drainage will be ADEQUATE because: the subject property is not in a floodplain and its expansion is exempt from the SWMEC Ordinance.

e. Public safety will be {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} because:

Mr. Randol said public safety will be ADEQUATE because: relevant jurisdictions have been notified of this case, and no comments have been received.

f. The provisions for parking will be {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE} {because*}:

Mr. Randol said provisions for parking will be ADEQUATE because: there are two parking spaces provided for each home, provided construction requirements are met.

g. The property {IS/IS NOT} WELL SUITED OVERALL for the proposed improvements {because*}:

Mr. Randol said the property IS well suited overall for the proposed improvements because: the site is one on which the proposed development can be safely and soundly accommodated using simple engineering and common, easily maintained construction methods with no unacceptable negative effects on neighbors or the general public.

h. Existing public services {ARE/ARE NOT} available to support the proposed SPECIAL USE without undue public expense {because*}:

Mr. Randol said existing public services ARE available to support the proposed Special Use without undue public expense because: no additional public services are required for the existing manufactured home park or the proposed expansion.

i. Existing public infrastructure together with the proposed development {IS/IS NOT} adequate to support the proposed development effectively and safely without undue public expense {because*}:

Mr. Randol said existing public infrastructure together with the proposed development IS adequate to support the proposed development effectively and safely without undue public expense because: no new infrastructure is required for the existing manufactured home park and its proposed expansion.

Mr. Randol said therefore the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, is so designed, located, and proposed to be operated so that it WILL NOT be injurious to the district in which it shall be located or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

12 13 14

11

15 16

17

18 19

20 21 22

23 24

> 25 26 27

28 29 30

31 32 33

34 35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42 43 44

47 48

49

45 Mr. Wood said the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, is so designed, located, and proposed to be operated so that it WILL NOT be injurious to the district in which 46 it shall be located or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

> d. The requested Special Use Permit \(SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS \)

3a. The requested Special Use Permit (SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS IMPOSED) HEREIN {DOES / DOES NOT} conform to the applicable regulations and standards of the **DISTRICT** in which it is located.

Mr. Wood said the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, DOES conform to the applicable regulations and standards of the district in which it is located.

- **3b.** The requested Special Use Permit (SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS IMPOSED HEREIN {DOES / DOES NOT} preserve the essential character of the DISTRICT in which it is located because:
 - The Special Use will be designed to {CONFORM / NOT CONFORM} to all relevant a. County ordinances and codes.
- Mr. Wood said the Special Use will be designed to CONFORM to all relevant County ordinances and codes.
 - b. The Special Use {WILL / WILL NOT} be compatible with adjacent uses.
- Mr. Wood said the Special Use WILL be compatible with adjacent uses.
 - Public safety will be {ADEQUATE / INADEQUATE}. c.
- Mr. Wood said public safety will be ADEQUATE and therefore the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, DOES preserve the essential character of the district in which it is located.
- The requested Special Use Permit \(SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS IMPOSED \) 4. HEREIN (IS / IS NOT) in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance because:
 - The Special Use is authorized in the District. a.

Mr. Elwell said the Special Use is authorized in the District.

- b. The requested Special Use Permit {IS/ IS NOT} necessary for the public convenience
- at this location.
- Mr. Wood said the requested Special Use Permit IS necessary for the public convenience at this location.
 - The requested Special Use Permit SUBJECT TO THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS c. IMPOSED HEREIN is so designed, located, and proposed to be operated so that it {WILL / WILL NOT} be injurious to the district in which it shall be located or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

IMPOSED HEREIN} {DOES / DOES NOT} preserve the essential character of the DISTRICT in which it is located.

Mr. Wood said the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, DOES preserve the essential character of the district in which it is located and therefore IS in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance.

5. The requested Special Use IS NOT an existing nonconforming use.

Mr. Elwell said the requested Special Use is NOT an existing nonconforming use.

6. SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING WAIVERS OF STANDARD CONDITIONS:

Per Section 7.15 of the Champaign County ZBA Bylaws, "waivers may be approved individually or *en masse* by the affirmative vote of a majority of those members voting on the issue, and shall be incorporated into the Findings of Fact with the reason for granting each waiver described."

Mr. Wood moved that he is comfortable with all the waivers listed, and suggested that as opposed to reading through several pages of material that we've already been over many times that we go ahead and approve it *en masse*. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion. The motion passed by voice vote.

- A. Regarding Part A of the proposed waivers, for not having 24 or more home sites:
 - (1) The waiver **IS** in accordance with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and **WILL NOT** be injurious to the neighborhood or to the public health, safety, and welfare because: relevant jurisdictions have been notified of the case, and no comments have been received.
 - (2) Special conditions and circumstances **DO** exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: the petitioner only proposes a total of 20 sites.
 - (3) Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied **WILL** prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because: the petitioner would have to invest in additional sites that might not be financially feasible.
 - (4) The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties **DO NOT** result from actions of the applicant because: home sites 1 through 14 were developed prior to the petitioner's purchase of the property; homes on sites 15 and 16 were placed by the petitioner prior to his knowledge of the County's requirements for MANUFACTURED HOME PARKS, and home sites 17 through 20 are not yet developed.
 - (5) The requested waiver **IS** the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure because: the petitioner's financial feasibility is for 20 home sites.
- B. Regarding Part B of the proposed waivers, for not providing screening along all boundary

lines abutting existing residential development:

- (1) The waiver **IS** in accordance with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and **WILL NOT** be injurious to the neighborhood or to the public health, safety, and welfare because: relevant jurisdictions have been notified of the case, and no comments have been received, and no complaints have been received from the adjacent residents.
- (2) Special conditions and circumstances **DO** exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: the existing home sites where screening would be required were developed prior to adoption of the Zoning Ordinance on October 10, 1973.
- (3) Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied **WILL** prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because: without Part B of the proposed waivers, the petitioner would have to invest in screening on the west side of home sites 1, 2 and 3.
- (4) The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties **DO NOT** result from actions of the applicant because: home sites 1 through 14 were developed prior to the petitioner's purchase of the property; homes on sites 15 and 16 were placed by the petitioner prior to his knowledge of the County's requirements for MANUFACTURED HOME PARKS, and home sites 17 through 20 are not yet developed.
- (5) The requested waiver **IS** the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.
- C. Regarding Part C of the proposed waivers, for a minimum setback (yard) of 15 feet in lieu of 20 feet between the entrance side of the manufactured home and the manufactured home site boundary for home site 2:
 - (1) The waiver **IS** in accordance with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and **WILL NOT** be injurious to the neighborhood or to the public health, safety, and welfare because: relevant jurisdictions have been notified of the case, and no comments have been received.
 - (2) Special conditions and circumstances **DO** exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: homes placed prior to 1973 were only required to have "open space of at least 10 feet adjacent to the sides of every mobile home and at least 5 feet adjacent to the ends of every mobile home," per the Illinois Mobile Home Park Act (210 ILCS 115/9.3).
 - (3) Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied **WILL** prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because: without Part C of the proposed waivers, the petitioner would have to move existing home 3 to the south, which is in the septic system area.

(4) The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties **DO NOT** result from actions of the applicant because: home sites 1 through 14 were developed prior to the petitioner's purchase of the property; homes on sites 15 and 16 were placed by the petitioner prior to his knowledge of the County's requirements for MANUFACTURED HOME PARKS, and home sites 17 through 20 are not yet developed.

AS APPROVED 05/11/23

- (5) The requested waiver **IS** the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.
- D. Regarding Part D of the proposed waivers, for a minimum side yard of 9 feet in lieu of 10 feet for home site 3:
 - (1) The waiver **IS** in accordance with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and **WILL NOT** be injurious to the neighborhood or to the public health, safety, and welfare because: relevant jurisdictions have been notified of the case, and no comments have been received.
 - (2) Special conditions and circumstances **DO** exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: homes placed prior to 1973 were only required to have "open space of at least 10 feet adjacent to the sides of every mobile home and at least 5 feet adjacent to the ends of every mobile home," per the Illinois Mobile Home Park Act (210 ILCS 115/9.3). Regarding side yard requirements, in the December 10, 2015, approved minutes for Case 818-S-15 for the Woods Edge MHP adjacent to Loral Park, Mr. Hall stated, "testimony is that they (Illinois Department of Public Health) have required no more than 5 feet, with 10 feet between buildings from day one. He added that we have had testimony that in our own Zoning Ordinance, in this same area, we would require no more than 5 feet separation if these were private homes in the R-3 District, and those homes would not meet any standard. He stated that these homes (the manufactured homes) are absolutely built to a standard and inspected."
 - (3) Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied **WILL** prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because: the petitioner would have to move existing home 3 to the south, which is in the septic system area.
 - (4) The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties **DO NOT** result from actions of the applicant because: home sites 1 through 14 were developed prior to the petitioner's purchase of the property; homes on sites 15 and 16 were placed by the petitioner prior to his knowledge of the County's requirements for MANUFACTURED HOME PARKS, and home sites 17 through 20 are not yet developed.
 - (5) The requested waiver **IS** the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.
- E. Regarding Part E of the proposed waivers, for not having a manufactured home stand or pad on each home site made of concrete slabs or runways with ground anchors:

- (1) The waiver **IS** in accordance with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and **WILL NOT** be injurious to the neighborhood or to the public health, safety, and welfare because: relevant jurisdictions have been notified of the case, and no comments have been received.
- (2) Special conditions and circumstances **DO** exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: sites 1 through 12 of the MANUFACTURED HOME PARK were developed prior to adoption of the Zoning Ordinance on October 10, 1973, and the petitioner was not aware of this requirement.
- (3) Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied **WILL** prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because: without Part E of the proposed waivers, the petitioner would have to move 16 homes to install the runways.
- (4) The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties **DO NOT** result from actions of the applicant because: home sites 1 through 14 were developed prior to the petitioner's purchase of the property; homes on sites 15 and 16 were placed by the petitioner prior to his knowledge of the County's requirements for MANUFACTURED HOME PARKS, and home sites 17 through 20 are not yet developed.
- (5) The requested waiver, **SUBJECT TO THE PROPOSED SPECIAL CONDITION, IS** the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.
- F. Regarding Part F of the proposed waivers, for no outdoor paved living space in lieu of an outdoor living space of at least 160 square feet with a minimum dimension of 8 feet:
 - (1) The waiver **IS** in accordance with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and **WILL NOT** be injurious to the neighborhood or to the public health, safety, and welfare because: relevant jurisdictions have been notified of the case, and no comments have been received.
 - (2) Special conditions and circumstances **DO** exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: there was no mention of required paved outdoor space in the Illinois Mobile Home Park Act (210 ILCS 115), and the petitioner was not aware of this requirement.
 - (3) Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied **WILL** prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because: without Part J of the proposed waivers, the petitioner would have to invest in pouring concrete for all homes.
 - (4) The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties **DO NOT** result from actions of the applicant because: home sites 1 through 14 were developed prior to the petitioner's purchase of the property; homes on sites 15 and

16 were placed by the petitioner prior to his knowledge of the County's requirements for MANUFACTURED HOME PARKS and home sites 17 through 20 are not yet developed.

- (5) The requested waiver, **SUBJECT TO THE PROPOSED SPECIAL CONDITION, IS** the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.
- G. Regarding Part G of the proposed waivers, for a minimum pavement width of 19 feet for existing private accessways in lieu of the minimum required 24 feet for minor streets:
 - (1) The waiver **IS** in accordance with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and **WILL NOT** be injurious to the neighborhood or to the public health, safety, and welfare because: relevant jurisdictions have been notified of the case, and no comments have been received.
 - (2) Special conditions and circumstances **DO** exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: the primary road in the Manufactured Home Park was constructed prior to adoption of the Zoning Ordinance on October 10, 1973, and there was no mention of required street width in the Illinois Mobile Home Park Act (210 ILCS 115).
 - Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied **WILL** prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because: without Part G of the proposed waivers, a minimum pavement width of 19 feet for existing private accessways in lieu of the minimum required 24 feet for minor streets, the petitioner would have to invest in more gravel for the entire street system, which would reduce required front yards of most homes.
 - (4) The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties **DO NOT** result from actions of the applicant because: home sites 1 through 14 were developed prior to the petitioner's purchase of the property; homes on sites 15 and 16 were placed by the petitioner prior to his knowledge of the County's requirements for MANUFACTURED HOME PARKS, and home sites 17 through 20 are not yet developed.
 - (5) The requested waiver, **SUBJECT TO THE PROPOSED SPECIAL CONDITION**, **IS** the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.
- H. Regarding Part H of the proposed waivers, with respect to paving materials, curbs and gutters, grading, intersections, offsets, and radii of curvature, for which the provisions of the Subdivision Ordinance shall apply:
 - (1) The waiver **IS** in accordance with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and **WILL NOT** be injurious to the neighborhood or to the public health, safety, and welfare because: relevant jurisdictions have been notified of the case, and no comments have been received.

- (2) Special conditions and circumstances **DO** exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: streets in the Manufactured Home Park were constructed prior to adoption of the Subdivision Ordinance on May 17, 1977 with the exception of the east entrance to sites 15 through 20, and the petitioner was not aware of this requirement.
- (3) Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied **WILL** prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because: without Part H of the proposed waivers, the petitioner would have to invest in the design and pavement for a new street system.
- (4) The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties **DO NOT** result from actions of the applicant because: home sites 1 through 14 were developed prior to the petitioner's purchase of the property; homes on sites 15 and 16 were placed by the petitioner prior to his knowledge of the County's requirements for MANUFACTURED HOME PARKS, and home sites 17 through 20 are not yet developed.
- (5) The requested waiver, **SUBJECT TO THE PROPOSED SPECIAL CONDITION, IS** the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.
- I. Regarding Part I of the proposed waivers, for not having individual walks to each manufactured home stand that are paved and a minimum of two feet in width:
 - (1) The waiver **IS** in accordance with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and **WILL NOT** be injurious to the neighborhood or to the public health, safety, and welfare because: relevant jurisdictions have been notified of the case, and no comments have been received.
 - (2) Special conditions and circumstances **DO** exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: sites 1 through 12 of the MANUFACTURED HOME PARK were developed prior to adoption of the Zoning Ordinance on October 10, 1973, and the petitioner was not aware of this requirement.
 - (3) Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied **WILL** prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because: without Part I of the proposed waivers, the petitioner would have to invest in paving a sidewalk for each home.
 - (4) The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties **DO NOT** result from actions of the applicant because: home sites 1 through 14 were developed prior to the petitioner's purchase of the property; homes on sites 15 and 16 were placed by the petitioner prior to his knowledge of the County's requirements for MANUFACTURED HOME PARKS, and home sites 17 through 20 are not yet developed.

8

9

13

14

15 16

20

21

22

23

24 25 26

27

28

29

30

31 32 33

34

35 36 37

38

39

40

41 42 43

44

45

46 47

48 49

The requested waiver, SUBJECT TO THE PROPOSED SPECIAL (5) **CONDITION, IS** the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.

- J. Regarding Part J of the proposed waivers, for an electrical system that does not comply with the latest edition of the National Electric Code:
 - The waiver IS in accordance with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning (1) Ordinance and WILL NOT be injurious to the neighborhood or to the public health, safety, and welfare because: relevant jurisdictions have been notified of the case, and no comments have been received.
 - **(2)** Special conditions and circumstances **DO** exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: the electrical system was developed prior to adoption of the Zoning Ordinance on October 10, 1973, and the petitioner was not aware of this requirement.
 - (3) Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied WILL prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because: without Part J of the proposed waivers, for an electrical system that does not comply with the latest edition of the National Electric Code: the petitioner would have to either prove that the electrical system meets the NEC or invest in rewiring the MANUFACTURED HOME PARK.
 - The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties **DO NOT (4)** result from actions of the applicant because: home sites 1 through 14 were developed prior to the petitioner's purchase of the property; homes on sites 15 and 16 were placed by the petitioner prior to his knowledge of the County's requirements for MANUFACTURED HOME PARKS, and home sites 17 through 20 are not yet developed.
 - The requested waiver, SUBJECT TO THE PROPOSED SPECIAL (5) **CONDITION, IS** the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.
- 7. Regarding the variance:
 - Special conditions and circumstances $\{DO/DO NOT\}$ exist which are peculiar to the a. land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because:

Mr. Wood said special conditions and circumstances DO exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: the 4.68-acre lot was an illegally created lot and needs to be at least 5 acres to be legal, and should the 9.68-acre subject property receive approval for the rezoning in Case 035-AM-21, the 3acre maximum does not apply in the R-5 Manufactured Home Park Zoning District.

b. Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the

1 2 3		regulations sought to be varied {WILL / WILL NOT} prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because:
5 6 7 8	sought to be construction	aid practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations of varied WILL prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or because: without the proposed variance, the petitioners would have to complete a Plat of approval process to reduce the size of the lots to no more than 3 acres.
9 10 11	c.	The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties $\{DO/DO NOT\}$ result from actions of the applicant because:
12 13 14 15		aid the special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties DO NOT result of the applicant because: the petitioner was unaware of the 3-acre limit on Best Prime
16 17	d.	The requested variance {IS/IS NOT} in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance because:
18 19 20 21		id the requested variance IS in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance 9.68-acre lot provides adequate light and air for the residences.
21 22 23 24	e.	The requested variance {WILL / WILL NOT} be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare because:
25 26 27 28	detrimental t	said the requested variance WILL NOT be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise to the public health, safety, or welfare because: notice of the proposed variance was sent to adictions, and no comments have been received from them.
29 30	f.	The requested variance $\{IS/IS\ NOT\}$ the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure because:
31 32 33	Mr. Wood sa use of the lar	aid the requested variance IS the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable ad/structure.
34 35 36 37		SPECIAL CONDITIONS IMPOSED HEREIN ARE REQUIRED FOR THE TICULAR PURPOSES DESCRIBED BELOW:
38 39 40 41	The fA.	The Zoning Administrator shall not authorize a Zoning Compliance Certificate until the petitioners have demonstrated that any new or proposed exterior lighting on the subject property will comply with the lighting requirements of Section 6.1.2.
12 13 14		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: That any proposed exterior lighting is in compliance with the Zoning Ordinance.
15 16 17	В.	No parking signs shall be posted along all streets in the manufactured home park.
18 19		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: That there is always adequate emergency vehicle access.

	1
	1
	2
	3
	4
	5 6 7 8
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2 3 4
1	3
1	4
111122222222233	6
1	7
1	<i>ι</i> ο
1	0
1	9
2	U
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
3	
3	4
	5
	6
3	7
	8
3	9
4	Ú
4	
4	2
4	3
4	4
4	5
4	6

48 49 C. Each new home on proposed sites 15 through 20 shall be established pursuant to a Zoning Use Permit that may include all homes on one combined permit or individual homes on individual permits at a fee of \$33 per each site plus a Zoning Compliance Certificate fee of \$33 per each site.

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

To clarify the permits that are required to ensure conformance with the Zoning Ordinance.

D. Certification from the County Health Department or the Illinois Department of Public Health that the septic system on the subject property has sufficient capacity for the existing and proposed homes is a requirement for approval of the Zoning Use Permit.

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

That the solid waste system conforms to the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance and any applicable health regulations.

- E. The manufactured home park shall be compliant at all times with Illinois Department of Public Health and IEPA requirements and US Environmental Protection Agency requirements if necessary. At the time of application for a Zoning Use Permit, the petitioner shall provide a copy of the following:
 - (1) Approved IDPH construction permit for homes 15 through 20; and
 - (2) Approved IDPH license for 20 homes; and
 - (3) Either documentation that the septic system and water system have been approved by IEPA or USEPA if necessary.

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

To ensure compliance with IDPH, IEPA regulations and USEPA regulations if necessary and licensing that provide a greater assurance of public health and safety and ensure that County regulations, IDPH, IEPA and USEPA regulations are coordinated in a reasonable manner.

F. Within two years, the petitioner shall develop the recreation area in accordance with the most recent version of the *Illinois Mobile Home Park Act (210 ILCS 115)* and the Illinois Department of Public Health *Manufactured Home Community Code (77 Ill. Adm. Code 860)*.

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

That the manufactured home park conforms to State of Illinois requirements.

G. The east road in the manufactured home park shall connect to the existing interior road between home sites 16 and 17.

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

To provide efficient and safe traffic circulation.

H. Homes on sites 17 through 20 shall meet the following requirements:

Homes shall be no larger than 16 feet by 68 feet.

Homes shall be 15 feet from the eastern interior road.

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

That new home sites meet the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance.

Within one year of the approval of Case 036-S-21, the petitioner shall construct a monolithically paved outdoor area of a minimum 160 square feet in area with a

1

2

3

4

5 6 7

8

(1)

(2)

I.

9		minimum dimension of 8 feet and a two-feet wide monolithically paved sidewalk to
10		the entrance of each home.
11		
12		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
13		That all home sites meet the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance.
14	_	
15	J.	The petitioner shall achieve full compliance with the Illinois Department of Public
16		Health within one year of approval of Special Use Permit case 036-S-21 or the Special
17		Use Permit will become void and the P&Z Department will begin enforcement action.
18		
19		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
20		To mitigate violations on the subject property.
21		
22	K.	Within 30 days of approval of Special Use Permit case 036-S-21, the petitioner shall
23		record a Miscellaneous Document at the Champaign County Recorder of Deeds
24		which states that approvals for the rezoning in Case 035-AM-21 and the Special Use
25		Permit in Case 036-S-21 will become void if IDPH approval is not received within one
26		year of approval of cases 035-AM-21 and 036-S-21.
27		
28		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
29		That future potential purchasers of the subject property are aware of the
30		conditions established from the zoning cases.
31		
32	L.	All new "as-built" private accessway paving must be certified by an Illinois Licensed
33		Professional Engineer to comply with "Section 16.3.3d. of the Subdivision
34		Ordinance and the current standard specifications of the Illinois Department of
35		Transportation."
36		
37		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
38		That new roads comply with County ordinances.
39		
40	M.	Any new electrical hook-ups shall be done by a licensed electrical contractor and:
41		(1) The installing electrician shall certify in writing that the electrical hook-ups
42		for home sites 17 through 20 meet the National Electrical Code requirements.
43		•
44		(2) The installing electrician shall inspect the electrical hook-ups for home sites 13
45		through 16 and provide a written opinion on whether the hook-ups appear to
46		meet the National Electrical Code requirements.
47		•
48		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
49		That electrical hook-ups comply with Zoning Ordinance requirements.
		32

Mr. Elwell asked if there was a motion to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of Record and Findings of Fact as amended.

Mr. Randol moved, seconded by Mr. Wood, to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of Record and Findings of Fact as amended. The motion passed by voice vote.

Mr. Elwell told Mr. Jenkins he would be reading from page 61 of 65 as follows:

FINAL DETERMINATION FOR CASE 036-S-21

Mr. Wood moved, seconded by Mr. Randol, that the Champaign County Zoning Board of Appeals finds that, based upon the application, testimony, and other evidence received in this case, the requirements of Section 9.1.11B. for approval HAVE been met, and pursuant to the authority granted by Section 9.1.6 B. of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance, determines that:

The Special Use requested in Case 036-S-21 is hereby GRANTED WITH SPECIAL CONDITIONS to the applicant, Jeffrey Jenkins, d.b.a. Walnut Grove MHC, to authorize the following as a Special Use:

Authorize the expansion and use of an existing nonconforming manufactured home park with 12 existing plus 8 proposed manufactured home sites in the R-5 Manufactured Home Park Zoning District, contingent upon the rezoning of the subject property in related case 035-AM-21.

SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING WAIVERS:

Part A: Authorize a waiver from Section 6.2.2. B. for having 20 home sites in lieu of the minimum required 24 home sites in a manufactured home park.

Part B: Authorize a waiver from Section 6.2.2 C.3. for not providing screening along all boundary lines abutting existing residential development.

Part C: Authorize a minimum setback (yard) of 15 feet in lieu of 20 feet between the entrance side of the manufactured home and the manufactured home site boundary, per Section 6.2.2 E.2.b., for existing home site 2.

Part D: Authorize a minimum side yard of 9 feet in lieu of 10 feet, per Section 6.2.2 E.2.c. for existing home site 3.

Part E: Authorize a waiver from having a manufactured home stand or pad on each home site made of concrete slabs or runways with ground anchors, per Section 6.2.2 E.4.

Part F: Authorize no outdoor paved living space in lieu of an outdoor living space of at least 160 square feet with a minimum dimension of 8 feet, per Section 6.2.2 E.5. for all existing and proposed home sites.

Part G: Authorize a minimum pavement width of 19 feet for existing private accessways in lieu of the minimum required 24 feet for minor streets, per Section 6.2.2 F.5.

1	
23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31	}
4 5	ļ ,
7)
8	}
10)
12) -
13 14	} -
15 16	
17	,
19)
21)
22 23	}
24 25	ļ.
26 27	, ,
28	3
3C))
31 32) -
33 34	}
35 36	
37 38	
39 40)
41	
42 43	}
44	Ļ

47

48 49 Part H: Authorize a waiver from Section 6.2.2 F.6., with respect to paving materials, curbs and gutters, grading, intersections, offsets, and radii of curvature, that the provisions of the Subdivision Ordinance shall apply to existing private accessways.

Part I: Authorize a waiver from Section 6.2.2 H.1., for not having individual walks to each manufactured home stand that are paved and a minimum of two feet in width.

Part J: Authorize a waiver for the electrical system to comply with the latest edition of the National Electric Code, per Section 6.2.3 D.1.

SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

- A. The Zoning Administrator shall not authorize a Zoning Compliance Certificate until the petitioners have demonstrated that any new or proposed exterior lighting on the subject property will comply with the lighting requirements of Section 6.1.2.
- B. No parking signs shall be posted along all streets in the manufactured home park.
- C. Each new home on proposed sites 15 through 20 shall be established pursuant to a Zoning Use Permit that may include all homes on one combined permit or individual homes on individual permits at a fee of \$33 per each site plus a Zoning Compliance Certificate fee of \$33 per each site.
- D. Certification from the County Health Department or the Illinois Department of Public Health that the septic system on the subject property has sufficient capacity for the existing and proposed homes is a requirement for approval of the Zoning Use Permit.
- E. The manufactured home park shall be compliant at all times with Illinois Department of Public Health and IEPA requirements and US Environmental Protection Agency requirements if necessary. At the time of application for a Zoning Use Permit, the petitioner shall provide a copy of the following:
 - (1) Approved IDPH construction permit for homes 15 through 20; and
 - (2) Approved IDPH license for 20 homes; and
 - (3) Either documentation that the septic system and water system have been approved by IEPA or USEPA if necessary.
- F. Within two years, the petitioner shall develop the recreation area in accordance with the most recent version of the *Illinois Mobile Home Park Act (210 ILCS 115)* and the Illinois Department of Public Health *Manufactured Home Community Code (77 Ill. Adm. Code 860)*.
- G. The east road in the manufactured home park shall connect to the existing interior road between home sites 16 and 17.
- H. Homes on sites 17 through 20 shall meet the following requirements:
 - (1) Homes shall be no larger than 16 feet by 68 feet.
 - (2) Homes shall be 15 feet from the eastern interior road.

I. Within one year of the approval of Case 036-S-21, the petitioner shall construct a monolithically paved outdoor area of a minimum 160 square feet in area with a minimum dimension of 8 feet and a two-feet wide monolithically paved sidewalk to the entrance of each home.

J. The petitioner shall achieve full compliance with the Illinois Department of Public Health within one year of approval of Special Use Permit case 036-S-21 or the Special

 K. Within 30 days of approval of Special Use Permit case 036-S-21, the petitioner shall record a Miscellaneous Document at the Champaign County Recorder of Deeds which states that approvals for the rezoning in Case 035-AM-21 and the Special Use Permit in Case 036-S-21 will become void if IDPH approval is not received within one year of approval of cases 035-AM-21 and 036-S-21.

Use Permit will become void and the P&Z Department will begin enforcement action.

L. All new "as-built" private accessway paving must be certified by an Illinois Licensed Professional Engineer to comply with "...Section 16.3.3d. of the Subdivision Ordinance and the current standard specifications of the Illinois Department of Transportation."

M. Any new electrical hook-ups shall be done by a licensed electrical contractor and:

 (1) The installing electrician shall certify in writing that the electrical hook-ups for home sites 17 through 20 meet the National Electrical Code requirements.

(2) The installing electrician shall inspect the electrical hook-ups for home sites 13 through 16 and provide a written opinion on whether the hook-ups appear to meet the National Electrical Code requirements.

Mr. Elwell requested a roll call vote.

The vote was called as follows:

Randol-Yes Roberts-Yes Anderson-Yes Herbert-No Elwell-Yes Wood - Yes Bates-Yes

Mr. Elwell asked if there was a motion to move to Final Determination for Case 041-V-22.

Mr. Roberts moved, seconded by Mr. Randol, to move to Final Determination for Case 041-V-22. The motion passed by voice vote.

FINAL DETERMINATION FOR CASE 041-V-22

Mr. Randol moved, seconded by Mr. Roberts, that the Champaign County Zoning Board of Appeals finds that, based upon the application, testimony, and other evidence received in this case, that the requirements for approval in Section 9.1.9.C HAVE been met, and pursuant to the authority granted by Section 9.1.6.B of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance, the Zoning Board of Appeals of Champaign County determines that:

The Variance requested in Case 041-V-22 is hereby GRANTED to the applicant, Jeffrey Jenkins, d.b.a. Walnut Grove MHC, to authorize the following variance:

Authorize a variance for a 9.68-acre lot in lieu of the maximum allowed 3 acres in area for a

2 lot with soils that are best prime farmland in the AG-1 Agriculture Zoning District, per 3 Section 5.3 of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance. 4 5 Mr. Elwell requested a roll call vote. 6 7 The vote was called as follows: 8 9 Randol- Yes **Roberts-Yes Anderson-Yes Herbert-Yes** 10 Elwell- Yes Wood - Yes **Bates-Yes** 11 12 Mr. Elwell told Mr. Jenkins that for all three of his cases he received the four affirmative votes needed. 13 He said Staff would be in touch with further communication. 14 15 Mr. Jenkins thanked the Board. 16 17 New Public Hearings - None 7. 18 19 8. Staff Report - None 20 21 9. Other Business-22 23 Mr. Elwell asked the Board if any absences were coming up. 24 25 Mr. Wood said he would be absent May 25th. 26 27 **Review of Docket** A. 28 29 Mr. Elwell asked Mr. Hall about the text amendment. He asked what options the Board has if they do nothing, or if they do accept the text amendment, what benefit to the County is it. 30 31 32 Mr. Hall said the only benefit he can see at this point is that we would be complying with State law. 33 34 Mr. Elwell thanked Mr. Hall. 35 36 Mr. Randol said if we don't comply with State law, could they withhold tax revenue from the County. 37 38 Mr. Hall said he didn't know what the State would do, but he doubts this would happen. He said we have heard there are two prospective wind farms out there; he assumes they could sue because they 39 definitely want to go in under this new State rule. 40 41 42 Mr. Bates asked if all the Board was doing is either approving or not approving a recommendation 43 that's going to the County Board, right. 44 45 Mr. Hall said that's right.

47 48

46

1

Mr. Hall said that's true, and in modern times, people don't seem to be quite as concerned about the

Mr. Bates said so we don't have to approve it; what they decide to do is up to them.

County Board overriding a ZBA recommendation, in this case because it is a State law that we are proposing to be compliant with, he thinks they would be able to override if this Board recommends a denial. He said but this Board does need to make a recommendation one way or the other. Mr. Bates said for sure we do; with that said, are there any implications to this Board by making a negative recommendation. Mr. Hall said no. Mr. Herbert said another question is, Mr. Hall was waiting to hear back from the State's Attorney on some things; is there a reason to have the next meeting since without that information what else do we have. Mr. Hall said he wants the Board to keep the next meeting on the books because he is going to have to start bugging the State's Attorney about getting an answer and it's easier to justify that the ZBA meeting is on the 27th and we have to have an answer by then. He said we have so few public hearings for this that we need an answer from them by the 27th. He said if we don't get an answer from them, then there might not be a need to meet for a long time on the 27th, but he would like to keep it on the docket as pressure. He said he knows the State's Attorney wants to get it off of their to-do list, but the last he heard the one civil attorney that is assigned to work with us, in fact when we went to Springfield for a meeting about this very amendment, he commented that he was a little busy because he was filling in for some attorneys in the criminal division who left, so he is doing a double workload. He said he was sorry to hear that, but we need an answer by the 27th. Mr. Elwell thanked Mr. Hall. **10.** Adjournment Mr. Elwell entertained a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Bates moved, seconded by Mr. Wood, to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried by voice vote. The meeting adjourned at 8:02 p.m. Respectfully Submitted, Secretary of the Zoning Board of Appeals